

Def: If V is a variety, a function $f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow V$ is called essentially rational if $\exists$ rational $R: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow V$ such that $f = R$ on a set of full Lebesgue measure. (Mazur's trick).

Theorem (Mazur; 3.4.4 in Zimmer):

If $f: \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, for $\text{leb}-\infty$ $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$ is essentially rational, and for $\text{leb}-\infty$ $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $f^y(x) = f(x, y)$ is essentially rational, then f is essentially rational.

Pf: By induction, it suffices to consider the case $n=1$.

Reduction: It suffices to show that
 $\exists A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k : \text{leb}_k(A) > 0$ and
a rational function $R: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
such that $f = R$ $\mathcal{L}$ on $A \times \mathbb{R}$.

Pf of Reduction: For $\mathcal{L} \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $R^\gamma = f^\gamma$ on A by Fubini.

Since f^γ is rational, $f^\gamma = R^\gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^k$
for $\mathcal{L} \gamma$.

$$\Rightarrow f(x, y) = R(x, y) \quad \mathcal{L} x \text{ and } \mathcal{L} y$$

$\mathcal{L} (x, y)$
 $\uparrow$
(Fubini).

$\hookrightarrow$

$$\text{Fin } A_{r,s} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid f_x(y) = \frac{p_x(y)}{q_x(y)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \deg(p_x) = r \\ \deg(q_x) = s \end{array} \right. \text{ for a } y \right\}$$

By assumption, $\bigcup_{r,s \in \mathbb{N}} A_{r,s}$ has full measure

$\Rightarrow \exists (r_0, s_0)$ such that $A_{r_0, s_0} =: A$ has positive measure in $\mathbb{R}^k$.

$$\text{Let } B = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_x(y) = \frac{p_x(y)}{q_x(y)} \text{ for } \forall x \in A \right\}$$

By Fubini, B has full measure in $\mathbb{R}$.

Choose $t_0, \dots, t_{r_0+s_0} \in B$
 such that $c_j := f^{t_j}: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 are essentially rational.

$\hookrightarrow$

For $x \in A$, write

$$f(x, y) = f_x(y) = \frac{P_x(y)}{q_x(y)}$$

$$= \frac{y^{r_0} + a_{r_0-1}(x)y^{r_0-1} + \dots + a_0(x)}{b_{s_0}(x)y^{s_0} + b_{s_0-1}(x)y^{s_0-1} + \dots + b_0(x)}$$

Goal: show that $a_i(x), b_i(x)$'s coincide with rational functions on A .

Note: *essentially rational* $c_j(x) = f^{t_j}_j(x) = f(x, t_j)$

$$= \frac{t_j^{r_0} + \dots + a_0(x)}{b_{s_0}(x)t_j^{s_0} + \dots + b_0(x)}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

↳

$$\Rightarrow \left[c_j(x) t_j^{s_0} \right] b_{s_0}(x) + \dots + \left[c_j(x) \right] b_0(x) \\ - \left[t_j^{r_0-1} \right] a_{r_0-1}(x) - \dots - a_0(x) = t_j^{r_0}$$

Consider this as a linear equation
in the unknowns $a_i(x)$, $b_i(x)$ and
coefficients t_j , $c_j(x)$.

Since inversion is a rational function
on the space of matrices (Cramer's Rule),
 a_i and b_i are ^{essentially} rational in $t_j, c_j(x)$

$\Rightarrow a_i, b_i$ are essentially rational
on A . $\square$

Thm (Journé): Assume $f: \overset{(0,1)^k \times (0,1)^m}{\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 has the property that $f_x = f(x, \cdot)$
 belongs to a compact subset of
 $C^{r,0}((0,1)^k; \mathbb{R})$ and similarly
 for $f^y = f(\cdot, y)$. Then $f \in C^r$.

On the other hand, $\exists$ functions:
 $f(x, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, y)$ are
 C^r but f is not C^r .

Ex: show that Marpulo's thm
 holds for $f: \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$,
 V a variety.

→ if V affine, use coordinate functions.
 → if V projective, use that V
 is covered by finitely many
 affine charts.

Cor: Let U_+ be the unstable subgroup
 of some $\hat{g} \in A \subseteq G$, A Cartan.
 generic

Then if $f: U_+ \rightarrow V$ has that
 $f|_{U_u}$ is essentially rational $\forall x \in \Delta_+$
 x and $x \in U_+$, then f is
 essentially rational.

Pre-Lemma: Each U_x is rationally
equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(U_x)}$.

Pf of pre-lemma:

$$\exp: \underbrace{\text{Lie}(U_x)}_{\mathbb{R}^{\dim(U_x)}} \rightarrow U_x \text{ is } \varphi$$

polynomial time U_x is nilpotent
(similarly $\log$ is polynomial).

Things to check:

- $\exp$ is onto
- U_x is simply connected
($\exp$ is injective)

↳

exp is onto BCH.

$$\exp(X) \exp(Y) = \exp(P(X, Y))$$

↑
power series
in general;
polynomial
for nilpotent.

U_x is simply connected

Assume otherwise. Then

$\exists X \in \text{Lie}(U_x)$ such that

$$\exp(tX) = e \text{ for some } t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Choose $Y \in \text{Lie}(A)$ s.t. $\chi(Y) < 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(sY) \exp(t_0 X) \exp(-sY) = e$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \exp(\text{Ad}(\exp(sY)) t_0 X) \\ &= \exp(e^{s\chi(Y)} t_0 X) \hookrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Rem:

• $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not
in the image
of exp,
but
 $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

$\Rightarrow \text{exp}(tx) = 0, \forall t,$
a contradiction.

Last Thursday: U_+ is built in the

following way:

$$U_+ = U_{[0]}$$

$$1 \rightarrow U_{[k]} \rightarrow U_{[k+1]} \rightarrow U_k \rightarrow 1.$$

$$U_{[k+1]} \stackrel{\text{nat}}{\cong} U_{[k]} \times U_{k+1}$$

If of cor:

Since each $U_e \stackrel{\text{not}}{\cong} \mathbb{R}^{\dim(U_e)}$

We can inductively apply Margulis lemma to get f is essentially rational.

~~QED~~: If f_k is rational and $f_k \rightarrow f$ (of the same degree).

Exr:

on a ~~compact~~ positive measure set, then f is essentially rational.