

## $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein splinter rings of characteristic $p$ are $F$ -regular

BY ANURAG K. SINGH†

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, U.S.A.  
e-mail: singh6@math.uiuc.edu

(Received 5 May 1998; revised 8 October 1998)

### 1. Introduction

A Noetherian integral domain  $R$  is said to be a *splinter* if it is a direct summand, as an  $R$ -module, of every module-finite extension ring (see [Ma]). In the case that  $R$  contains the field of rational numbers, it is easily seen that  $R$  is splinter if and only if it is a normal ring, but the notion is more subtle for rings of characteristic  $p > 0$ . It is known that  $F$ -regular rings of characteristic  $p$  are splinters and Hochster and Huneke showed that the converse is true for locally excellent Gorenstein rings [HH4]. In this paper we extend their result by showing that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein splinters are  $F$ -regular. Our main theorem is:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a locally excellent  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein integral domain of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $R$  is  $F$ -regular if and only if it is a splinter.*

These issues are closely related to the question of whether the *tight closure*  $I^*$  of an ideal  $I$  of a characteristic  $p$  domain agrees with its *plus closure*, i.e.  $I^+ = IR^+ \cap R$ , where  $R^+$  denotes the integral closure of  $R$  in an algebraic closure of its fraction field. We always have the containment  $I^+ \subseteq I^*$  and Smith showed that equality holds if  $I$  is a parameter ideal in an excellent domain  $R$  (see [Sm1]). An excellent domain  $R$  of characteristic  $p$  is splinter if and only if for all ideals  $I$  of  $R$ , we have  $I^+ = I$ .

For an excellent local domain  $R$  of characteristic  $p$ , Hochster and Huneke showed that  $R^+$  is a big Cohen–Macaulay algebra, see [HH2]. For further work on  $R^+$  and plus closure see [Ab, AH]. Our main references for the theory of tight closure are [HH1, HH3, HH4].

Although tight closure is primarily a characteristic  $p$  notion, it has strong connections with the study of singularities of algebraic varieties over fields of characteristic zero. For  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein rings essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, it is known that  $F$ -regular type is equivalent to log-terminal singularities (see [Ha, Sm2, Sm3, Wa]). Consequently our main theorem offers a characterization of log-terminal singularities in characteristic zero, see Corollary 3.3.

### 2. Preliminaries

By the *canonical ideal* of a Cohen–Macaulay normal domain  $(R, m)$ , we shall mean an ideal of  $R$  which is isomorphic to the canonical module of  $R$ . We next record some results that we shall use later in our work.

† Present address: Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, U.S.A.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $(R, m)$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local domain with canonical ideal  $J$ . Fix a system of parameters  $y_1, \dots, y_a$  for  $R$  and let  $s \in J$  be an element which represents a socle generator in  $J/(y_1, \dots, y_a)$ . Then for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , the element  $s(y_1 \cdots y_a)^{t-1}$  is a socle generator in  $J/(y_1^t, \dots, y_a^t)J$ . The ideals  $I_t = (y_1^t, \dots, y_a^t)J :_R s$  form a family of irreducible ideals which are confinal with the powers of the maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* See the proof of [HH4, theorem 4.6].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay normal domain with canonical ideal  $J$ . Pick  $y_1 \neq 0$  in  $J$ . Then there exists an element  $y_2$  not in any minimal prime of  $y_1$  and  $\gamma \in J$  such that  $y_2^i J^{(i)} \subseteq \gamma^i R$  for all positive integers  $i$ .*

*Proof.* This is [Wi, lemma 4.3].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $(R, m)$  be a normal local domain and  $J$  an ideal of pure height one, which has order  $n$  when regarded as an element of the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . Then for  $0 < i < n$ , we have  $J^{(i)}J^{(n-i)} \subseteq J^{(n)}m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J^{(n)} = \alpha R$ . Clearly  $J^{(i)}J^{(n-i)} \subseteq \alpha R$  and it suffices to show that  $J^{(i)}J^{(n-i)} \not\subseteq \alpha R$ . If  $J^{(i)}J^{(n-i)} = \alpha R$ , then  $J^{(i)}$  is an invertible fractional ideal and so must be a projective  $R$ -module. Since  $R$  is local,  $J^{(i)}$  is a free  $R$ -module, but this is a contradiction since  $J^{(i)}$  cannot be principal for  $0 < i < n$ .

Discussion 2.4. Let  $(R, m)$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein Cohen–Macaulay normal local domain, with canonical ideal  $J$ . Let  $n$  denote the order of  $J$  as an element of the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$  and pick  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $J^{(n)} = \alpha R$ . Consider the subring  $R[JT, J^{(2)}T^2, \dots]$  of  $R[T]$  and let

$$S = R[JT, J^{(2)}T^2, \dots]/(\alpha T^n - 1).$$

Note that  $S$  has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -grading where  $[S]_0 = R$  and for  $0 < i < n$  we have  $[S]_i = J^{(i)}T^i$ . We claim that the ideal

$$\mathfrak{m} = m + JT + J^{(2)}T^2 + \cdots + J^{(n-1)}T^{n-1}$$

is a maximal ideal of  $S$ . Since each  $J^{(i)}$  is an ideal of  $R$ , we need only verify that  $J^{(i)}T^i \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  for  $0 < i < n-1$ , but this follows from Lemma 2.3. Note furthermore that  $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq mS$ .

### 3. The main result

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The property of being a splinter localizes, as does the property of being  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein. Hence if the splinter ring  $R$  is not F-regular, we may localize at a prime ideal  $P \in \text{Spec } R$  which is minimal with respect to the property that  $R_P$  is not F-regular. After a change of notation, we have a splinter  $(R, m)$  which has an isolated non F-regular point at the maximal ideal  $m$ . This shows that  $R$  has an  $m$ -primary test ideal. However since  $R$  is a splinter it must be F-pure and so the test ideal is precisely the maximal ideal  $m$ . Note that by [Sm1, theorem 5.1] parameter ideals of  $R$  are tightly closed and  $R$  is indeed F-rational.

Let  $\dim R = d$ . Choose a system of parameters for  $R$  as follows: first pick a nonzero element  $y_1 \in J$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2, pick  $y_2$  not in any minimal prime of  $y_1$  such that  $y_2^i J^{(i)} \subseteq \gamma^i R$  for a fixed element  $\gamma \in J$ , for all positive integers  $i$ . Extend  $y_1, y_2$  to a full system of parameters  $y_1, \dots, y_a$  for  $R$ . Since  $y_1 \in J$ , there exists  $u \in R$  such that  $s = uy_1$  is a socle generator in  $J/(y_1, \dots, y_a)J$ . Let  $Y$  denote the product  $y_1 \cdots y_a$ .

Consider the family of ideals  $\{I_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{N}}$  as in Lemma 2.1. If  $R$  is not F-regular, there exists an irreducible ideal  $I_c = (y_1^c, \dots, y_d^c)J:_{R^s}$  which is not tightly closed, specifically  $Y^{c-1} \in I_c^*$ . Consequently  $sY^{c-1} \in (y_1^c, \dots, y_d^c)J^*$  and  $sY^{c-1} \in (y_1^c, \dots, y_d^c)JS^*$  and so

$$sTY^{c-1} \in (y_1^c, \dots, y_d^c)JTS^* \subseteq (y_1^c, \dots, y_d^c)S^*.$$

We shall first imitate the proof of [Sm1, lemma 5.2] to obtain from this an ‘equational condition’. Let  $z = sTY^{c-1}$  and  $x_i = y_i^c$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We then have  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)S^*$ . Consider the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = m + JT + J^{(2)}T^2 + \dots + J^{(n-1)}T^{n-1}$  of  $S$  and the highest local cohomology module

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(S) = \varinjlim S/(x_1^i, \dots, x_d^i),$$

where the maps in the direct limit system are induced by multiplication by  $x_1 \cdots x_d$ .

Since the test ideal of  $R$  is  $m$ , if  $Q_0$  is a power of  $p$  greater than  $n$ , we have  $\mathfrak{m}^{Q_0} z^q \in (x_1^q, \dots, x_d^q)S$  for all  $q = p^e$ .

Let  $\eta$  denote  $[z + (x_1, \dots, x_d)S]$  viewed as an element of  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(S)$  and  $N$  be the  $S$ -submodule of  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(S)$  spanned by all  $F^e(\eta)$  where  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(S)$  is an  $S$ -module with DCC, there exists  $e_0$  such that the submodules generated by  $F^{e_0}(N)$  and  $F^{e'}(N)$  agree for all  $e' \geq e_0$ . Hence there exists an equation of the form

$$F^{e_0}(\eta) = a_1 F^{e_1}(\eta) + \dots + a_k F^{e_k}(\eta),$$

with  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in S$  and  $e_0 < e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_k$ . If some  $a_i$  is not a unit, we may use suitably high Frobenius iterations on the equation above and the fact that for  $Q_0 \geq n$  we have  $\mathfrak{m}^{Q_0} F^e(\eta) = 0$  for all  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , to replace the above equation by one in which the coefficients which occur are indeed units. Hence we have an equation  $F^e(\eta) = a_1 F^{e_1}(\eta) + \dots + a_k F^{e_k}(\eta)$  where  $e < e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_k$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are units. Let  $q = p^e$ ,  $q_i = p^{e_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $X = x_1 \cdots x_d$ . Rewriting our equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} [z^q X^{q_k - q} + (x_1^{q_k}, \dots, x_d^{q_k})S] &= a_1 [z^{q_1} X^{q_k - q_1} + (x_1^{q_1}, \dots, x_d^{q_1})S] \\ &\quad + \dots + a_k [z^{q_k} + (x_1^{q_k}, \dots, x_d^{q_k})S], \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $[z^q X^{q_k - q} - a_1 z^{q_1} X^{q_k - q_1} - \dots - a_k z^{q_k} + (x_1^{q_k}, \dots, x_d^{q_k})S] = 0$ . Since the ring  $S$  may not necessarily be Cohen–Macaulay, we cannot assume that the maps in the direct limit system  $\varinjlim S/(x_1^i, \dots, x_d^i)$  are injective. However for a suitable positive integer  $b$  we do obtain the equation

$$(zX^{b-1})^Q \in (x_1^{bQ}, \dots, x_d^{bQ}, zX^{bQ-1}, z^p X^{bQ-p}, \dots, z^{Q/p} X^{bQ-Q/p})S,$$

where  $Q = q_k$ . Going back to the earlier notation and setting  $t = bc$ , we have

$$(sTY^{t-1})^Q \in (y_1^{tQ}, \dots, y_d^{tQ}, sTY^{tQ-1}, (sT)^p Y^{tQ-p}, \dots, (sT)^{Q/p} Y^{tQ-Q/p})S.$$

Note that  $1/T = \alpha T^{n-1} \in S$  and, multiplying the above by  $1/T^Q$ , we get

$$(sY^{t-1})^Q \in \left( y_1^{tQ} \frac{1}{T^Q}, \dots, y_d^{tQ} \frac{1}{T^Q}, sY^{tQ-1} \frac{1}{T^{Q-1}}, s^p Y^{tQ-p} \frac{1}{T^{Q-p}}, \dots, s^{Q/p} Y^{tQ-Q/p} \frac{1}{T^{Q-Q/p}} \right) S.$$

Since  $(sY^{t-1})^Q \in [S]_0 = R$ , we may intersect the ideal above with  $R$  to obtain

$$(sY^{t-1})^Q \in (y_1^{tQ} J^{(Q)}, \dots, y_d^{tQ} J^{(Q)}, sY^{tQ-1} J^{(Q-1)}, s^p Y^{tQ-p} J^{(Q-p)}, \dots, s^{Q/p} Y^{tQ-Q/p} J^{(Q-Q/p)})R.$$

Replacing  $s = uy_1$  above, we get

$$(uy_1 Y^{t-1})^Q \in (y_1^{tQ} J^{(Q)}, \dots, y_d^{tQ} J^{(Q)}, (uy_1) Y^{tQ-1} J^{(Q-1)}, \\ (uy_1)^p Y^{tQ-p} J^{(Q-p)}, \dots, (uy_1)^{Q/p} Y^{tQ-Q/p} J^{(Q-Q/p)}) R.$$

Let  $Z = Y/y_1 = y_2 \cdots y_d$ . We then have

$$(uZ^{t-1})^Q y_1^{tQ} \in (y_1^{tQ} J^{(Q)}, y_2^{tQ}, \dots, y_d^{tQ}, uy_1^{tQ} Z^{tQ-1} J^{(Q-1)}, \\ u^p y_1^{tQ} Z^{tQ-p} J^{(Q-p)}, \dots, u^{Q/p} y_1^{tQ} Z^{tQ-Q/p} J^{(Q-Q/p)}) R.$$

Using the fact that  $y_1, \dots, y_d$  are a system of parameters for the Cohen–Macaulay ring  $R$ , we get

$$(uZ^{t-1})^Q \in (J^{(Q)}, y_2^{tQ}, \dots, y_d^{tQ}, uZ^{tQ-1} J^{(Q-1)}, u^p Z^{tQ-p} J^{(Q-p)}, \dots, u^{Q/p} Z^{tQ-Q/p} J^{(Q-Q/p)}) R.$$

Consequently there exists  $a \in J^{(Q)}$ ,  $b_i \in R$  and  $c_{p^e} \in J^{(Q-Q/p^e)}$  such that

$$(uZ^{t-1})^Q = a + \sum_{i=2}^d b_i y_i^{tQ} + c_1 uZ^{tQ-1} + c_p u^p Z^{tQ-p} + \cdots + c_{Q/p} u^{Q/p} Z^{tQ-Q/p}.$$

For  $2 \leq i \leq d$ , consider the following equations in the variables  $V_2, \dots, V_d$ :

$$V_i^Q = b_i + c_1 V_i \left( \frac{Z}{y_i} \right)^{tQ-t} + c_p V_i^p \left( \frac{Z}{y_i} \right)^{tQ-tp} + \cdots + c_{Q/p} V_i^{Q/p} \left( \frac{Z}{y_i} \right)^{tQ-tQ/p}.$$

Since these are monic equations defined over  $R$ , there exists a module finite normal extension ring  $R_1$ , with solutions  $v_i$  of these equations. Working in the ring  $R_1$ , let

$$w = uZ^{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^d v_i y_i^t.$$

Combining the earlier equations, we have

$$w^Q = a + c_1 wZ^{tQ-t} + c_p w^p Z^{tQ-tp} + \cdots + c_{Q/p} w^{Q/p} Z^{tQ-tQ/p}.$$

Multiplying this equation by  $y_2^Q$  and using the fact that  $y_2^i J^{(i)} \subseteq \gamma^i R$  for all positive integers  $i$ , we get

$$(wy_2)^Q = d_0 \gamma^Q + d_1 wy_2 \gamma^{Q-1} + d_p (wy_2)^p \gamma^{Q-p} + \cdots + d_{Q/p} (wy_2)^{Q/p} \gamma^{Q-Q/p}.$$

The above equation gives an equation by which  $wy_2/\gamma$  is integral over the ring  $R_1$ . Since  $R_1$  is normal, we have  $wy_2 \in \gamma R_1$ . Combining this with  $w = uZ^{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^d v_i y_i^t$ , we have

$$uZ^{t-1} y_2 = wy_2 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^d v_i y_i^t \right) y_2 \in (J, y_2^{t+1}, y_2 y_3^t, \dots, y_2 y_d^t) R_1$$

and so

$$uZ^{t-1} y_2 \in (J, y_2^{t+1}, y_2 y_3^t, \dots, y_2 y_d^t)^+ = (J, y_2^{t+1}, y_2 y_3^t, \dots, y_2 y_d^t) R.$$

Since  $y_2$  is not in any minimal prime of  $J$ , we get  $uZ^{t-1} \in (J, y_2^t, y_3^t, \dots, y_d^t) R$ . Multiplying this by  $y_1$ , we get

$$sZ^{t-1} \in (y_1 J, y_1 y_2^t, y_1 y_3^t, \dots, y_1 y_d^t) R \subseteq (y_1, y_2^t, y_3^t, \dots, y_d^t) J,$$

but this contradicts the fact that  $s$  generates the socle in  $J/(y_1, \dots, y_d) J$ .

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be an excellent integral domain of dimension two over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $R$  is a splinter if and only if it is  $F$ -regular.*

*Proof.* The hypotheses imply that  $R$  is  $F$ -rational, and so has a torsion divisor class group by a result of Lipman [Li]. Hence  $R$  must be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$  be a domain finitely generated over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. We say  $R$  is of *splinter type* if there exists a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $A \subseteq K$  and a finitely generated free  $A$ -algebra  $R_A = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I_A$  such that  $R \cong R_A \otimes_A K$ , and for all maximal ideals  $\mu$  in a Zariski dense subset of  $\text{Spec } A$ , the fibre rings  $R_A \otimes_A A/\mu$  (which are rings over fields of characteristic  $p$ ) are splinter.

Using the equivalence of  $F$ -regular type and log-terminal singularities for rings finitely generated over a field of characteristic zero (see [Ha, Sm3, Wa]) we obtain the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein domain over a field of characteristic zero. Then  $R$  has log-terminal singularities if and only if it is of splinter type.*

*Acknowledgements.* It is a pleasure to thank Melvin Hochster for several valuable discussions on tight closure theory.

## REFERENCES

- [Ab] I. ABERBACH. Tight closure in  $F$ -rational rings. *Nagoya Math. J.* **135** (1994), 43–54.
- [AH] I. ABERBACH and M. HOCHSTER. Finite Tor dimension and failure of coherence in absolute integral closures. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **122** (1997), 171–184.
- [Ha] N. HARA. A characterisation of rational singularities in terms of injectivity of Frobenius maps. *Amer. J. Math.* **120** (1998), 981–996.
- [HH1] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE. Tight closure, invariant theory and the Briançon–Skoda theorem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), 31–116.
- [HH2] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE. Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen–Macaulay algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **135** (1992), 53–89.
- [HH3] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE.  $F$ -regularity, test elements and smooth base change. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), 1–62.
- [HH4] M. HOCHSTER and C. HUNEKE. Tight closure of parameter ideals and splitting in module-finite extensions. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994), 599–670.
- [Li] J. LIPMAN. Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 195–279.
- [Ma] F. MA. Splitting in integral extensions, Cohen–Macaulay modules and algebras. *J. Algebra* **116** (1988), 176–195.
- [Sm1] K. E. SMITH. Tight closure of parameter ideals. *Invent. Math.* **115** (1994), 41–60.
- [Sm2] K. E. SMITH.  $F$ -rational rings have rational singularities. *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997), 159–180.
- [Sm3] K. E. SMITH. Vanishing theorems, singularities and effective bounds in algebraic geometry via prime characteristic local algebra. In *Algebraic geometry – Santa Cruz, 1995*. J. Kollár, R. Lazarsfeld and David R. Morrison (eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 62 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997), pp. 289–325.
- [Wa] K.-I. WATANABE.  $F$ -purity and  $F$ -regularity vs. log-canonical singularities, preprint.
- [Wi] L. WILLIAMS. Uniform stability of kernels of Koszul cohomology indexed by the Frobenius endomorphism. *J. Algebra* **172** (1995), 721–743.