

# NOTES ON CHARACTERISTIC $p$ COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

## APRIL 10TH, 2017

KARL SCHWEDE

### 1. HILBERT-KUNZ MULTIPLICITY

We continue our study of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity.

**1.1. Existence of the limit.** We follow closely the recent proof of the existence of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity as shown in [PT16].

First we state a fact that we won't have time to prove.

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local  $F$ -finite Noetherian domain of dimension  $d$  then*

$$[F_*^e K(R) : K(R)] = [F_*^e k : k] \cdot p^{ed}.$$

You probably already believe it anyways.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Suppose that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local ring and that  $M$  is a finite  $R$ -module. Then there exists a constant (depending on  $M$ ) so that*

$$\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}M) \leq C \cdot p^{e \dim M}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by  $t$  elements, then  $\mathfrak{m}^{tp^e} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{tp^e - t + 1} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}$  by the pigeon-hole principal. But

$$\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{tp^e})$$

is eventually a polynomial

$$D \cdot (tp^e)^{\dim M} + \dots = D \cdot t^{\dim M} \cdot p^{e \dim M} + \dots$$

of degree  $\dim M$  in  $p^e$ . We can thus pick  $C = D \cdot t^{\dim M}$  for  $e \gg 0$ , and choosing  $C$  even bigger for finitely many smaller  $e$  completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 1.3.* The fact that  $\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{tp^e})$  is eventually a polynomial can be found for example in [AM69, Chapter 11] for the case that  $M = R$ . For the general case, if you only want to bound its length by a polynomial of degree  $\dim M$  (which is all we actually need), write  $J = \text{Ann}_R(M)$  and note that  $\dim R/J = \dim M$  and that there exists a surjection  $(R/J)^{\oplus b} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  for some  $b > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite domain with  $[F_* K(R) : K(R)] = p^\gamma$ . Then there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\oplus p^\gamma} \rightarrow F_* R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

*such that  $\dim(M) < \dim(R)$ .*

*Proof.* After inverting an element  $c \in R$ , we have that  $R_c^{\oplus p^\gamma} \cong F_* R_c$ . This gives us an injective map  $R^{\oplus p^\gamma} \rightarrow F_* R_c$ . If the image is not in  $F_* R \subseteq F_* R_c$ , then multiplying by a high power of  $c \in R$  will make it in  $R$ . This gives us the first map. Then if we let  $M$  be the cokernel, it is easy to see that  $\text{Supp } M \subseteq V(c)$  and so  $\dim(M) \leq \dim(R/cR) < \dim R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is an  $F$ -finite Noetherian local domain of characteristic  $p > 0$  and dimension  $d > 0$  and that  $\{I_e\}$  is a sequence of ideals such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} \subseteq I_e$  for all  $e > 0$ . Suppose further that  $I_e^{[p]} \subseteq I_{e+1}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_R(R/I_e)}{p^{ed}}$$

*exists*

*Proof.* We follow [PT16]. Consider a short exact sequence as in Lemma 1.4

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\oplus p^\gamma} \xrightarrow{\phi} F_* R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\dim M < \dim R$ . Now,  $I_e^{[p]} \subseteq (I_{e+1})$  implies that  $I_e F_* R \subseteq F_* I_{e+1}$  and so

$$\phi(I_e^{\oplus p^\gamma}) = \phi(I_e \cdot R^{\oplus p^\gamma}) \subseteq I_e F_* R = F_* I_e^{[p]} \subseteq F_* I_{e+1}.$$

Thus we have

$$\bar{\phi} : (R/I_e)^{p^\gamma} \rightarrow F_*(R/I_{e+1}).$$

Therefore the length of  $(R/I_e)^{p^\gamma}$  plus the length of the cokernel of  $\phi$  is at least the length of  $F_*(R/I_{e+1})$ . In other words

$$(1.5.1) \quad \ell_R(\operatorname{coker} \bar{\phi}) + p^\gamma \ell_R(R/I_e) \geq \ell_R(F_* R/I_{e+1}) = [k^{1/p} : k] \cdot \ell_R(R/I_{e+1}).$$

Now,  $\operatorname{coker} \bar{\phi}$  is the image of  $F_*(R/I_{e+1})$  and so  $F_* I_{e+1}$  annihilates it. But

$$\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]} \subseteq F_* \mathfrak{m}^{[p^{e+1}]} \subseteq F_* I_{e+1}$$

and so  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}$  annihilates  $\operatorname{coker} \bar{\phi}$ . But  $\operatorname{coker} \bar{\phi}$  is also the image over  $M$  and hence of  $M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}M$ . In particular

$$\ell_R(\operatorname{coker} \bar{\phi}) \leq \ell_R(M/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}M).$$

By Lemma 1.2, this is bounded by  $C_M p^{e(d-1)}$ . Now, we divide (1.5.1) by  $[F_* k : k] p^{(e+1)d} = p^{ed+\gamma}$  and obtain

$$(1.5.2) \quad \frac{C_M/p^\gamma}{p^e} + \frac{1}{p^{ed}} \ell_R(R/I_e) \geq \frac{1}{p^{(e+1)d}} \ell_R(F_* R/I_{e+1}).$$

The existence of the limit now follows from the following lemma. □

**Lemma 1.6.** *Suppose that  $p$  is prime,  $d > 0$  an integer, and  $\{t_e\}$  is a sequence of real numbers such that  $\{t_e/p^{ed}\}$  is bounded. Further suppose that there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$C/p^e + \frac{1}{p^{ed}} t_e \geq \frac{1}{p^{(e+1)d}} t_{e+1}.$$

*Then  $t := \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_e}{p^{ed}}$  exists and  $t - 1/p^{ed} t_e \leq \frac{2C}{p^e}$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [AM69] M. F. ATIYAH AND I. G. MACDONALD: *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. MR0242802 (39 #4129)
- [PT16] T. POLSTRA AND K. TUCKER: *F-signature and hilbert-kunz multiplicity: a combined approach and comparison*, arXiv:1608.02678.