

NOTES ON CHARACTERISTIC p COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

MARCH 6TH, 2017

KARL SCHWEDE

Last time we saw the following:

Theorem 0.1. *An excellent¹ reduced Noetherian ring R with a dualizing complex is normal if and only if it is \mathbf{S}_2 and \mathbf{R}_1 .*

Remark 0.2. We included some hypotheses above to make our lives easier that are not strictly necessary. Indeed, the above holds even without assuming that R is excellent with a dualizing complex.

Note, in many cases it is easy to verify that certain rings are normal. Indeed if R is Gorenstein (for example, if it is defined by a hypersurface or a complete intersection) and \mathbf{R}_1 , then it is automatically normal. For example, $k[x, y, z]/\langle x^a + y^b - z^c \rangle$ is normal if p does not divide a, b, c since then the singular locus is at the origin (using the Jacobian criterion).

In the previous proof, the ideal $\text{Ann}_R(R^N/R)$ appeared, this ideal has a special name.

Definition 0.3. The ideal $\mathfrak{c} := \text{Ann}_R(R^N/R)$ is called the *conductor of R^N over R* . It is also an ideal of R^N .

Let's now move towards a proof that strongly F -regular rings are normal.

Lemma 0.4. *Suppose that R is an F -finite Noetherian reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and pick $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$. Then $\phi(F_*^e \mathfrak{c}) \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Tensoring $\phi : F_*^e R \rightarrow R$ by $K(R)$ induces a map $\phi_{K(R)} : F_*^e K(R) \rightarrow K(R)$ which restricts to ϕ and so which we also denote by ϕ . Choose $x \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $r \in R^N$. Then $\phi(F_*^e x) \cdot r = \phi(F_*^e(r^{p^e} x))$. But $r^{p^e} \in R^N$ and $xR^N \subseteq R$ so $\phi(F_*^e(r^{p^e} x)) \in R$. Thus $\phi(F_*^e x) \cdot R^N \subseteq R$ and so $\phi(F_*^e x) \in \mathfrak{c}$ as desired. \square

Corollary 0.5. *Strongly F -regular rings are normal.*

Proof. If R was not normal, then the conductor satisfies $0 \neq \mathfrak{c} \neq R$ and so choose $0 \neq c \in \mathfrak{c}$. By hypothesis, $\phi(F_*^e c) \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ and so $\phi(F_*^e c) \neq 1$ for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ which proves that R is not strongly F -regular. \square

Example 0.6. $R = k[x, y]/\langle xy \rangle$ is F -split, by Fedder's criterion, but not normal since it is not \mathbf{R}_1 . So we cannot weaken the above strongly F -regular hypothesis to simply being F -split.

Let's discuss an example of a non-normal ring.

¹We only include this to guarantee that R^N is a finitely generated R -module

Example 0.7 (The node). Consider the ring $S = k[x]$ and consider the subring $R = \{f \in S \mid f(0) = f(1)\}$ which one can also view as the pullback of the diagram

$$S \xrightarrow{\alpha} S/\langle x \rangle \cap \langle x-1 \rangle \xleftarrow{\beta} k.$$

In other words $\{(s, t) \in S \oplus k \mid \alpha(s) = \beta(t)\}$. We claim that

$$R = k[x(x-1), x^2(x-1)] \subseteq k[x] = S.$$

Obviously $x(x-1)$ and $x^2(x-1)$ are both in R . On the other hand, if $f \in R$ with $f(0) = f(1) = \lambda$, then $f - \lambda \in R$ and viewing $f - \lambda \in S$, we see that $f \in \langle x(x-1) \rangle_S = I_S \subseteq R$. Thus the question is, are the elements $a = x(x-1)$, $b = x^2(x-1)$ enough to produce all of I_S by multiplying a, b together and scaling them by elements of k . For example, if we have $hx(x-1) \in I$ with $h = h_0 + h_1x + h_2x^2 + \dots$, we can certainly assume that $h = h_2x^2 + \dots$ (since lower degree terms are easy to handle with a, b). But

$$c = x^2 \cdot x(x-1) = a^2 + b, d = x^3 \cdot (x-1) = a \cdot b - c$$

and so on...

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that $R = k[a, b]/\langle a^3 + a \cdot b - b^2 \rangle$.

Example 0.8. Similarly, it is not difficult to see that $R = k[x^2, x^3] \subseteq k[x] = S$ is the pullback of $(S \rightarrow S/\langle x^2 \rangle \leftarrow k)$. In particular, the cusp can be thought of as what you get when you kill first order tangent information at the origin of \mathbb{A}^1 .

Theorem 0.9. Suppose that we have a diagram of rings $(A \twoheadrightarrow A/I \xleftarrow{g} B)$ and let $C = \{(a, b) \mid \bar{a} = g(b)\}$ be the pullback. Then $\text{Spec } C$ is the pushout of the diagram of induced map of topological spaces $\{\text{Spec } A \leftarrow \text{Spec } A/I \rightarrow \text{Spec } B\}$.

REFERENCES