

NOTES ON CHARACTERISTIC  $p$  COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA  
MARCH 20TH, 2017

KARL SCHWEDE

1. FROBENIUS SPLITTINGS OF NON-NORMAL RINGS CONTINUED

While  $F$ -split and  $F$ -injective rings are not necessarily normal, they are something called weakly normal.

**Definition 1.1.** Suppose  $R$  is a reduced Noetherian ring  $R$  with finite normalization  $R^N$ . An extension of rings  $R \subseteq R' \subseteq R^N$  is called *subintegral* if  $\text{Spec } R' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is a homeomorphism and if  $Q' \in \text{Spec } R'$  then  $k(Q' \cap R) \rightarrow k(Q')$  is an isomorphism.  $R$  is called *seminormal* if the only subintegral extension of  $R$  is  $R' = R$ .

An extension of rings  $R \subseteq R' \subseteq R^N$  is called *weakly subintegral* if  $\text{Spec } R' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is a homeomorphism and if  $Q' \in \text{Spec } R'$  then  $k(Q' \cap R) \rightarrow k(Q')$  is inseparable.  $R$  is called *weakly normal* if the only weakly subintegral extension of  $R$  is  $R' = R$ .

We state some facts about weak and semi-normalization without proof.

**Lemma 1.2.** Suppose that  $R$  is an excellent Noetherian domain.

- The seminormalization of  $R$  exists. In other words there is a unique subintegral extension  $R \subseteq R^{SN} \subseteq R^N$  with  $R^{SN}$  seminormal
- The formation of the seminormalization commutes with localization. In particular if  $R$  is seminormal so are its localizations.
- The weak normalization of  $R$  exists. In other words there is a unique weakly subintegral extension  $R \subseteq R^{WN} \subseteq R^N$  with  $R^{WN}$  weakly normal.
- The formation of the weak normalization commutes with localization. In particular if  $R$  is weakly normal so are its localizations.

Our goal for now is to show that  $F$ -injective rings (and hence  $F$ -split rings) are weakly normal. First we give another characterization of weakly normal rings.

**Proposition 1.3.** Suppose that  $R$  is a reduced Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p > 0$  with  $R \subseteq R^N$  finite. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $x \in K(R)$  and  $x^p \in R$  implies that  $x \in R$ .
- (b)  $R$  is weakly normal.

*Proof.* We first show that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $R$  is not weakly normal, this means that there exists  $R \subsetneq R'$  weakly subintegral. By localizing, we may assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is weakly normal except at  $\mathfrak{m}$  and so  $(R', \mathfrak{m}', k')$  is local as well. Choose some  $x \in R'$  which we will try to show is in  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be the conductor of  $R'/R$  and note it is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary by assumption (and also  $\mathfrak{m}'$ -primary in  $R'$ ). It is easy to see that  $R$  is the gluing of  $(R' \rightarrow R'/\mathfrak{c} \leftarrow R/\mathfrak{c})$ . Now, there are two possibilities.

- (1)  $x \in \mathfrak{m}'$ . In this case  $x^{p^e} \in \mathfrak{c}$  for some  $e$ . But  $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq R$  and this case is taken care of.

(2)  $x$  is a unit in  $R'$  and so consider  $\bar{x} \in R'/\mathfrak{m}' = k'$ . Thus  $\overline{x^{p^e}} \in k$  for some  $e > 0$  since  $k \subseteq k'$  is purely inseparable. Consider  $y \in R$  with the same image in  $k$ . It follows that  $z = x^{p^e} - y \in \mathfrak{m}'$  and so applying (1) to  $z$ , we see that  $z \in R$ . But then  $x^{p^e} = z + y \in R$  as well. But now  $x \in R$  again.

In either case,  $x \in R$ .

Now we prove that (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Choose  $x \in K(R)$  with  $x^p \in R$ . Consider the extension  $R \subseteq R[x]$ . It suffices to prove that this is weakly subintegral. Since we have  $R \subseteq R[x] \subseteq R^{1/p}$  are all integral extensions, we see that  $\text{Spec } R[x] \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is a bijection. On the other hand for each  $Q' \in \text{Spec } R[x]$  with  $Q = R \cap Q'$ , we see that  $k(Q) \subseteq k(Q') \subseteq k(Q)^{1/p}$  by the above factorization. Thus  $k(Q) \subseteq k(Q')$  is purely inseparable and so  $R \subseteq R[x]$  is weakly subintegral as claimed.  $\square$

We need one more lemma before proving our result on weak normality of  $F$ -injective rings.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that  $(R, m)$  is a reduced local ring of characteristic  $p$ ,  $X = \text{Spec } R$  and that  $X - m$  is weakly normal. Then  $X$  is weakly normal if and only if the action of Frobenius is injective on  $H_m^1(R)$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that the dimension of  $R$  is greater than 0 since the zero-dimensional case is trivial. Embed  $R$  in its weak normalization  $R \subset R^{\text{WN}}$  (which is of course an isomorphism outside of  $m$ ). We have the following diagram of  $R$ -modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma(X - m, \mathcal{O}_{X-m}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_m^1(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R^{\text{WN}} & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma(X^{\text{wn}} - m, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{wn}}-m}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H_m^1(R^{\text{WN}}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The left horizontal maps are injective because  $R$  and  $*R$  are reduced. One can check that Frobenius is compatible with all of these maps. Now,  $R$  is weakly normal if and only if  $R$  is weakly normal in  $R^{\text{WN}}$  if and only if every  $r \in R^{\text{WN}}$  with  $r^p \in R$  also satisfies  $r \in R$  by Proposition 1.3.

First assume that the action of Frobenius is injective on  $H_m^1(R)$ . So suppose that there is such an  $r \in R^{\text{WN}}$  with  $r^p \in R$ . Then  $r$  has an image in  $\Gamma(X - m, \mathcal{O}_{X-m})$  and therefore an image in  $H_m^1(R)$ . But  $r^p$  has a zero image in  $H_m^1(R)$ , which means  $r$  has zero image in  $H_m^1(R)$  which guarantees that  $r \in R$  as desired.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is weakly normal. Let  $r \in \Gamma(X - m, \mathcal{O}_{X-m})$  be an element such that the action of Frobenius annihilates its image  $\bar{r}$  in  $H_m^1(R)$ . Since  $r \in \Gamma(X - m, \mathcal{O}_{X-m})$  we identify  $r$  with a unique element of the total field of fractions of  $R$ . On the other hand,  $r^p \in R$  so  $r \in *R = R$ . Thus we obtain that  $r \in R$  and so  $\bar{r}$  is zero as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a reduced  $F$ -finite  $F$ -injective Noetherian ring. Then  $R$  is weakly normal.*

*Proof.* It is not difficult to verify that weak normality can be checked locally and so suppose that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring. Also recall that if  $Q$  is any prime of  $R$  then  $R_Q$  is also  $F$ -injective by the worksheet (here we use that  $R$  is  $F$ -finite). Now we need to show that  $R$  is weakly normal. If  $R$  is not weakly normal, choose a prime  $P \in \text{Spec } R$  of minimal

height with respect to the condition that  $R_P$  is not weakly normal. Apply 1.4 to get a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES