## HOMEWORK # 3 DUE MONDAY OCTOBER 3RD

## MATH 538 FALL 2011

**1.** Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field, and that R and S are two finite generated k algebras (in other words,  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/I$  and  $S = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]/J$ . Prove that there is a natural bijection between m-Spec  $R \otimes_k S$ , the maximal ideals of the ring  $R \otimes_k S$ , with (m-Spec R) × (m-Spec S).

*Hint:* Consider the maps  $R \to R \otimes_k S$  and  $S \to R \otimes_k S$  which sends  $r \mapsto r \otimes 1$  and  $s \mapsto 1 \otimes s$  respectively. Use these maps to induce maps from m-Spec  $R \otimes_k S$  to m-Spec R and m-Spec S respectively. Now take the product map.

**Solution:** Consider maps  $f : R \to R \otimes_k S$  and  $g : S \to R \otimes_k S$  as described in the hint. This gives us a map  $(f^{\#} \times g^{\#}) : \text{m-Spec}(R \otimes_k S) \to (\text{m-Spec} R) \times (\text{m-Spec} S)$ . We will call this map  $\varphi$ . We need to show it is bijective. We will use the letter A to denote the ring  $R \otimes_k S$ .

First we prove a lemma.

**Lemma 0.1.** If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of R and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a maximal ideal of S, then  $\mathfrak{c} := \langle f(\mathfrak{m}) \rangle + \langle g(\mathfrak{n}) \rangle = \mathfrak{m}A + \mathfrak{n}A$  is a maximal ideal of A.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $f: R \to A$  and apply the functor  $R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \bullet$ , we obtain

$$f': R/\mathfrak{m} \to R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R (R \otimes_k S) \cong (R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R R) \otimes_k S \cong R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_k S \cong S \cong A/(\mathfrak{m} A)$$

This map is injective because S is a free k-module (in fact every module over a vector space is free). Now consider the map  $\rho \circ g : S \to A \to A/(\mathfrak{m}A)$  which is an isomorphism by above and tensor with  $\bullet \otimes_S S/\mathfrak{n}$  and obtain the isomorphism

$$g'': S/\mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\rho \circ g} A/(\mathfrak{m}A) \otimes_S S/\mathfrak{n} \cong (R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_k S/\mathfrak{n}) \cong k \cong A/(\mathfrak{m}A + \mathfrak{n}A)$$

Thus  $A/(\mathfrak{m}A + \mathfrak{n}A)$  is a field and so  $\mathfrak{m}A + \mathfrak{n}A$  is maximal.

We first prove the injectivity so suppose that  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are maximal ideals of  $R \otimes_k S$  and that  $\varphi(\mathfrak{a}) = \varphi(\mathfrak{b})$  (so  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$  and likewise  $g^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) = g^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ ). Consider the ideal  $\langle f(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{a})) \rangle + \langle g(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{a})) \rangle = \langle f(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})) \rangle + \langle g(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})) \rangle$ . This is a maximal ideal, by the Lemma, contained inside both  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  and so the injectivity of  $\varphi$  is done.

Now we prove the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ . But this is easy since given  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  and constructing  $\mathfrak{c}$  as in the lemma, it is clear that  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{c}) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}$  (and so we must have equality) and likewise for  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

**2.** Show that problem 1. is false if k is not algebraically closed. Also show that it doesn't hold if m-Spec is replaced by Spec (even if k is algebraically closed).

**Solution:** We used the fact that k was algebraically closed, and so  $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k$  when we wrote  $R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_k S \cong S$  and also  $(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_k S/\mathfrak{n}) \cong k$ . Consider the  $k = \mathbb{R}$  and  $R \cong S \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  has 2 prime ideals and not one, they are  $\langle i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes i \rangle$  and  $\langle 1 \otimes i - i \otimes 1$ .

For the second part, set R = k[x] and S = k[y]. Then it is easy to see that  $R \otimes_k S \cong k[x, y]$ . The prime ideal  $Q = \langle x - y \rangle$  satisfies  $\varphi Q = (\langle 0 \rangle, \langle 0 \rangle)$  which is the same as  $\varphi(0)$ .

**3.** Suppose that R is a ring and  $M_i$  are R-modules. Prove that each of the  $M_i$  is flat if and only if the direct sum  $\bigoplus_i M_i$  is flat.

*Hint*: Recall that a module is flat if the functor  $\cdot \mapsto \cdot \otimes_R M$  is an exact functor.

Solution: This follows immediately from the fact that tensor commutes with direct sum (which I will not check).

4. In class we sketched the proof of Hom-Tensor adjointness. In other words, that if R is a ring and L, M, N are R-modules, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(L \otimes_R M, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(L, \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)).$$

In class, we only partially verified that there was a bijection of sets. Carefully write down a proof that they are isomorphic paying particular attention to verifying that these are isomorphic as *R*-modules. Additionally, prove that the isomorphism is functorial in the *L*-term (it's functorial in the other terms too, but let's just do one). This means that if  $f: L_1 \to L_2$  is an *R*-module homomorphism, prove that there is a commutative diagram (in other words, it doesn't matter how you traverse it)

where the horizontal arrows are induced by f (I'll leave it to you exactly how to induce the maps).

**Solution:** I refuse to write down any such homological algebra carefully, as it is impossible to read. This is something that must be done quietly in alone in a preferably dark room. I will also not be grading this problem. However, if you are desperate, this is I'm sure done carefully in many algebra texts. Simply search for "Hom-Tensor adjointness".

**5.** Prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\cdot, P)$  is left exact for any *R*-module *P* (in other words, if  $0 \to Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q'' \to 0$  is exact, then  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q'', P) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q, P) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q', P)$  is also exact).

**Solution:** Choose  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q'', P)$  and suppose that the composition  $Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q'' \xrightarrow{\varphi} P$  is zero, but then since  $\beta$  is surjective,  $\varphi$  is also zero, this shows the exactness in the middle.

Certainly also if  $\varphi : Q'' \to P$  induces  $Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q'' \xrightarrow{\varphi} P$  as above (ie,  $\varphi \circ \beta \in \text{Image}(\beta^{\vee})$ ), then the composition  $Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q'' \to P$  is zero since  $Q' \to Q \to Q''$  is already zero. Thus  $\text{Image}(\beta^{\vee}) \subseteq \ker(\alpha^{\vee})$ .

Suppose now that  $\psi: Q \to P$  is such that  $Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\psi} P$  is zero (in other words,  $\psi \in \ker(\alpha^{\vee})$ ). Thus we have a factorization  $Q \to Q/Q' \to P$  induced as in the first isomorphism theorem. But  $Q/Q' \cong Q''$  and so  $\psi \in \operatorname{Image}(\beta^{\vee})$  as well.

6. Show that if  $Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q''$  is a sequence of maps (not necessarily exact) and that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(Q'', P) \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q, P) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q', P)$  is exact for all *R*-modules *P*, then  $Q' \to Q \to Q''$  is also exact. This is a very special case of something called Yoneda's lemma.

**Solution:** Indeed, first we need to show that  $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ . Take P = Q'' and so we know that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(Q'', Q'') \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q, Q'') \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q', Q'')$  is exact. This means in particular that  $\alpha^{\vee}(\beta^{\vee}(\operatorname{id}_{Q''})) = 0$ . But this just means that the composition

$$Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\beta} Q'' \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_{Q''}} Q''$$

is zero which clearly implies that  $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ .

For the second part, we need to show that ker  $\beta \subseteq \text{Image } \alpha$ . This time take  $P = Q/\text{Image}(Q') = Q/\alpha(Q')$  and consider  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(Q, P) = \text{Hom}_R(Q, Q/\alpha(Q'))$  to be the natural surjection. Certainly the composition  $\alpha^{\vee}(\phi)$ which is simply the composition

$$Q' \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q \xrightarrow{\phi} P$$

is zero by the above work. Thus  $\phi = \beta^{\vee}(\psi)$  for some  $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(Q'', P)$ . In other words, the following composition

$$Q \xrightarrow{p} Q'' \xrightarrow{\psi} P$$

is  $\phi$ . But then  $\alpha Q' = \ker \phi \subseteq \ker \beta$  and the proof is complete.

7. Combine the previous three problems to prove the that  $\otimes M$  is right exact for any *R*-module *M*.

HOMEWORK # 3

*Hint:* First fix  $0 \to L' \to L \to L'' \to 0$  to be a short exact sequence. Use problem 6. to conclude that it is enough to show that  $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L' \otimes M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L \otimes M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L' \otimes M, N)$  is exact. Now use problem 4. followed immediately by problem 5. to complete the proof.

Solution: Using the notation from the hint, we use problem 6 in the way described. However, by problem 4,

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L'' \otimes M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L \otimes M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L' \otimes M, N)$ 

is exact if and only if

 $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L'', \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L, \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(L', \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N))$ 

is exact. This latter is exact by problem 5. and the proof is completed.