

**F-SINGULARITIES AND FROBENIUS SPLITTING NOTES**  
**9/9-2010**

KARL SCHWEDE

1. FROBENIUS SPLITTINGS OF PROJECTIVE VARIETIES AND GRADED RINGS

Given a projective variety  $X$  with a ((very) ample) Cartier divisor  $A$ , we can construct the section ring

$$S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_X(nA).$$

Likewise, given an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we can construct  $M := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{M}(n)$  where  $\widetilde{M} = \mathcal{M}$  (see for example [Har77]).

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a very ample divisor corresponding to an embedding into  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with associated section ring  $S$ , then  $S$  may or may not agree with the affine cone of  $X$  (in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ). If  $X$  is normal, then the affine cone and  $\text{Spec } S$  agree if and only if the embedding is projectively normal. However, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is sufficiently ample, then the two rings agree.

If  $X$  is an  $F$ -finite scheme, we can consider  $F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X$  and the associated module  $M := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X)(n)$  and compare it with  $F_*^e S$ .

*Question 1.1.* Is  $M$  isomorphic to  $F_*^e S$  as a graded  $S$ -module?

We'll answer this question with an example.

**Example 1.2.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ ,  $k = \bar{k}$  with the usual ample divisor  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ . In this case,  $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(np^e)$  which is quite different from  $F_*^e S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(n)$  (in  $F_*^e S$ , some graded pieces are  $k$ -vector spaces of dimension  $p$ ).

One should note that  $F_*^e M$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $S$ -module. It is instead a  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p^e]$ -graded  $S$ -module. By  $[F_*^e M]_{n=0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}}$  we mean the direct summand of  $F_*^e M$  with integral coefficients.

With this in mind.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Given a saturated  $S$ -module  $M$  corresponding to a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have an isomorphism of  $S$ -modules  $[F_*^e M]_{n=0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F_*^e \mathcal{M})(n)$ .*

This yields the following interesting result.

**Proposition 1.4.** *Suppose that  $X$  is an  $F$ -finite  $F$ -split scheme, and  $\mathcal{L}$  is any line bundle. Then the section ring*

$$S := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^i)$$

*is also Frobenius split.*

*Proof.* We have the following splittings for all  $i \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{L}^i \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{L}^{ip^e} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^i$$

where the composition is an isomorphism and the first map is Frobenius. This implies that  $S \rightarrow [F_* S]_{n=0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}}$  splits. But  $[F_* S]_{n=0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow F_* S$  also clearly splits. Composing these splittings gives the desired result.  $\square$

The converse to the previous proposition also holds if  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose that  $X$  is an  $F$ -finite  $F$ -split scheme,  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ample line bundle, and  $S$  is the section ring of  $X$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $S$  is Frobenius split, then so is  $X$ .*

We will prove this in stages. The first stage allows us to assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is (very very) ample (which isn't strictly necessary, but it is harmless and easy regardless).

**Lemma 1.6.** *If  $S$  is a Frobenius split graded ring, then any veronese subring is also Frobenius split.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S_{(n)}$  is the  $n$ th veronese subring of  $S$ . The map  $S_{(n)} \subseteq S$  is clearly split, thus  $S_{(n)}$  is Frobenius split as well.  $\square$

*Remark 1.7.* If  $S_{(n)}$  is Frobenius split and  $p$  does not divide  $n$ , then  $S$  is also split as we will see later (the Veronese map is étale in codimension 1 in this case).

**Lemma 1.8.** *If  $S$  is a Frobenius split graded ring, then  $S$  has a “graded” Frobenius splitting.*

*Proof.* To define a graded Frobenius splitting, we first have to remind ourselves what the grading on  $F_*S$  is. Remember,  $F_*S$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -graded, which makes the Frobenius map  $S \rightarrow F_*S$  a degree preserving graded map. A graded splitting is thus going to be a graded (degree preserving) map  $F_*S \rightarrow S$  that sends 1 to 1. Since  $S$  is split, there are obviously plenty of (possibly non-graded) maps  $\phi : F_*S \rightarrow S$  which sends 1 to 1. We simply have to find a graded such map.

On the other hand, we have the *evaluation-at-1* map  $\mathrm{Hom}_S(F_*S, S) \rightarrow S$ . Because  $S$  is  $F$ -finite, the module  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -graded  $\mathrm{Hom}_S(F_*S, S)$  is generated over  $S_0$  by graded but degree shifting maps  $F_*S \rightarrow S$ . So suppose  $\phi$  is an arbitrary splitting. We can write  $\phi = \phi_0 + \dots + \phi_n$  where  $\phi_n$  are degree shifting maps and  $\phi_0$  is degree preserving (this is a basic commutative algebra fact, a proof can be found in [BH93, Section 1.5]). It is clear that  $\phi_0(1) = 1$  because  $\phi(1)$  equals 1 and none of the other  $\phi_i(1)$  can possibly land in the correct degree. Thus  $\phi_0$  is our desired degree preserving splitting.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* We may assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is very (very) ample and so our ring standard graded (generated in degree 1). We have the following composition

$$S \rightarrow [F_*S]_{n=0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}} \rightarrow F_*S$$

By the previous lemma, this composition has a degree preserving graded splitting. Thus  $S \rightarrow [F_*S]_{n=0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}}$  also has a degree preserving graded splitting. Thus  $\mathcal{O}_X = \tilde{S} \rightarrow \widetilde{F_*S} = F_*\mathcal{O}_X$  also splits (as desired).  $\square$

Finally, let us give an example to elliptic curves. We have already seen that a supersingular elliptic curve cannot be  $F$ -split (ie, an  $F$ -split elliptic curve must be ordinary), we will now prove the converse.

First we recall that  $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(F_*^e\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X) \cong \omega_X$  (as we did this before, this was non-canonical) for  $X$  a variety over an algebraically closed (or even  $F$ -finite) field. Thus, applying the functor  $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\_, \mathcal{O}_X)$  to  $F : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X$  gives us a map  $F_*^e\omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X$  (sometimes called the trace map).

**Proposition 1.9.** *Suppose that  $X$  is an ordinary<sup>1</sup> elliptic curve, then  $X$  is  $F$ -split.*

<sup>1</sup>Ordinary means that  $F : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is injective.

*Proof.* We know that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$  is injective. Serre-duality tells us that  $H^0(X, F_*\omega_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \omega_X)$  is surjective (where this map is induced by what we called the trace map above, one can see this via Grothendieck duality or by a degenerating spectral sequence argument). But on an elliptic curve,  $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  so that we have a map  $\phi : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  that is surjective on global sections. In particular, there is a global section of  $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$  which is sent to 1 by  $\phi$ . This element is just a unit, and so by rescaling, we can assume that  $\phi$  sends 1 to 1 and is thus a splitting. This means  $\square$

We also do an example of these ideas for  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Example 1.10.** Suppose that  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$  where  $k = \bar{k}$ . For  $n = 1$  we already computed  $F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . Let us at least show that  $F_*^e\mathcal{O}_X$  is a direct sum of line bundles for  $n > 1$  (this is an old result due to Hartshorne). Let  $S$  denote the section ring with respect to the usual  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  (so that  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ ). We have the graded module  $M := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F_*^e\mathcal{O}_X)(n)$  which we know is a summand of  $F_*^e\mathcal{O}_S$ . However,  $F_*^e\mathcal{O}_S$  is a free  $S$ -module, which implies that  $M$  is projective and thus also a free  $S$ -module because  $M$  is graded (see for example [BH93, Proposition 1.5.15(d)]). So write  $M = \bigoplus S(i)$  for various  $i$ . Therefore  $F_*^e\mathcal{O}_X = \widetilde{M} = \bigoplus \widetilde{S}(i) = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_X(i)$ .

We also give an example related to projective normality. Recall that on the first day of class we showed that if  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is compatibly Frobenius split in  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , then its embedding is projectively normal (meaning in this case that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(i)) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(i))$  is surjective for all  $i$ , this always happens for a good enough veronese). We will prove a partial converse to this statement.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Suppose that  $Z$  is a Frobenius split variety embedded (projectively normally) in  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Then  $Z$  is compatibly Frobenius split in  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, we will show that any Frobenius splitting of  $Z$  extends to one on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Fix  $\phi : F_*^e\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$  to be a map. This induces a graded degree-preserving map  $\Phi : \bigoplus H^0(X, (F_*^e\mathcal{O}_Z)(i)) \rightarrow R$  on the section ring  $R = \bigoplus H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_Z(i))$  as we've seen. However, because of the projective normality assumption,  $R$  is a quotient of  $S = \bigoplus H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(i))$  (this means that the affine cone and the section ring coincide). But  $S$  is a polynomial ring and so a graded version of the proof of Fedder's Lemma implies that  $\Phi$  extends to a map  $\widetilde{\Phi} : \bigoplus H^0(X, (F_*^e\mathcal{O}_X)(i)) \rightarrow S$  (and we may assume that this map is also graded and degree preserving). Using the  $\widetilde{\phantom{x}}$  operation gives us our splitting on  $X$  which is compatible with the one on  $Z$ .  $\square$

We'll later see that Frobenius splitting has some analog with regards to log Calabi-Yau varieties. Furthermore, I know of no analog of this statement in the log-Calabi-Yau context.

## REFERENCES

- [BH93] W. BRUNS AND J. HERZOG: *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [Har77] R. HARTSHORNE: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116)