

Last time

3 constructions of the p-adic numbers

1. Complete \mathbb{Q} w.r.t. $l \mid p$.

2. $(\lim \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \left[\frac{1}{p} \right]$

3. "Laurent series" in the variable p

Fix S a set of coset reps. for

$$\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

e.g. $S = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$,

$$a_{-n} p^{-n} + a_{-n+1} p^{-n+1} + \dots + a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \dots$$

$\uparrow \quad a_i \in S$

Every element in \mathbb{Q}_p
has a unique expression of this form.

Addition + multiplication start out
like for formal power series, but
then have to resolve into coset representations.

$$\mathbb{Q}_3 \quad 2 \quad (a_0 = 2)$$

$$2 \quad (a_0 = 2)$$

$$2 \cdot 2 \quad ("a_0 = 4")$$

$$1+3 \quad (a_0=1 \quad a_1=1).$$

This resolution step wouldn't be necessary

if your $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$

was a subfield Isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_p .

this is impossible because $\text{char } \mathbb{F}_p = p$

$$\text{char } \mathbb{F}_p \geq \mathbb{Z}_p = 0.$$

Side note: \mathbb{Z}_p is a local ring, discrete valuation domain



If R_{frac} and $\text{Frac } R$

relative field fraction field

have same characteristic, "equicharacteristic"

otherwise mixed characteristic

E.g. equi - $\mathbb{F}_p [[t]]$

mixed - $\mathbb{Z}_p [[t]]$

Fact: Every equichar. complete sur R
is $\cong R_{\text{frac}} [[\pi]]$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\quad m \quad} R/m \\ \lambda^m = [\pi] \\ \text{splits} \end{array} \right)$$

Goal for today: Explain a "best-possible" set of representatives S .

Hensel's Lemma:

$$f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x] \quad a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

$$\bar{f} \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \quad \bar{a}_0 + \bar{a}_1 x + \dots + \bar{a}_n x^n.$$

Lemma: If \bar{t} is a root of \bar{f}
s.t. $f'(\bar{t}) \neq 0$

then there is a unique root $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
of f lifting \bar{t} (i.e. $t \bmod p = \bar{t}$).

Proof: Use Newton's method.

We have $\bar{t} \rightsquigarrow$ lift to t_0 s.t.

$$t_0 = \bar{t} \rightsquigarrow f(t_0) = 0 \bmod p.$$

$$f(x-t_0) = f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(x-t_0) + O((x-t_0)^2)$$

$\bmod p$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(t_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ f'(t_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{array} \right. \quad // \quad b + \alpha p$$

$$f(t_0 + cp) = f(t_0) + f'(t_0)cp + O(p^2).$$

$$= pa + bcp + O(p^2)$$

$$c = b^{-1}a$$

$$= O(p^2).$$

Exercise: If $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
then b is invertible in \mathbb{Z}_p .

$$t_1 = t_0 + b^{-1}ap$$

$$f(t_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$$

Continue Newton's method $yacp$

$$t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots$$

$$f(t_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{i+1}}$$

add a multiple
 of p^{i+1}

so $t_{i+1} - t_i$ exists and

$$f'(t) = 0.$$

Exercise: Complete this proof analytically

Exercise review

and give better bounds for
how good of an approximate zero
you need vs. the absolute
value of the derivative

If $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$

and t_0 w.s.t.

$$|f(t_0)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(t_0)| \geq \delta(\varepsilon)$$

then Newton's method at t_0
converges to a zero of f .

Want to find core^{\times} representatives in
 \mathbb{Z}_p for \mathbb{F}_p that are multiplicative
 $0 \leftarrow 0 \quad x^p - x = 0$

$$N_{p-1} \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_p^x = x^{p-1} - 1 = 0$$

N_{p-1}

$$\text{Niel } f \bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p^x = N_{p-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

then $\exists!$ lift

a or \bar{a} in $N_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

\bar{a} is a root of $f(x^{p-1} - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}f'(x) &= (p-1)x^{p-2} \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_p[x]) \\&= -x^{p-2}\end{aligned}$$

$$f'(\bar{a}) = -\bar{a}^{p-2} \neq 0.$$

Hensel's lemma gives unique lift.

If $s \in \mathbb{F}_p$, (say) for its multiplicative lift to \mathbb{Z}_p

$$[s] = 0$$

$[s]$ = the unique \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -st root of unity lifting s

$$[s_1][s_2] = [s_1 s_2].$$

$$\left(\sum [s_i] p^i \right) \left(\sum [t_i] p^i \right)$$

$$= \sum_K \left(\sum_{i+j=k} [s_i t_j] \right) p^K.$$

thus will
still need
to be
resolved.

$$\sum_{i+j=k} (c s_i) [t_i]$$

this for addition
co-set representation
can be complicated

Let R be a complete DVR

with $M = (p)$. $(p = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1)$.

R/m is a field, m is the only non-zero prime ideal.

$$R = \lim R/m^2 = \lim R/p^n$$

$\therefore R$ p -adically complete.

such that R/p is perfect

(i.e., Frobenius

$$x \mapsto x^p$$

is bijective on R/p)

Let R be a p -adically complete ring,

$$(R = \lim R/p^n)$$

s.t. $R/p = \bar{R}$ is perfect.

} strict
 p -ring.

i.e. $\bar{R} \neq \bar{\bar{R}}$ is an isomorphism
 $r \mapsto r^p$

Recall in char p $r \mapsto r^p$ is always a ring homomorphism. because

$$(r_1 + r_2)^p = r_1^p + \binom{p}{1} r_1^{p-1} r_2 + \binom{p}{2} r_1^{p-2} r_2^2 + \dots + r_2^p$$

... $\binom{p}{p-1} r_1^0 r_2^0 = 0$

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!} \quad \text{when } p \geq k$$

if $1 \leq k \leq p-1$

is divisible by p .

$$(r_1 + r_2)^p = r_1^p + r_2^p \quad \text{when } p \geq 0.$$

(Isomorphism = bijection)

injective $\leftrightarrow R/\langle p \rangle$ no nilpotents

surjective $\leftrightarrow R/\langle p \rangle$ has p th roots

e.g., \mathbb{F}_p

\mathbb{F}_q for any $q = p^n$

Other examples of perfect rings:

if S is a characteristic p ring,

take its perfection

$$\text{colim } S \xrightarrow{F} S \xrightarrow{F} S \xrightarrow{F} \\ S \rightarrow S^p \\ S \rightarrow S^p$$

$$S \rightarrow S^p \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{from first copy} \quad (\subseteq)^p \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{from second copy}$$

From notes.

\hookrightarrow $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$
 $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x^{1/p}]$
 $\uparrow \quad \leftarrow$
 polynomials in x with
 exponents in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$.

will define multiplicative lifts

$$\mathbb{R}/p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$a \rightarrow [a],$$

take $a \rightarrow a \ a^{1/p} \ a^{1/p^2} \ a^{1/p^3}, \dots$
 (p^{th} roots are unique).

$$\sum (x^{p^n} - 1) = (x - 1)^p$$

in char p .

Choose arbitrarily a lift

$$\tilde{a} \approx a^{1/p} \approx a^{1/p^2} \dots$$

Use that p^{th}
power contract.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\tilde{a}^{1/p^n} \right)^{p^n} \leftarrow$$

Each term is a lift of

Q: Why does this exist?
 $\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \dots \quad \downarrow$

(Q2): Why does not depend on choices:

Given existence, independence, it's obviously multiplicative

$$\left(\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{a}^{\nu_K})^{p^n} \right) \left(\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{b}^{\nu_K})^{p^n} \right) \text{ ??} \\ \text{ ??} \\ [\tilde{a}] [\tilde{b}] \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{a}^{\nu_K} \tilde{b}^{\nu_K})^{p^n}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \tilde{a}^{\nu_K} \tilde{b}^{\nu_K} \text{ lifts} \\ \tilde{a}^{\nu_K} \tilde{b}^{\nu_K} \\ = (\tilde{a} \tilde{b})^{\nu_K} \end{array} \right) \\ [\tilde{b}].$$

Observation

$$\overbrace{v_p \left(\binom{p^n}{K} \right)}^{\text{Exercise}} = n - v_p(K), \quad 1 \leq K \leq p^n$$

Consequence

If $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ (in any ring)

$$x^{p^n} \equiv y^{p^n} \pmod{p^n}$$

$$y = x + pt$$

$$y^{p^n} = x^{p^n} + \sum_{k=1}^{p^n} \binom{p^n}{k} (pt)^k x^{p^n - k}$$

$$(p^n)$$

$$\binom{p^n}{k} \in (p^{n - v_p(k)})$$

$$(pt)^{v_k} \in p^k$$

$$p^{n - v_p(k)} p^k \subseteq (p^n).$$

$$\text{so } x^{p^n} \equiv y^{p^n} \pmod{p^n}.$$

(p^n powers contract)

