

Math 4400
Week 3 - Thursday
Inverses and the Chinese Remainder Theorem

Rough definition:

A number system^{*} is a place where we can add, subtract, and multiply, subject to the usual rules of arithmetic

(commutative, associative, distributive laws, $1 \cdot x = x$, $x + 0 = x$).

* Starting next week we'll say (commutative) ring.

Examples: $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

⚠ Cannot always divide by all non-zero elements in a number system!

$$\frac{x}{y} = x \cdot \frac{1}{y} \quad - \text{ what is } \frac{1}{y}?$$

Definition: $\frac{1}{y}$ is a number such that $y \cdot \frac{1}{y} = 1$;

such a number may not exist! If it does,
we say it is a multiplicative inverse of y ,
and we can use it to divide by y .

Examples: • In $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$, if $y \neq 0$ then
it has a multiplicative inverse.

• In $\mathbb{Z}$, only $y=1$ and $y=-1$
have mult. inverses ($1 \cdot 1 = 1$, $(-1)(-1) = 1$).
in $\mathbb{Z}$. But, e.g., 2 has a mult. inverse
 $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$.

• In $\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z}$, $2 \cdot 8 = 1$, so 2 and 8
 $8 \cdot 2$ are mult. inverses
of each other.

But 3 does not:

In $\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z}$:

$$x = 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9 \mid 10 \mid 11 \mid 12 \mid 13 \mid 14$$

$$3 \cdot x = 0 \mid 3 \mid 6 \mid 9 \mid 12 \mid 0 \mid 3 \mid 6 \mid 9 \mid 12 \mid 0 \mid 3 \mid 6 \mid 9 \mid 12$$

Never 1!

So 3 does not have a mult. inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z}$.

Restatement: An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has a mult. inverse
if and only if, viewing a as an integer

there is an integer b such that
 $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. (in that case the mult.
inverse is the unique $0 \leq x < n-1$
s.t. $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$)

Theorem: For $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a $x \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
if and only if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

Proof: Suppose $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Means $ax = 1 + ny$ for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$ax + n(-y) = 1$$

← gcd equation

RHS has to be a multiple
of $\gcd(a, n)$

so gcd has to be 1.

Suppose $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

FTA: Can find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$ax + ny = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow ax = 1 - ny \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Note: can find inverse by finding a solution to
 $ax + ny = 1$ (e.g. by unfolding Euclidean alg.).

Example: can use to solve equations in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

$$\therefore 17x = 5 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/50\mathbb{Z}.$$

Idea: multiply by $\frac{1}{17}$ i.e. a mult. inverse of 17.

$$3(17x) = 3 \cdot 5 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/50\mathbb{Z} \quad \underline{\quad 3 \quad}$$

$$x = 15 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}/50\mathbb{Z}.$$

Systems of congruences:

Let m and n be 2 integers, $a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Q: Can I find $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

$$x \equiv b \pmod{n}?$$

Example: Is there an $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$?

$$\text{Yes, } x = 5 = 1 + 2 \cdot 2$$

$$= 2 + 3$$

$$x = 11 \Rightarrow 11 = 5 + 6 \equiv 5 \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv 5 \pmod{3}.$$

- $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$?

$$x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{l|cccccccccccccc} x \pmod{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ x \pmod{6} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & \dots \end{array}$$

Why was there no solution? If $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ $x = 5 + 6q \equiv 5 \pmod{2}$
 $\equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$

- $x \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ $x \equiv 5 \pmod{9}.$



$$x = 3 + 8u$$

$$3 + 8y \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$$

$$8u \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$$

$\frac{\text{for } y \in \mathbb{Z}.^0}{\text{So can take } y=7, \text{ get } x=59.}$

Mult. inverse of 8 in $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ is 8.
 $8 \cdot 8y \equiv 16 \pmod{9}$
 $y \equiv 7 \pmod{9}.$

Chinese Remainder Theorem, version 1:

If m and n are coprime ($\gcd(m, n) = 1$) then
 for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, there is
 an integer x such that

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv b \pmod{n}.$$

Moreover, x is uniquely determined up to
 a multiple of mn .