

Math 4400
Week 11 - Thursday
Mersenne primes

Recall:

Def'n: For l a prime, the corresponding Mersenne number is

$$M_l = 2^l - 1$$

When is M_l prime? (Mersenne primes).

The Lucas-Lehmer test (Week 7) gives a simple way to check.

Today: we give an equivalent statement
(see Ex. 3 on worksheet)

Theorem: Let $\alpha = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$.

$$M_\ell \text{ is prime } \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{2^{\ell-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{M_\ell} \quad (\text{Note } 2^{\ell-1} = \frac{M_\ell+1}{2})$$

(Recall Pepin - F_n is prime $\Leftrightarrow 3^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_n}$).

Example: $M_3 = 7$. $(2+\sqrt{3})^4 = ((2+\sqrt{3})^2)^2 \overset{7+4\sqrt{3}}{\equiv} (4\sqrt{3})^2 \pmod{7}$
 $\equiv 48 \pmod{7}$
 $\equiv -1 \pmod{7} \dots 48 = 7 \cdot 7 - 1$ ✓

So 7 is prime! !!

Recall: Proof of Pepin used essentially:

- ① $F_n - 1$ a power of 2
- ② $3^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} = -1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{F_n}^\times$ if F_n prime by quad. recip

+ Lemma that gives $3^{2^{k-1}} = -1 \Leftrightarrow 3$ has order 2^k .

Cannot make similar argument in $\mathbb{F}_{M_\ell}^\times$

$$|\mathbb{F}_{M_\ell}^\times| = M_\ell - 1 = 2^\ell - 2 \text{ not a power of 2!}$$

Idea: $|\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times| = p^2 - 1 = (p-1)(p+1)$

If $p = M_l$, $p+1 = 2^l$ is a power of 2.

Can use this to imitate Pepin argument!
(Construct an element of order 2^l in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times$).

Claim 1: For M_l a Mersenne prime, $l > 2$,
3 is not a square mod M_l .

Thus

$a + b\sqrt{3}$
 $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{M_l}$

$\mathbb{F}_{M_l}[\sqrt{3}] = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]/M_l$ or
 $\mathbb{F}_{M_l}[x]/x^2 - 3$
 is a field of order M_l^2 .

Justification of claim 1:

$4 \mid 2^l \quad l > 2$

- $M_l \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \quad M_l = 2^l - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$
- $M_l \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad M_l = 2^l - 1 \equiv \left(2^{\frac{l-1}{2}}\right)^2 \cdot 2 - 1 \equiv 2 - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

So $\left(\frac{3}{M_l}\right) = -\left(\frac{M_l}{3}\right) = -1 \quad \checkmark$

A computation in $\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{3}]$ [assume $(\frac{3}{p}) = -1$]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a + b\sqrt{3})^p &= a^p + (b\sqrt{3})^p \\
 &= a + b^p (\sqrt{3})^p \quad (\sqrt{3})^p = \sqrt{3}^{p-1} \sqrt{3} \\
 &= a + b \cdot 3^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{3} \\
 &= a + b \cdot \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \sqrt{3} \\
 &= a - b\sqrt{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Example: } (2 + \sqrt{3})^{p+1} &= (2 + \sqrt{3})(2 + \sqrt{3})^p \\
 &= (2 + \sqrt{3})(2 - \sqrt{3}) \\
 &= 4 - 3 = 1 \pmod{p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Justification of main theorem

Suppose $p = M_1$ is prime

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{By above } (2 + \sqrt{3})^{p+1} = 1. \\
 \cdot (2 + \sqrt{3})^{\frac{p+1}{2}} &\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p} \quad \left((2 + \sqrt{3})^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right)^2 \\
 \cdot (2 + \sqrt{3})^{\frac{p+1}{2}} &\equiv 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{I}(2 + \sqrt{3}) \text{ multiple of } 2(p-1). \\
 &\quad \nearrow \text{in } \pi/2 \text{ in } \pi
 \end{aligned}$$

discrete log for $\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{3}]$ $p^2-1 = \frac{p+1}{2} \cdot 2(p-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(2+\sqrt{3}) &= 2^{l-1}K \\ (g^{K(p-1)})^2 &= 2+\sqrt{3} \Leftrightarrow 2+\sqrt{3} = (a+b\sqrt{3})^2 \pmod{p} \\ (g^{K(p-1)})^{p+1} &= 1 \quad \text{where } (a+b\sqrt{3})^{p+1} = a^2-3b^2 = 1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Get $a^2+3b^2 \equiv 2$, $a^2-3b^2 \equiv 1$, so

$$2a^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{p}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{2}{\dots}_p \binom{a^2}{\dots}_p &= \binom{3}{\dots}_p \\ \binom{2}{\dots}_p &= \binom{3}{\dots}_p \text{ by assumption} \\ \parallel & \\ 1 &= -1 \text{ Contradiction!} \end{aligned}$$

$l \geq 2$
 $p \equiv M_l = 2^l - 1$
 $\equiv -1 \pmod{8}$
 $\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$

Conversely, assume $(2+\sqrt{3})^{2^{l-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{M_l}$.

Claim 2: There is a prime $p \mid M_l$ s.t. $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -1$.

Taking p as in claim 2,

$$(2+\sqrt{3})^{2^{l-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{3}]^\times$$

So order of $2+\sqrt{3}$ is 2^l ← Same lemma as Tuesday
 Since $(2+\sqrt{3})^{p+1} = 1$, $2^l \mid p+1$

by example.

for Pepin.

$$\text{so } 2^{\lambda} - 1 \leq p \leq M_{\lambda} = 2^{\lambda} - 1, \\ \text{i.e. } p = M_{\lambda}. \checkmark$$

Justification of claim 2:

$$M_{\lambda} = n^2 p_1 \dots p_k$$

$n \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, p_i distinct odd primes, n, p_i not div by 3, 2.

$$1 \equiv M_{\lambda} \equiv p_1 \dots p_k \pmod 3 \quad -1 \equiv M_{\lambda} \equiv p_1 \dots p_k \pmod 4.$$

each p_i is $\equiv 1$ or $-1 \pmod 4$
 s of them $\equiv -1 \pmod 4$
 s odd.

$$\text{So } 1 = \left(\frac{M_{\lambda}}{-3} \right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{-3} \right) \dots \left(\frac{p_k}{-3} \right) \\ = (-1)^s \cdot \left(\frac{3}{p_1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{3}{p_k} \right) \\ = - \left(\frac{3}{p_1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{3}{p_k} \right)$$

one has to be -1 .