

Fractal Geometry of Sea Ice Structures Ken Golden, University of Utah





<u> AAAAAA</u>









fractals

self-similar structure non-integer dimension



fractal curves in the plane

they wiggle so much that their dimension is >1



Phyllotaxis, fractals and the Fibonacci sequence













Fibonacci sequence 1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,55,... 34/21 ~ Golden Ratio 1.618...



Figure 1 Buckling cascade in a deformed plastic sheet. a, Different magnifications of the edge of the sheet (0.012 mm thick). Successive pictures show the dotted boxed region on the left of the previous picture magnified by 3.2; the width of each image is indicated.





fractal dimension

....

...



Mass
$$\sim L^d$$

d = 2

L = 1
M = 1
$$L = 2$$
 $L = 4 = 2^{2}$
 $M = 9 = 3^{2}$

$$Mass \sim L$$

$$d_f = \alpha = \frac{\log 3}{\log 2} = 1.58...$$

Fractal Dimension Sierpinski Gastert two dim L $M_{ass} = \pi \left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) L^2$ Mass = Area = L2 $M = 2^2 = 4$ 0 three aim L Mass = $\frac{4}{3}\pi\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)L^{3}$ Mass = Vol = 13 M=23=8 M=cL M=cL d=dimension fractal density p=M=cL d=2 For a fractal dimension density p=M=cL vyplace d by df d=2 logp=(df-2)logL+ const L=2' L=22 L=2° $M=3^{\circ}$ $M=3^{1}$ $M=3^{2}$ $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^{\circ} \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^{\circ} \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^{\circ} \qquad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}^{\circ} \qquad \rho \to 0 \quad \text{an } L \to \infty$ logp $slope = \frac{\log(^{3}\mu) - \log 1}{\log 2 - \log 1} = \frac{\log 3 - 2\log 2}{\log 2}$ 3/4 9/16 27/64 = 10g2 log2 - 2 2 + 8 + 16 + log L = 1.58...





D = 1.58...



self-similar structure with fractal dimension

fractal dimension < 2 no longer two dimensional -- too much removed D = 1.31...



Apollonian gasket

Sierpinski triangle

Thermal Evolution of Brine Fractal Geometry in Sea Ice

Nash Ward, Daniel Hallman, Benjamin Murphy, Jody Reimer, Marc Oggier, Megan O'Sadnick, Elena Cherkaev and Kenneth Golden, 2025



fractal dimension of the coastline of Great Britain by box counting

$$N(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-D}$$

brine channels and inclusions "look" like fractals (from 30 yrs ago)



X-ray computed tomography of brine in sea ice

columnar and granular

Golden, Eicken, et al. GRL, 2007

2.6 2.5 **Fractal Dimension** 2.4 icken\Golder Follows same curve as 2.3 exactly self-similar 2.2 Sierpinski tetrahedron 2.1 2 Fractal dimension from boxcounting 1.9 Theoretical prediction 1.8 0.3 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0 D. Eppstein Porosity ϕ **red curve** $F_d = d_E - \frac{\ln \phi}{\ln(\lambda_{min}/\lambda_{max})}$ Katz and Thompson, 1985; Yu and Li, 2001 discovered for sandstones

The first quantitative study of the fractal dimension of brine in sea ice and its strong dependence on temperature and porosity.

statistically self-similar porous media

Fractal geometry of brine in sea ice, Ward, et al. 2025

Implications of brine fractal geometry on sea ice ecology and biogeochemistry



Brine inclusions are home to ice endemic organisms, e.g., bacteria, diatoms, flagellates, rotifers, nematodes.

The habitability of sea ice for these organisms is inextricably linked to its complex brine geometry.

(A) Many sea ice organisms attach themselves to inclusion walls; inclusions with a higher fractal dimension have greater surface area for colonization.
(B) Narrow channels prevent the passage of larger organisms, leading to refuges where smaller organisms can multiply without being grazed, as in (C).
(D) Ice algae secrete extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) which alter incusion geometry and may further increase the fractal dimension.

Sea ice algae secrete exopolymeric substances (EPS) affecting evolution of brine microstructure.

How does EPS affect fluid transport? How does the biology affect the physics?



- 2D random pipe model with bimodal distribution of pipe radii
- Rigorous bound on permeability k; results predict observed drop in k

Steffen, Epshteyn, Zhu, Bowler, Deming, Golden Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, 2018

> *SIAM News* June 2024



Zhu, Jabini, Golden, Eicken, Morris *Ann. Glac*. 2006

EPS - Algae Model Jajeh, Reimer, Golden

fractal microstructures





electrorheological fluid with metal spheres

brine channel in sea ice



diffusion limited aggregation



brine channels





fractal structure of brine channels







brine drainage



Diffusion Limited Aggregation (DLA) model cluster has fractal dimension :

 $d_f = 1.71$ in two dimensions

self similarity of DLA



P. Meakin

the sea ice pack is a *fractal*

dispalying self-similar structure on many scales

floe size distribution important in dynamics (fracture), thermodynamics (melting)

bigger floes easier to break, smaller floes easier to melt





the sea ice pack is a *fractal* displaying self-similar structure on many scales



floe size distribution, area-perimeter relations, etc. important in dynamics (fracture), thermodynamics (melting)

> Toyota, et al. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2006 Rothrock and Thorndike, J. Geophys. Res. 1984

The sea ice pack has fractal structure.

Self-similarity of sea ice floes

Weddell Sea, Antarctica



fractal dimensions of Okhotsk Sea ice pack smaller scales D~1.2, larger scales D~1.9

fractal dim. *vs.* **floe size exponent** Adam Dorsky, Nash Ward, Ken Golden 2025

Toyota, et al. Geophys. Res. Lett. 2006 Rothrock and Thorndike, J. Geophys. Res. 1984

Results from Okhotsk Sea ice



There are two regimes in the ice floe distribution.

Size

 $1 \sim 20 \text{m}$: $\alpha = 1.15 \pm 0.02$

100 ~ 1500 m : α = <mark>1.87 ±</mark> 0.02

(Toyota, Takatsuji et al., 2006)

self- similar multiscale structure in Okhotsk sea ice pack



(Rothrock and Thorndike, J. Geophys. Res. 1984)



polar bear foraging in a fractal icescape

Nicole Forrester Jody Reimer Ken Golden

It costs the polar bear 5 times the energy to swim through water than to walk on sea ice.

What pathway to a seal minimizes energy spent?

melt pond formation and albedo evolution:

- major drivers in polar climate
- key challenge for global climate models

numerical models of melt pond evolution, including topography, drainage (permeability), etc.

Lüthje, Feltham, Taylor, Worster 2006 Flocco, Feltham 2007 Skyllingstad, Paulson, Perovich 2009 Flocco, Feltham, Hunke 2012



Are there universal features of the evolution similar to phase transitions in statistical physics?

fractal curves in the plane

they wiggle so much that their dimension is >1





30th Congressional District, Texas, 1991-1996



clouds exhibit fractal behavior from 1 to 1000 km



use *perimeter-area* data to find that cloud and rain boundaries are fractals

 $D \approx 1.35$

S. Lovejoy, Science, 1982

 $P \sim \sqrt{A}$

simple shapes

 $A = L^2$ $P = 4L = 4\sqrt{A}$

 $P \sim \sqrt{A}^{D}$



L

for fractals with dimension D

Transition in the fractal geometry of Arctic melt ponds

The Cryosphere, 2012

Christel Hohenegger, Bacim Alali, Kyle Steffen, Don Perovich, Ken Golden



Transition in the fractal geometry of Arctic melt ponds

Christel Hohenegger, Bacim Alali, Kyle Steffen, Don Perovich, Ken Golden

The Cryosphere, 2012



complexity grows with length scale

Continuum percolation model for melt pond evolution level sets of random surfaces

Brady Bowen, Court Strong, Ken Golden, J. Fractal Geometry 2018



random Fourier series representation of surface topography



intersections of a plane with the surface define melt ponds







electronic transport in disordered media

diffusion in turbulent plasmas

Isichenko, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1992

fractal dimension curves depend on statistical parameters defining random surface



Topology of the sea ice surface and the fractal geometry of Arctic melt ponds

Physical Review Research (invited, under revision)

Ryleigh Moore, Jacob Jones, Dane Gollero, Court Strong, Ken Golden

Several models replicate the transition in fractal dimension, but none explain how it arises.

We use Morse theory applied to the random surface model to show that saddle points play the critical role in the fractal transition.



Morse theory



Morse theory tells us that changes in the topology of a surface occur at critical points of smooth functions on the surface: maxima, minima, and saddles.

Main results

Isoperimetric quotient - as a proxy for fractal dimension - increases in discrete jumps when ponds coalesce at saddle points.



Horizontal fluid permeability "controlled" by saddles ~ electronic transport in 2D random potential.

drainage processes, seal holes

Topological Data Analysis

Euler characteristic = # maxima + # minima - # saddles

topological invariant

persistent homology

filtration - sequence of nested topological spaces, indexed by water level



Expected Euler Characteristic Curve (ECC)

tracks the evolution of the EC of the flooded surface as water rises

zero of ECC ~ percolation

percolation on a torus creates a giant cycle

Bobrowski & Skraba, 2020 Carlsson, 2009 Vogel, 2002 GRF bra

image analysis porous media cosmology brain activity

melt pond donuts





From magnets 100 ye used to

100 year old model for magnetic materials used to explain melt pond fractal geometry



magnetic domains Arctic melt ponds cobalt



magnetic domains cobalt-iron-boron

ins Arctic melt ponds





Ma, Sudakov, Strong, Golden, *New J. Phys.* 2019 Golden, Ma, Strong, Sudakov, *SIAM News* 2020



Ising model for ferromagnets —> Ising model for melt ponds

Ma, Sudakov, Strong, Golden, New J. Phys., 2019

 $\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{i}^{N} H_{i} s_{i} - J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle}^{N} s_{i} s_{j} \qquad s_{i} = \begin{cases} \uparrow & +1 & \text{water (spin up)} \\ \downarrow & -1 & \text{ice (spin down)} \end{cases}$

random magnetic field represents snow topography

magnetization M

pond area fraction $F = \frac{(M+1)}{2}$

only nearest neighbor patches interact

Starting with random initial configurations, as Hamiltonian energy is minimized by Glauber spin flip dynamics, system "flows" toward metastable equilibria.



ONLY MEASURED INPUT = LENGTH SCALE (GRID SIZE) from snow topography data

Order from Disorder



Melt ponds control transmittance of solar energy through sea ice, impacting upper ocean ecology.

WINDOWS



no bloom bloom massive under-ice algal bloom

Arrigo et al., Science 2012

Have we crossed into a new ecological regime?

The frequency and extent of sub-ice phytoplankton blooms in the Arctic Ocean

Horvat, Rees Jones, Iams, Schroeder, Flocco, Feltham, *Science Advances* 2017

The effect of melt pond geometry on the distribution of solar energy under first year sea ice

Horvat, Flocco, Rees Jones, Roach, Golden Geophys. Res. Lett. 2019

(2015 AMS MRC)

melt pond evolution depends also on large-scale "pores" in ice cover

drainage vortex

photo courtesy of C. Polashenski and D. Perovich

Melt pond connectivity enables vast expanses of melt water to drain down seal holes, thaw holes, and leads in the ice.

High connectivity of meltpond networks allows vast expanses of meltwater to drain down seal holes, thaw holes, and into leads in the ice



meted.ucar.edu



Ising model

partition function

$$Z_N(z) = a_N \prod_{n=1}^N (z - z_n), \quad |z_n| = 1$$

free energy

$$f(T,H) = \frac{-1}{\beta} \int_{|t|=1} \log(z-t) d\nu(t)$$

order parameter

$$M(T)=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial H}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial H^2} \le 0$$

G.H.S. inequality Griffiths, Hurst, Sherman *JMP* 1970

transport in composites

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(s) = \prod_{n=1}^N (s - s_n), \quad s_n \in [0, 1]$$

$$\Phi(p,s) = \int_0^1 \log(s-t) d\mu(t)$$

$$F(p,s) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial h^2} \le 0$$

Golden, *JMP* 1995; *PRL* 1997

Stieltjes integral representation for magnetization (~ albedo)

and scaling relations for critical exponents Baker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1968

$$M(\tau) = \tau + \tau (1 - \tau^2) G(\tau^2) \qquad \tau = \tanh(\beta H)$$

$$G(\tau^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\psi(y)}{1+\tau^2 y}$$
 Herglotz (Lee-Yang 1952)

parallel Herglotz structure for transport in composites analogous critical behavior and scaling relations hold near p_c

Golden, J. Math. Phys. 1995 (C. Newman) Phys Rev. Lett. 1997

$$F(s) = 1 - m(h) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(w)}{s - w}$$

$$m(h) = \frac{\sigma^*}{\sigma_2} \qquad h = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \to 0 \qquad \sigma^*(p,h)$$

effective conductivity of two phase composite *lattice or continuum*

$$m(h) = 1 + (h-1)g(h) \qquad g(h) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\phi(y)}{1+hy} \quad \text{Herglotz} \quad w = \frac{y}{y+1}$$

Marginal Ice Zone

- biologically active region
- intense ocean-sea ice-atmosphere interactions
- region of significant wave-ice interactions



transitional region between dense interior pack (*c* > 80%) sparse outer fringes (*c* < 15%)

MIZ WIDTH fundamental length scale of ecological and climate dynamics

Strong, *Climate Dynamics* 2012 Strong and Rigor, *GRL* 2013 How to objectively measure the "width" of this complex, non-convex region?

Objective method for measuring MIZ width motivated by medical imaging and diagnostics



Arctic Marginal Ice Zone

crossection of the cerebral cortex of a rodent brain

analysis of different MIZ WIDTH definitions

Strong, Foster, Cherkaev, Eisenman, Golden J. Atmos. Oceanic Tech. 2017

> Strong and Golden Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics News, April 2017

Observed Arctic MIZ



Identifying Fractal Geometry in Arctic Marginal Ice Zone Dynamics

Julie Sherman, Court Strong, Ken Golden, Environ. Res. Lett. 2025

Compute the fractal dimension of the boundary of the Arctic MIZ by boxcounting methods; analyze seasonal cycle and long term trends.



early summer

2012



early autumn

wave and thermal interactions with fractal boundary

Arctic MIZ fractal dimension from 1980 to 2021



Geographical distribution of average fractal dimension

