GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. ???, XXXX, DOI:10.1029/,

1 Electrical Signature of the

2 Percolation Threshold in Sea Ice

1 2 1 3 3 1

K. M. Golden , H. Eicken , A. Gully , M. Ingham , K. A. Jones , J. Lin , J.

4 1 5

E. Reid , C. S. Sampson , and A. P. Worby

Corresponding author: K. M. Golden, University of Utah, Department of Mathematics, 155 S 1400 E, RM 233, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090, USA. (golden@math.utah.edu)

X - 2 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

3 Fluid flow through sea ice governs a broad range of geophysical and bi-

4 ological processes in the polar marine environment. For example, the evo-

5 lution of melt ponds and sea ice albedo, which is important in climate mod-

6 eling, is constrained by drainage through the porous brine microstructure.

7 However, for brine volume fractions below about 5%, columnar sea ice is ef-

8 fectively impermeable to fluid flow. In two diﬀerent experiments conducted

9 in the Arctic and Antarctic, we have found that this critical fluid transition

1University of Utah, Department of

Mathematics, 155 S 1400 E, RM 233, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090, USA.

(golden@math.utah.edu)

2University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, 99775-7320, USA.

3School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington,P.O. Box 600, Wellington, NZ.

4Groundprobe Geophysics, 1/288 Victoria Rd., Malaga 6090, AU.

5Australian Antarctic Division and ACE CRC, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 80, Hobart, 7001, AU.

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 3

10 exhibits a strong electrical signature, with sea ice resistivity rising sharply

11 over three orders of magnitude near the brine connectivity threshold. The

12 data are accurately explained by percolation theory, with the same univer-

13 sal critical exponent which captures fluid permeability. These results enable

14 us to connect specific electrical profiles to important processes such as melt

15 pond formation and drainage, CO2 pumping, and the flux of nutrients which

16 sustain biomass build-up.

X - 4 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

17 Key Points:

18 1. Data on the electrical resistivity of sea ice were taken in the Arctic and Antarctic

19 2. A strong electrical response near the brine percolation threshold is observed and

20 explained theoretically

21 3. The results enable non-destructive and remote monitoring of key sea ice processes and transitions

1. Introduction

22 Polar sea ice is a key component of Earth’s climate system, and a leading indicator of

23 climate change [Meier et al., 2014; *Thomas and Dieckmann*, 2009]. As a material sea ice

24 is a composite of pure ice with brine and air inclusions. The brine phase hosts extensive

25 microbial communities which sustain life in the polar oceans [*Thomas and Dieckmann*,

26 2009; *Fritsen et al.*, 1994]. Fluid flow through the porous microstructure mediates key

27 processes impacting the climatology and biology of sea ice. Improving projections of the

28 fate of Earth’s sea ice cover and its ecosystems depends on a better understanding of these

29 important processes and feedback mechanisms.

30 For example, the evolution of sea ice albedo represents a fundamental problem in climate

31 modeling and a significant source of uncertainty in climate projections [*Flocco et al.*, 2010;

32 *Polashenski et al.*, 2012]. The albedo of sea ice floes is determined by melt pond evolution

33 [*Perovich et al.*, 2002; *Polashenski et al.*, 2012]. Drainage of the ponds, with a resulting

34 increase in albedo, is largely controlled by the fluid permeability of the porous sea ice

35 underlying the ponds [*Eicken et al.*, 2004; *Golden et al.*, 2007]. As ice recedes with

36 melting, more water surface is exposed, which increases solar absorption, leading in turn

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 5

37 to more melting, and so on. This *ice–albedo feedback* has played a significant role in the

38 decline of the summer Arctic ice pack [Pistone et al.; 2014; *Perovich et al.*, 2007].

39 Fluid flow through sea ice governs the evolution of the salt budget and salinity profiles

40 [*Thomas and Dieckmann*, 2009], convection-enhanced thermal transport [*Lytle and Ack-*

41 *ley*, 1996], ocean-ice-atmosphere CO2 exchanges [*Loose et al., 2011*], and the build-up

42 of algal biomass fueled by nutrient fluxes [*Thomas and Dieckmann*, 2009; *Fritsen et al.*,

43 1994]. It also drives snow-ice formation, accounting for a significant portion of the ice pro-

44 duced in the Southern Ocean [*Maksym and Markus*, 2008]. Sea water percolates upward

45 through the porous microstructure, flooding the snow layer, and subsequently freezing.

46 While fluid flow is substantially restricted for brine volume fractions φ below about 5%,

47 columnar sea ice is increasingly permeable for φ above 5% [*Golden et al.*, 1998]. For a

48 typical bulk salinity of 5 ppt, the critical porosity φ*c* ≈ 5% corresponds to a temperature

49 *Tc* ≈ −5◦ C. This critical behavior of the fluid permeability, which is known as the *rule*

50 *of fives*, results from a connectivity or percolation threshold in the brine microstructure

51 [*Golden et al.*, 1998, 2007; *Pringle et al.*, 2009].

52 If the fluid transport properties of sea ice can be linked to its electrical properties, which

53 is the aim of this paper, then new approaches can be brought to bear in monitoring the

54 state of sea ice. For example, it could open the door to the development of sensors to

55 enhance existing buoy networks, provide information on key ice processes, and improve

56 integration with satellite data.

57 The electrical conductivity of sea ice has been studied over the past five decades [*Fujino*

58 *and Suzuki* , 1963; *Addison*, 1969; *Thyssen et al.*, 1974; *Buckley et al.*, 1986; *Reid et al.*,

X - 6 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

59 2006; *Ingham et al.*, 2008]. However, there have been no observations of critical behavior

60 in electrical properties corresponding to the microstructural transition encapsulated in the

61 rule of fives. Here we report on two types of experiments where electrical resistivity data

62 clearly display critical behavior at the brine percolation threshold. The mathematical

63 description we develop provides a rigorous link between fluid and electrical transport in

64 sea ice, with both displaying the same type of universal critical behavior, thus laying the

65 foundation for the techniques referred to above. In fact, we further develop this foundation

66 by partitioning the range of resistivity values of our data into intervals which correspond

67 to distinct regimes of fluid permeability characteristics and related process behavior, such

68 as melt pond development, and fluxes of nutrients and CO2.

69 One of the goals of this work is to obtain data on the linkages between electrical and

70 hydraulic properties [*Wong*, 1988]. The value of such an approach lies in the potential

71 to then extract information about other key variables describing the state of sea ice,

72 e.g., pertaining to its rheology or potential to harbor microbial communities. Our results

73 indicate that such information could potentially be obtained from measurements of electric

74 properties via *in situ* drifting sensors that can monitor the evolution of sea ice non-

75 destructively (Figure 1 d).

76 The findings presented here also have implications for measuring ice thickness, an im-

77 portant gauge of the impact of climate change. Not only is thickness data important in

78 comparing climate model predictions to observed behavior, but in specifying the initial

79 conditions necessary for long-term numerical simulations. Promising techniques for ad-

80 vanced airborne or surface-based measurements of ice thickness depend on the interaction

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 7

81 of electromagnetic (EM) fields with sea ice. For example, there has been significant inter-

82 est in the development of EM induction devices [*Haas*, 2004; *Reid et al.*, 2006] mounted

83 on ships, planes and helicopters. These techniques, and the interpretation of the data to

84 obtain thickness information, rely on knowledge of the electrical properties of sea ice, and

85 how they vary with depth, temperature, salinity, and ice type. The results presented here

86 shed significant light on such issues.

1. Measuring the electrical properties of sea ice

87 Sea ice is an anisotropic composite with vertically elongated brine inclusions and corre-

88 sponding anisotropy in the eﬀective fluid permeability and electrical conductivity tensors.

89 Most methods for measuring sea ice conductivity involve indirect or inverse techniques,

90 such as surface-based geoelectric profiling using a Wenner array of electrodes [*Fujino and*

91 *Suzuki* , 1963; *Thyssen et al.*, 1974; *Buckley et al.*, 1986; *Reid et al.*, 2006; *Ingham et al.*,

92 2008; *Sampson et al.*, 2011]. Generally with these methods the vertical conductivity σ∗ is

*v*

93 inherently mixed with the horizontal components. Here we are most interested in σ∗ due

*v*

94 to its connection with vertical fluid flow.

95 During the Sea Ice Physics and Ecosystem Experiment (SIPEX) in September and

96 October of 2007, we made *direct* measurements of σ∗ in Antarctic pack ice by adapting

*v*

97 a four probe Wenner array for use in cylindrical ice cores, as shown in Figure 1 a and b.

98 The study area was located oﬀ the coast of East Antarctica, between 115◦ E and 130◦ E,

99 and 64◦ S and 66◦ S. At 8 of the 15 ice stations along the cruise track of the Australian

100

icebreaker *Aurora Australis*, we extracted vertical cores from thin first-year sea ice, with

101

lengths ranging from 34 cm to 86 cm. Thermistor probes were inserted into small holes

X - 8 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

102

drilled every 5 cm. We used a Wenner electrode array along sections of the cores, connected

103

to a YEW Earth Resistance Tester operating at 38 Hz. This set-up yields the resistance

104

along the axis of the cylindrical ice core between probes P1 and P2, corresponding to the

105

vertical direction *in situ*, with *a* = *L* = 10 cm (or *a* = *L* = 5 cm in some cases). We

106

obtained 26 averaged data points from 67 raw measurements of the resistance between the

107

inner probes. After the temperature and resistance measurements were taken, which took

108

about 10 to 20 minutes, we cut each core into 10 cm sections which were later melted, so

109

that we could obtain bulk salinity measurements for each section. The temperature and

110

salinity measurements allowed us to calculate a brine volume fraction profile for each core

111

[Petrich and *Eicken*, 2009].

112

In the Arctic, we used the technique of cross-borehole DC resistivity tomography [*In-*

113

*gham et al.*, 2008; *Jones et al.*, 2010], as shown in Figure 1 c and d. The ice is probed

114

in its natural state, utilizing two or four vertical strings of electrodes frozen into the ice.

115

It has been shown that this method can be used to derive the horizontal component of

116

117

the anisotropic resistivity profile. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the vertical component of σ∗ can be obtained as well [*Jones et al.*, 2010; *Ingham et al.*, 2008]. If a

118

119

minimum of four electrode strings are used, the geometric mean of the vertical and hor- izontal components of σ∗ can be derived, along with the horizontal component [*Ingham*

120

*et al.*, 2008], yielding the vertical component.

121

Measurements of the temporal variation in the resistivity structure of first-year Arctic

122

123

sea ice through spring warming have been made approximately 1 km oﬀ the coast of Barrow, Alaska at 71◦ 21′ 56.45′′ N, 156◦ 32′ 39.01′′ W. Electrode strings were installed in

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 9

124

landfast first year ice in late January 2008. Cross-borehole measurements were made on 6

125

separate occasions between early April and mid June 2008, allowing both the horizontal

126

and vertical components of the ice resistivity to be derived. A sea ice mass balance

127

site and an ice core sampling program at the same location [*Druckenmiller et al.*, 2009]

128

provided ice temperature and salinity data, allowing the variation in resistivity structure

129

to be correlated with brine volume fraction φ.

1. Modeling the electrical conductivity of sea ice

130

Lattice and continuum percolation models [*Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992] have been used

131

to study a broad range of disordered materials where the connectedness of one phase

132

dominates eﬀective transport behavior. In sea ice, the fluid and electrical transport prop-

133

erties are largely determined by the connectedness of the brine phase − an electrically

134

conducting fluid.

Consider the two dimensional square network of bonds (edges) joining nearest neighbor sites (vertices) in the integer lattice, as shown in Figure 2 a and b. The bonds are assigned electrical conductivities σ0 *>* 0 (open) or 0 (closed) with probabilities *p* and

1 − *p*, so that a relative proportion *p* of the bonds are open. The *percolation threshold pc*

is the smallest value of *p* for which an infinite, connected cluster of open bonds forms. In two dimensions (*d* = 2), *pc* = 1 , and in three (*d* = 3), *pc* ≈ 0*.*25. For *p* above but near the percolation threshold, the eﬀective or bulk conductivity σ∗(*p*) is believed to display power law behavior,

2

σ∗(*p*) ∼ σ0(*p* − *pc*)*t*

*,* (1)

X - 10 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

135

where *t* is the conductivity critical exponent. For lattices, *t* is believed to be universal,

136

depending only on dimension and not, for example, on whether the lattice is square or

137

138

triangular. In *d* = 2, *t* ≈ 1*.*3, and in *d* = 3, *t* ≈ 2*.*0 [*Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992]. The eﬀective resistivity is given by ρ∗(*p*) = 1*/*σ∗(*p*).

In applying percolation theory to sea ice, it is useful to consider the vertical conductivity formation factor *F* = σ∗*/*σ*b*, which removes the dependence of the eﬀective parameter on the changing conductivity σ*b* of the brine. In view of (1),

*v*

*F* (φ) ∼ *F*0 (φ − φ*c*)2*,* (2)

139

with φ*c* ≈ 0*.*05 and *t* ≈ 2*.*0, the *d* = 3 universal lattice value.

140

The scaling factor *F*0 is obtained by relating the electrical conductivity to the fluid

141

permeability of sea ice through a critical (or *bottleneck*) radius *rc* [*Friedman and Seaton*,

142

1998]. By measuring the radii of vertical pathways in X-ray tomography images [*Golden*

143

*et al.*, 2007; *Pringle et al.*, 2009], we estimate a range in mm of 0*.*1 ≤ *rc* ≤ 0*.*2, yielding a

144

range for *F*0 of 6 ≤ *F*0 ≤ 24. The relations between fluid and electrical transport in sea

145

ice and the formula for *F*0 are developed in the auxiliary material.

1. Comparison of theory and data

146

In order to compare our conductivity measurements with percolation theory, we must

147

148

exclude data below φ*c* ≈ 0*.*05 [*Golden et al.*, 2007], since the theory is only valid for φ *>* φ*c*. It is more illustrative to display the data in terms of the reciprocal *G* = 1*/F* = ρ∗ */*ρ*b* ,

149

*v*

which is the vertical resistivity formation factor. As the conductivity *F* becomes very

150

small near φ*c*, its reciprocal *G* becomes very large, with its behavior approximating a

151

vertical asymptote near φ = φ*c*. In Figure 2 c and d we show the two data sets from the

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 11

152

Antarctic and Arctic. By fixing the exponent *t* = 2 and the threshold value φ*c* = 0*.*05 in

153

154

the above expression for *F* (φ), a statistical best fit of the data yields a value of *F*0 ≈ 9, which lies inside our predicted range, so that *F* (φ) ∼ 9 (φ − 0*.*05)2 .

155

We see that the data agree well with the theory, and that they both exhibit divergent

156

behavior with a vertical asymptote at the percolation threshold. Moreover, in the variables

157

*x* = log (φ − 0*.*05) and *y* = log *F* , the line predicted by percolation theory is *y* = 2*x* +

158

log *F*0, with log *F*0 = 0*.*95*, F*0 = 9. Critical path analysis yields the bounds 0*.*8 ≤ log *F*0 ≤

159

1*.*4, and the best fit for the Antarctic data in f is *y* = 1*.*99*x* + 0*.*93, where 0.93 lies inside

160

these bounds. In logarithmic variables, the error of the regression is 0.38 for the Arctic

161

data and 0.22 for the Antarctic data (that is, approximately 68% of the Antarctic data

162

is within 0.22 of the regression line). The increased scatter in the Arctic data is not

163

surprising given the inverse computation required.

1. Discussion

164

Figure 3 illustrates how we can derive information about the permeability structure and

165

relevant transport processes from resistivity soundings of Arctic sea ice with *in situ* elec-

166

trode strings [*Jones et al.*, 2010]. Thus, the diﬀerent formation factor regimes shown cor-

167

respond to diﬀerent permeability classes, with the lowermost ice layers permeable enough

168

169

to allow for gas and nutrient exchange conducive to biomass build-up and CO2 pumping [Loose et al., 2011; *Rysgaard et al.*, 2007], based on a critical permeability of 4 × 10−11 m2, corresponding to

170

a resistivity formation factor of 31.3 for *rc* = 0*.*1 mm. This permeable base layer increases

171

in vertical extent as the ice warms and thins due to bottom and surface melt. The ice

172

interior is permeable enough to allow for meltwater flushing and reduction of ice salinity

X - 12 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

173

at surface ablation rates of 10 cm/d or less even prior to the onset of melt [*Freitag and*

174

*Eicken*, 2003], corresponding to a resistivity formation factor of 625. High resistivity for-

175

mation factors near the top in Figure 3 b are in part explained by such percolation of

176

freshwater below accumulations of surface melt water.

177

Let us further examine how our results may be used to provide a better understanding

178

of key processes like melt pond evolution. Incorporating such processes into climate

179

models is critical to improving projections of climate change. Development and tuning

180

of improved climate models could be significantly enhanced by ground truth information

181

and monitoring of these key processes and the internal state of the sea ice.

182

For example, there is recent evidence from Arctic sea ice experiments (conducted by

183

C. Polashenski and K. M. Golden in 2014) that percolation of freshwater from snowmelt

184

into the upper layers of sea ice, and its subsequent freezing, could be fundamental to the

185

very formation of melt ponds. This process reduces the permeability − thus increasing

186

the electrical resisitivity, a process likely to have a recognizable electrical signature, as

187

evidenced in Figure 3. Gauging the impact, for example, of changing Arctic snowfall

188

on the availability of freshwater for melt pond formation could be made possible with

189

methods based on our results. Melt pond drainage events, which can have a significant

190

impact on sea ice albedo, often follow an increase in permeability through its percolation

191

threshold, which has a strong electrical signature. Specific information on electrical and

192

fluid transport profiles would enable estimates of drainage rates, duration of events, and in conjunction with a ponding model (e.g., Flocco et al., 2010; Eicken et al., 2004) provide insight into albedo changes.. The resulting input of fresh water into the upper ocean is also

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 13

194

an important process which may be tracked through in-ice sensors..

196

In the Antarctic, snow-ice formation is a significant component of sea ice production.

197

Knowledge of the time evolution of the conductivity−permeability profile in Antarctic

198

sea ice can help delineate regions and time periods conducive to snow-ice formation, e.g., to estimate snow-ice production during storm-driven snowfall events.

199

Like melt ponds in the Arctic, incorporating snow-

202

ice formation into Antarctic sea ice and climate models is critical to improving projections.

203

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* HAJO – paragraph about nutrient and CO2 fluxes

Laboratory and field work [Zhou et al., 2013; Loose et al., 2011] have demonstrated the importance of permeability changes in spring in driving a key seasonal transition associated with disproportionate increases in gas transfer, nutrient exchange and biological activity in sea ice. Zhou et al. [2013] demonstrated how this important transition enhances primary production within the ice and under the ice through seeding of under-ice waters. Since there is no clear surface expression of these processes, in situ measurement of electrical conductivity (e.g. through arrays sampling large volumes, Jones et al., 2010) may serve as an important proxy and help track changes in the timing and magnitude of seasonal increases in gas, nutrient and biomass transfer.

1. Conclusions

204

It has been demonstrated in field experiments conducted in both the Arctic and Antarc-

205

tic that sea ice exhibits critical behavior in its electrical transport properties at a per-

206

colation threshold. Such behavior provides the electrical signature of a key transition in

207

fluid transport properties, known as the *rule of fives*, which determines whether or not

208

fluid can flow through sea ice. This transition constrains a broad range of processes which

209

are important in the geophysics and biology of the polar regions. The phenomenon is

210

explained theoretically using percolation theory, which provides a universal power law

211

describing the data from both poles, as well as a rigorous link between the fluid and elec-

212

trical transport properties of sea ice. Our findings open the door to a new generation of

213

techniques for *in situ* analysis and remote monitoring of transport processes, which can

214

improve projections of the fate of Earth’s ice packs and the response of polar ecosystems.

X - 14 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

215

Acknowledgments. We are grateful for the support provided by the Division of Math-

216

ematical Sciences and the Division of Polar Programs at the US National Science Founda-

217

tion (NSF) through grants DMS-0537015, DMS-0940249, ARC-0934721, DMS-0940249,

218

DMS-1009704, and DMS-1413454. Joyce Lin was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fel-

219

lowship through a VIGRE grant (DMS-0602219) to the Department of Mathematics at

220

the University of Utah. Adam Gully and Christian Sampson were partially supported

221

by the NSF Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program through the VI-

222

GRE grant. We would like to thank the NSF Math Climate Research Network for their

223

support of this work. We are also thankful for support from the Arctic and Global Predic-

224

tion Program at the Oﬃce of Naval Research through grant N00014-13-10291. This work

225

was also supported in part by the Australian Government through the Antarctic Climate

226

and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre. Finally, we thank the crew of the *Aurora*

227

*Australis* for their help and support during the SIPEX Antarctic expedition.

References

228

Addison, J. (1969), Electrical properties of saline ice, *J. Appl. Phys.*, *40*, 3105–3114.

229

Berkowitz, B., and I. Balberg (1992), Percolation approach to the problem of hydraulic

230

conductvity in porous media, *Transport in Porous Media*, *9*, 275–286.

231

Buckley, R. G., M. P. Staines, and W. H. Robinson (1986), In situ measurements of the

232

resistivity of Antarctic sea ice, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, *12* (3), 285–290.

233

Druckenmiller, M. L., H. Eicken, M. A. Johnson, D. J. Pringle, and C. C. Williams (2009),

234

Towards an integrated coastal sea-ice observatory: System components and a case study

235

at Barrow, Alaska, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, *56*, 61–72.

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 15

236

239

Eicken, H., T. C. Grenfell, D. K. Perovich, J. A. Richter-Menge, and K. Frey (2004), Hy-

240

draulic controls of summer Arctic pack ice albedo, *J. Geophys. Res. (Oceans)*, *109* (C18),

241

C08,007.1–C08,007.12, doi:10.1029/2003JC001989.

242

Flocco, D., D. L. Feltham, and A. K. Turner (2010), Incorporation of a physically based

243

melt pond scheme into the sea ice component of a climate model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*,

244

C08,012 (14 pp.), doi:10.1029/2009JC005,568.

245

Freitag, J., and H. Eicken (2003), Meltwater circulation and permeability of Arctic summer

246

sea ice derived from hydrological field experiments, *J. Glaciol.*, *49*, 349–358.

247

Friedman, A. P., and N. A. Seaton (1998), Critical path analysis of the relationship

248

between permeability and electrical conductivity of three-dimensional pore networks,

249

*Water Resources Res.*, *34* (7), 1703–1710.

250

Fritsen, C. H., V. I. Lytle, S. F. Ackley, and C. W. Sullivan (1994), Autumn bloom of

251

Antarctic pack-ice algae, *Science*, *266*, 782–784.

252

Fujino, K., and Y. Suzuki (1963), An attempt to estimate the thickness of sea ice by

253

electrical resistivity method ii, *Low Temp. Sci.*, *A21*, 151–157.

254

Golden, K. (1990), Convexity and exponent inequalities for conduction near percolation,

255

*Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *65* (24), 2923–2926.

256

Golden, K. M., S. F. Ackley, and V. I. Lytle (1998), The percolation phase transition in

257

sea ice, *Science*, *282*, 2238–2241.

X - 16 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

258

Golden, K. M., H. Eicken, A. L. Heaton, J. Miner, D. Pringle, and J. Zhu (2007), Thermal

259

evolution of permeability and microstructure in sea ice, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L16,501

260

(6 pages and issue cover), doi:10.1029/2007GL030,447.

261

Haas, C. (2004), Late-summer sea ice thickness variability in the Arctic Transpolar Drift

262

1991-2001 derived from ground-based electromagnetic sounding, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,

263

*31*, L09,402, doi:10.1029/2007GL030,447.

264

Halperin, B. I., S. Feng, and P. N. Sen (1985), Diﬀerences between lattice and continuum

265

percolation transport exponents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *54* (22), 2391–2394.

266

Ingham, M., D. J. Pringle, and H. Eicken (2008), Cross-borehole resistivity tomography

267

of sea ice, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, *52*, 263–277, 10.1016/jcoldregions.2007.05.002.

268

Jones, K. A., M. Ingham, D. J. Pringle, and H. Eicken (2010), Temporal variations in sea

269

ice resistivity: resolving anisotropic microstructure through cross-borehole dc resistivity

270

tomography, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*, C11,023, doi:10.1029/2009JC006,049.

Loose, B., L.A. Miller, S. Elliott, and T. Papakyriakou. 2011. Sea ice biogeochemistry and material transport across the frozen interface. *Oceanography* 24(3):202–218, http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/ oceanog.2011.72.

271

Lytle, V. I., and S. F. Ackley (1996), Heat flux through sea ice in the Western Weddell Sea:

272

Convective and conductive transfer processes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101* (C4), 8853–8868.

273

Maksym, T., and T. Markus (2008), Antarctic sea ice thickness and snow-to-ice conversion

274

from atmospheric reanalysis and passive microwave snow depth, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*,

275

C02S12, doi:10.1029/2006JC004,085.

* Meier, W. N., et al. (2014), Arctic sea ice in transformation: A review of recent observed changes and impacts on biology and human activity, Rev. Geophys., 51, 185–217, doi:10.1002/ 2013RG000431.

276

Perovich, D. K., T. C. Grenfell, B. Light, and P. V. Hobbs (2002), Seasonal evolution

277

of the albedo of multiyear Arctic sea ice, *J. Geophys. Res. (Oceans)*, *107* (C10), 8044,

278

doi:10.1029/2000JC000,438.

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 17

279

Perovich, D. K., B. Light, H. Eicken, K. F. Jones, K. Runciman, and S. V. Nghiem

280

(2007), Increasing solar heating of the Arctic Ocean and adjacent seas, 1979-2005:

281

Attribution and role in the ice-albedo feedback, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *34*, L19,505,

282

doi:10.1029/2007GL031,480.

Petrich, C. and H. Eicken (2009) Growth, structure and properties of sea ice, in Thomas, D. N. and G. S. Dieckmann (eds.) Sea ice, Wiley-Blackwell, pp. 23-77

Pistone, K., I. Eisenman, and V. Ramanathan (2014), Observational determination of albedo decrease caused by vanishing Arctic sea ice, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *111*(9), 3322-3326.

283

Polashenski, C., D. Perovich, and Z. Courville (2012), The mechanisms of sea ice melt

284

pond formation and evolution, *J. Geophys. Res. C (Oceans)*, *117*, C01,001 (23 pp.),

285

doi:10.1029/2011JC007,231.

286

Pringle, D. J., J. E. Miner, H. Eicken, and K. M. Golden (2009), Pore-space perco-

287

lation in sea ice single crystals, *J. Geophys. Res. (Oceans)*, *114*, C12,017, 12 pp.,

288

doi:10.1029/2008JC005,145.

289

Reid, J. E., A. Pfaﬄing, A. P. Worby, and J. R. Bishop (2006), In situ measurements of

290

the direct-current conductivity of Antarctic sea ice: Implications for airborne electro-

291

magnetic sounding of sea-ice thickness, *Ann. Glaciol.*, *44*, 217–223.

292

Rysgaard, S., R. N. Glud, M. K. Sejr, J. Bendtsen, and P. B. Christensen (2007), Inorganic

293

carbon transport during sea ice growth and decay: A carbon pump in polar seas, *J.*

294

*Geophys. Res.*, *112*, C03,016, doi:10.1029/ 2006JC003,572.

298

Sampson, C., K. M. Golden, A. Gully, and A. P. Worby (2011), Surface impedance to-

299

mography for Antarctic sea ice, *Deep Sea Res. II*, *58*, 1149–1157.

X - 18 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

302

Stauﬀer, D., and A. Aharony (1992), *Introduction to Percolation Theory, Second Edition*,

303

Taylor and Francis Ltd., London.

304

Stogryn, A., and G. J. Desargant (1985), The dielectric properties of brine in sea ice at

305

microwave frequencies, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, *AP-33* (5), 523–532.

306

Thomas, D. N., and G. S. Dieckmann (Eds.) (2009), *Sea Ice, 2nd Edition*, Wiley-Blackwell,

307

Oxford.

308

Thyssen, F., H. Kohnen, M. V. Cowan, and G. W. Timco (1974), DC resistivity measure-

309

ments on the sea ice near pond inlet, *Polarforschung*, *44*, 117–126.

310


Wong, P. (1988), The statistical physics of sedimentary rocks, *Physics Today*, *41* (12),

311

24–32.

Zhou, J., B. Delille, H. Eicken, M. Vancoppenolle, F. Brabant, G. Carnat, N.-X. Geilfus, T. Papakyriakou, B. Heinesch, and J.-L. Tison (2013) Physical and biogeochemical properties in landfast sea ice (Barrow, Alaska): Insights on brine and gas dynamics across seasons, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20232

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 19



**a**



**b**

∆*V*

C1 P1

P2 C2

*a*

*L*

*a*

Figure 1. (a) A Wenner electrode array is configured to measure the vertical conductivity of Antarctic sea ice, by inserting the four probes into an extracted ice core. (b) A current *I* is injected into the core through the outer electrodes C1 and C2. The potential diﬀerence ∆*V* resulting from the current flow is measured by the inner electrodes P1 and P2. The ratio ∆*V /I* is the resistance *R* in ohms. Here the electrode spacing is *L* = 10 cm and *a* = 10 cm. (c) A cross-borehole array is frozen into Arctic sea ice. The DC resistivity profile was tomographically reconstructed in the volume enclosed by the electrode strings. One of the strings, with 10 cm separation of the plates, is shown in (d).



**c**



**d**



X - 20 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

**a** insulating **b** conducting

*p =* 1/3 *p =* 2/3

**c d**

\* percolation \*







vertical resistivity formation factor

*v*

*v*

*b* theory *b*

percolation theory

Antarctic

Arctic

brine volume fraction 


brine volume fraction 

Figure 2. The two dimensional square lattice below its percolation or connectivity threshold *pc* = 1*/*2 in (a), and above in (b). We display the vertical resistivity formation factor data from the Antarctic in (c) and the Arctic in (d), along with the same prediction from percolation

theory in each. Both data and theory exhibit divergent behavior as φ approaches φ*c* ≈ 0*.*05 from

the right, with a vertical asymptote at φ = φ*c*, electrically signaling the transition to relatively impermeable ice.

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 21



**melt pond**

**sea water**

**sea water**

Figure 3. Cross-borehole tomographic reconstructions of the vertical resistivity formation factor for Arctic sea ice before (a) and after (b) melt pond formation. The evolution of re- sistivity structure is consistent with warming of the ice, thus increasing the fluid permeability and facilitating the infiltration of meltwater into the upper layer of sea ice from the surface. To connect the electrical properties of sea ice to its important processes, the range of the resistiv- ity formation factor *G* is divided into five regimes: *G >* 625 (ice impermeable enough to allow ponds to grow for surface ablation rates of 10 cm/d or larger for a critical pore radius of 0.1

mm); 125 *< G* ≤ 625, blue (ice impermeable enough to allow ponds to grow for surface ablation

rates between 10 and 50 cm/d for a critical pore radius of 0.1 mm); 31*.*3 *< G* ≤ 125, green (at formation factors of 31.3 or larger ice is impermeable from the perspective of CO2 exchange and build-up of nutrients and biomass in the ice [*Rysgaard et al.*, 2007], and suﬃciently impermeable

to drainage to support surface ponding); 1 *< G* ≤ 31*.*3, orange (highly permeable ice that allows

for CO2 pumping and build-up of nutrients and biomass); *G* ≤ 1, red (assumed to be free water column). Only the most resistive ice *G >* 625 is not shown.

X - 22 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

Auxiliary Material: Percolation Theory for Sea Ice

312

Consider the classical lattice percolation model described in section 3. Here we use this model to

313

relate electrical transport to fluid flow through sea ice, and obtain a percolation theory prediction

314

for the vertical component of the electical conductivity of sea ice as a fuction of brine volume

315

fraction. At the end of this section we also demonstrate that the four probe Wenner method we

316

developed for the Antarctic data gives results very close to a parallel plate experiment.

Let σ∗(*p*) be the eﬀective conductivity of the square or cubic lattice percolation model in the vertical direction [*Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992]. For *p < pc*, σ∗(*p*) = 0. For *p > pc* and near *pc*, σ∗(*p*) exhibits power law behavior,

*c*

σ∗(*p*) ∼ σ0(*p* − *pc*)*t*

*, p* → *p*+*,* (3)

317

where *t* is the conductivity critical exponent. For lattices, *t* is believed to be universal, depending

318

only on *d*. In *d* = 2, *t* ≈ 1*.*3, and in *d* = 3, *t* ≈ 2*.*0 [*Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992]. There is also a

319

320

321

rigorous bound for an idealized model of the percolation cluster [*Golden*, 1990] that 1 ≤ *t* ≤ 2 in *d* = 2 and *d* = 3. Since σ∗(*p*) → 0 as *p* → *p*+, the eﬀective resistivity ρ∗(*p*) = 1*/*σ∗(*p*) diverges as *p* → *p*+, with a vertical asympote at *p* = *pc*, as shown in Figure 4 b. For two phase composites

322

*c*

*c*

323

324

325

with finite component resistivities, like sea ice, the behavior only approximates the asymptote, and for *p < pc*, ρ∗ remains finite.

The fluid permeability κ∗(*p*) corresponding to (1), where the open bonds are pipes of fluid

conductivity κ0*/*η = *r*2*/*8η and radius *r*0, behaves like κ∗(*p*) ∼ κ0(*p* − *pc*)*e* as *p* → *p*+, with *e*

0 *c*

326

the fluid permeability exponent and η the fluid viscosity. For lattices, it is believed [*Stau*ﬀ*er*

327

*and Aharony*, 1992] that *e* = *t*. In the continuum, the exponents *e* and *t* can take non-universal

328

values, and need not be equal, such as for the three dimensional Swiss cheese model [*Halperin*

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 23

329

*et al.*, 1985; *Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992]. However, the lognormal distribution of brine inclusion

330

cross-sectional areas in sea ice leads to *universal* behavior [*Golden et al.*, 2007; *Berkowitz and*

331

*Balberg*, 1992]. Thus for sea ice, *t* = *e* ≈ 2.

332

It is interesting to note that sea ice is a continuum whose percolation threshold of 0.05 can be

333

explained with a *continuum* percolation model, known as a “compressed powder.” Surprisingly,

334

the lognormally distributed brine inclusions give rise to universal, *lattice* critical behavior for

335

transport near the percolation threshold.

In order to use percolation theory to quantitatively describe the vertical conductivity σ∗(φ), and to provide a link between fluid and electrical transport in sea ice, we recall our result [*Golden et al.*, 2007] for the vertical fluid permeability

*v*

*k*∗ 2

−8 2 +

*v* (φ) ∼ 3 (φ − φ*c*)

× 10

m *,* φ → φ*c .* (4)

The scaling factor *k*0 = 3 × 10−8 is estimated using critical path analysis [*Stau*ﬀ*er and Aharony*, 1992; *Friedman and Seaton*, 1998]. The eﬀective behavior of media with a broad range of local conductances is dominated by a critical *bottleneck* conductance related to the minimal radius in

a connected pathway of appropriate scale. To relate σ∗ to *k*∗, we use the following relation from

*v* *v*

critical path analysis [*Friedman and Seaton*, 1998]. With *rc* denoting the critical radius for our

centimeter scale electrical experiments, then

2

*r*

∗ *c*

*kv* =

8

∗

σ

*v*

*,* (5)

σ*b*

336

where σ*b* is the conductivity of brine, which depends [*Stogryn and Desargant* , 1985] on tempera-

337

ture *T* . By measuring the radii of vertical pathways in X-ray tomography images [*Golden et al.*,

338

2007; *Pringle et al.*, 2009], we estimate a range in mm of 0*.*1 ≤ *rc* ≤ 0*.*2.

X - 24 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

It is useful to consider the vertical conductivity formation factor *F* = σ∗*/*σ*b*, which removes the dependence of the eﬀective parameter on the changing conductivity of the brine, and depends

*v*

only on the pore volume fraction and geometry. In view of (3) and (5),

*F* (φ) ∼ *F*0 (φ − φ*c*)2*,* φ → φ+*, F*0 = 8*k*

0

*.* (6)

*c* 2

*r*

*c*

339

The estimates of 0.1 mm to 0.2 mm for *rc* yield a range for *F*0 of 6 ≤ *F*0 ≤ 24.

340

Now we demonstrate that our adapted Wenner array method is a viable field technique for

341

measuring the vertical conductivity of sea ice. Plate electrodes in contact with the ends of

342

a cylinder generate parallel field lines which make measuring the conductivity of the cylinder

343

material relatively straightforward, as illustrated in Figure 5 a. To assess the accuracy of our

344

four probe method, the commercial package Comsol 3.5a was used to create a finite element

345

model of cylindrical sea ice cores 0.09 m in diameter and 0.5 m in length. Four metal probes

346

of 0.004 m in diameter and 0.09 m in length were inserted approximately 0.07 m into the core,

347

similar to Figure 1 b. When the current is injected through the outer probes instead of parallel

348

plates, as in Figure 5 b, the nearby field lines show significant curvature. However, in the boxed

349

measurement region in Figure 5 b where the inner probes are located, the field lines are relatively

350

straight, thus minimizing the error between the actual conductivity of the material and what is

351

measured by the array. Numerical simulations show that if the outer probes are 5 cm or more

352

from the inner measurement region, this error is less than 8.5%, and is less than 1.5% if the

353

distance is 10 cm or more, as for much of our data.

354

When extracting a sea ice core to measure its properties, loss of brine is a principal concern.

355

356

However, for our experiments we did not see any evidence of significant brine loss during the relatively short measurement periods with air temperatures ranging from about −6◦ C to −18◦

357

GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE X - 25

C (with most below −9◦ C). Moreover, the probes are inserted deep into the core, minimizing

358

contact with potential brine surface films. Our numerical simulations and these observations

359

establish the Wenner array as a viable field method for *direct* resistivity measurements.

X - 26 GOLDEN ET AL.: ELECTRICAL SIGNATURE OF PERCOLATION IN SEA ICE

**a b**

conductivity resistivity

\*

\*





0 *p* 1 *p* 0

*c*


*p* 1 *p*

*c*

Figure 4. For the infinite, two dimensional square lattice there is no bulk transport below its percolation threshold *pc* with the eﬀective conductivity σ∗ = 0 for *p* ≤ *pc*, while σ∗(*p*) increases with power law behavior just above *pc*, as shown in (a). In (b) the corresponding eﬀective resistivity ρ∗ = 1*/*σ∗ diverges as *p* −→ *p*+ with a vertical asymptote at *p* = *pc*.

*c*

**a** 0.05



*y* 0

-0.05

**b** 0.05

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

# x

*y* 0

-0.05

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

# x

Figure 5. Comparison of field lines for a parallel plate configuration in (a) with those for a four probe Wenner array in (b).