Broadband lightweight flat lenses for long-wave infrared imaging
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We experimentally demonstrate imaging in the long-wave infrared (LWIR) spectral band (8 µm to 12 µm) using a single polymer flat lens based upon multilevel diffractive optics. The device thickness is only 10 µm, and chromatic aberrations are corrected over the entire LWIR band with one surface. Due to the drastic reduction in device thickness, we are able to utilize polymers with absorption in the LWIR, allowing for inexpensive manufacturing via imprint lithography. The weight of our lens is less than 100 times that of comparable refractive lenses. We fabricated and characterized 2 different flat lenses. Even with about 25% absorption losses, experiments show that our flat polymer lenses obtain good imaging with field of view of 35° and angular resolution less than 0.013°. The flat lenses were characterized with 2 different commercial LWIR image sensors. Finally, we show that, by using lossless, higher-refractive-index materials like silicon, focusing efficiencies in excess of 70% can be achieved over the entire LWIR band. Our results firmly establish the potential for lightweight, ultrathin, broadband lenses for high-quality imaging in the LWIR band.

Significance

We demonstrate, with simulations corroborated by experiments, that broadband long-wave infrared (LWIR) imaging is possible with a single flat lens with a thickness of 10 µm and a weight that is over 100 times less than conventional refractive optics. Reducing the weight and thickness of LWIR optics is crucial for increasing the range of camera-carrying drones as well as for reducing head and neck injuries among camera-borne soldiers. The technology discussed herein will be extremely useful not only to optics specialists but also to camera designers and users in general.
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and then experimentally demonstrated the imaging performance using 2 different commercially available LWIR image sensors. It is important to distinguish our work from previous reports that utilize Fresnel lenses in the LWIR. An 80-μm-thick polymer Fresnel lens combined with a 755-μm-thick refractive silicon lens was used to report the thinnest LWIR lens (total device thickness ~0.8 mm) capable of imaging (20). A high-order Silicon Fresnel lens made out of silicon was used in combination with an aperture for wide-angle imaging in the LWIR band as well (21), which had a total device thickness of 1 mm. In comparison, the device thickness of our single MDL is only 10 μm (a reduction of 100×) and it comprises a patterned polymer. Most importantly, MDLs are corrected for the entire operating bandwidth, while Fresnel lenses are not.

Results and Discussions

First, we designed rotationally symmetric MDLs, whose constituent element is a ring of width equal to 8 μm, and whose height is determined by nonlinear optimization. This optimization is based upon a gradient-descent-assisted direct binary search (DBS) technique, a modified version of the conventional direct binary search method. Full details of our algorithm are published in refs. 14 and 15. Advanced methods like, for example, the adjoint method (22–26) can also be employed to achieve similar results with computational complexity comparable to our modified DBS technique. However, our method lends itself to a simple and modular implementation that enables incorporation of multiobjective functions and fabrication constraints in a natural manner. To briefly summarize, we maximize the wavelength-averaged focusing efficiency of the MDL, while choosing the distribution of heights of the rings that form the MDL. We used an operating band of 8 μm to 12 μm, and the measured dispersion of a positive-tone photore sist, AZ9260 (Microchem GmbH) in this band (SI Appendix). We designed 2 MDLs, one each with focal length and NA of 19 mm and 0.371 and 8 mm and 0.45. Both designs had a constraint of, at most, 100 height levels. The designed profiles and corresponding simulated point spread functions (PSFs) are shown in Fig. 1, where close to diffraction-limited focusing at all wavelengths is clearly observed. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the focal spots were computed for each design wavelength and averaged to obtain a single FWHM to compare to the diffraction-limited FWHM (SI Appendix). The simulated average FWHM and the diffraction-limited FWHM are 14.3 μm and 13.5 μm and 11.2 μm and 12.2 μm for the MDLs with f = 19 mm, NA = 0.371 and f = 8 mm, NA = 0.45, respectively.

We computed the focusing efficiency of the MDLs as the power within a spot of diameter equal to 3 times the FWHM of the spot divided by the total power incident on the lens (12, 27). The focusing efficiency spectra were computed for all wavelengths of interest and plotted in SI Appendix, Fig. S2 for the 2 MDLs shown in Fig. 1. The wavelength-averaged (8 μm to 12 μm) focusing efficiency for the 2 lenses is 43% and 65%, respectively. The smaller lens has higher efficiency. As described in SI Appendix, we also computed that about 25% of the incident power is absorbed in the polymer film for both lenses, which accounts for a portion of the reduced focusing efficiency. As described later, it is possible to increase these efficiencies by replacing the polymer with silicon, which is nonabsorbing in the LWIR.

We utilized the simulated wavefront after the MDL to compute the equivalent lens aberrations. The aberrations are defined as the difference between the simulated wavefront and the ideal spherical wavefront, and the difference is expressed as a linear sum of Zernike polynomials. The coefficients of the Zernike polynomials are illustrated in Fig. 2 for the MDL with NA = 0.371, f = 19 mm computed at λ = 8 μm. Similar results were obtained for the other MDLs and wavelengths, and are included.

Fig. 1. Design and focusing performance of LWIR MDLs. (A and G) The optimized height profile and (B–F and H–L) the simulated point spread functions at the design wavelengths for lenses with focal length and numerical aperture of (A–F) 19 mm and 0.371 and (G–L) 8 mm and 0.45.

Fig. 2. Analysis of aberrations of the f = 19 mm lens (NA = 0.371) at λ = 8 μm. The aberration coefficients at other design wavelengths are included in SI Appendix.
in SI Appendix. These calculations confirm that MDLs exhibit aberrations that are comparable to or better than those seen in conventional refractive lenses.

The devices were fabricated using grayscale lithography (SI Appendix) (16–18). The optical micrographs of the fabricated MDLs are shown in Fig. 3 A and B for the f = 19 and 8 mm lenses, respectively. Each lens was then assembled onto a different image sensor: Tau 2 camera core (FLIR Systems, Inc.) for f = 19 mm lens (Fig. 3C) and the LW-AAA camera (SeekThermal) for f = 8 mm lens, whose original lens was manually removed (Fig. 3D). We first characterized the modulation transfer function (MTF) of the f = 19 mm, NA = 0.371 lens coupled with the Tau 2 sensor (28). A hot plate with insulator in front was used as an object, and the MTF was estimated using the slanted edge (SI Appendix). The temperature of the hot plate was adjusted from 60 °C to 160 °C, and the results are summarized in Fig. 4. There are no significant differences in the MTF with temperature, confirming achromatic imaging.

Several images were taken with both cameras for characterization, and these are summarized in Fig. 5. All figures except Fig. 5D are with the f = 19 mm lens and Tau 2 camera (FLIR Systems, Inc.), while Fig. 5D is with the f = 8 mm lens and LW-AAA (Seek Thermal) camera. Fig. 5 A and D is of a heated resistor coil, whose diameter is ~250 μm. The object distance (z_o) and the image distance (z_i) for each image are labeled in the corresponding figure. By placing a metal block with holes in front of a hot plate (80 °C) at various object distances, we can estimate the resolving power of the camera as indicated in Fig. 5 E–L. When the object is 762 mm away from the lens, the demagnified image of the holes is spaced by 170 μm and these are still well resolved. This spacing corresponds to 10 pixels on the image sensor and represents an angular resolution of ~0.013°. The field of view of the images is about 35° × 30° in the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively. Several videos are also obtained from both cameras and have been included in SI Appendix. These include videos of a resistor coil (see Movies S1 and S2 from the Tau 2 and the LW-AAA cameras, respectively), and a human subject indoors (Movie S3) and outdoors (at night; Movie S4).

For imaging efficiency measurements, we used a sharp nail as the object (tip diameter = 4.5 mm). The nail was heated to a desired temperature and imaged onto the Tau 2 camera core (FLIR Systems, Inc.) (see SI Appendix for details and Fig. 6A). The imaging efficiency was estimated as the ratio of the sum of the pixel values inside the spot size to the sum of all of the pixel values in the entire frame. The results are summarized in Fig. 6B. An example image at 50 °C is shown in Fig. 6C. The imaging efficiency was estimated using spot size of W, 2W, and 3W as shown in Fig. 6B, where W = 0.272 mm, the FWHM of the demagnified image of the tip of the heated nail. Note that the imaging efficiency is distinct from the focusing efficiency, due to the finite size and temperature of the object that is being imaged. In all cases, the efficiency peaks approximately below 60 °C. This can be understood by appealing to Wein’s law, which determines the peak emission wavelength of a black body at a given temperature (Fig. 6D). For temperatures above 60 °C, the peak wavelength is shorter than 8 μm, which is below the designed spectrum of the MDL, and, as expected, the efficiency drops. This is further exacerbated by the spectral response of the image sensor, which drops off below ~8 μm. Increased focusing efficiency will also lead to better-quality images. This can be readily seen by noting that power that is not focused into the main lobe essentially causes background noise and therefore reduces contrast of the image. An ideal singlet refractive lens or an ideal blazed diffractive lens can both achieve very close to 100% focusing efficiency at one
Fig. 7. The optimized height profile of Si MDL for NA = 0.371 and focal length = 19 mm with (A) 8 levels, (B) 16 levels, (C) 32 levels, and (D) 64 levels. The corresponding simulated focusing efficiencies for the MDL with (E) 8 levels, (F) 16 levels, (G) 32 levels, and (H) 64 levels. It is observed that the focusing efficiency tends to improve only marginally beyond 16 levels in this case. All other design parameters are the same.

wavelength. Although it is difficult to quantify a single cutoff value for efficiency (since that is dependent upon many other factors, including sensor characteristics and the image postprocessing pipeline), we can generally state that better efficiency leads to better images.

From such a perspective, one can utilize higher refractive index materials to increase the focusing efficiency. Since Si exhibits high refractive index and low absorption in the LWIR band (3.42 at \( \lambda = 8 \) μm), it is a good candidate material. We designed several MDLs using Si with focal length and NA equal to 19 mm and 0.371, respectively. The MDLs were designed with height level constraints of 8, 16, 32, and 64 with the corresponding optimized height profiles as shown in Fig. 7A–D. The corresponding plots of focusing efficiency as function of wavelength are shown in Fig. 7E–H. Simulated PSFs of all lenses are included in SI Appendix. With 8 height levels, the Si lens performs approximately equally to the polymer lens with 100 height levels. Once we increase the number of height levels in the Si lens to 16 or higher, the focusing efficiency averaged over all wavelengths is increased significantly to over 71%. Finally, we noticed that the wavelength-averaged efficiency does not increase significantly beyond 16 levels. Sixteen height levels in Si may be achievable by 4 lithography and etch steps, which are very standard processes in semiconductor manufacturing (29). Although this fabrication approach will be more expensive than imprinting directly onto a polymer, in some applications, the additional cost is likely to be justifiable.

Conclusion

Reducing the weight, thickness, and number of optical elements will have important applications for all spectral bands. Here, we demonstrate that this can be achieved in the LWIR band using MDLs. We note that our MDLs are quite distinct from conventional diffractive lenses because of their achronicity. Nevertheless, conventional diffractive lenses are designed for a specific wavelength, and their focusing performance drastically drops at wavelengths away from the design value.

Materials and Methods

Design and Optimization. All MDL designs were obtained using nonlinear optimization using a modified gradient-descent based search algorithm that maximized wavelength-averaged focusing efficiency.
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