

## 1. ERGODIC THEORY OF ROTATIONS OF THE CIRCLE

**1.1. Preliminaries.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . We define a dynamical system, rotation by  $\alpha$ ,  $R_\alpha : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  by  $R_\alpha(x) = x + \alpha - \lfloor x + \alpha \rfloor$ . Observe that  $R$  preserves Lebesgue measure, meaning  $R_*\lambda = \lambda$ . That is  $\lambda(A) = \lambda(R^{-1}A)$  for all measurable sets  $A$ . In this note we think of  $[0, 1)$  as being a circle of radius 1 by considering the following distance:  $d(x, y) = \min\{|x - y|, 1 - |x - y|\}$ . With this metric  $R_\alpha$  is an isometry.

**Defintion 1.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  preserve  $\mu$ .  $T$  is ergodic with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\mu(T^{-1}A \Delta A) = 0$  implies  $\mu(A)\mu(A^c) = 0$ .

Often authors will not require that  $T$  is  $\mu$ -measure preserving. In this case, it is just a condition on the measure class.

**Theorem 1.** *Irrational rotations are ergodic with respect to Lebesgue measure.*

*Proof.* This is your homework! □

We also have the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f \in C_c(S^1)$ , then for any irrational  $\alpha$  and  $x \in [0, 1)$*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(e^{2\pi i j x}) = \int f d\lambda.$$

Where  $\lambda$  is uniform measure on  $S^1$  normalized so that  $\lambda(S^1) = 1$ .

To prove this we use the so called Weyl Criterion:

**Lemma 1.** *(Weyl's Criterion) Let  $\{p_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \subset S^1$ .  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2in\pi p_k x} = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  (equivalently  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) iff  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(e^{2in\pi p_k x}) = \int f d\lambda$  for all  $f \in C(S^1)$ .*

**Corollary 1.** If  $\alpha$  is irrational,  $x \in [0, 1)$  and  $a < b$  then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \chi_{[a,b]}(R_\alpha^j x) = b - a$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 suggest the so called Birkhoff ergodic theorem:

**Theorem 3.** *(Birkhoff) Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $T$  be  $\mu$  ergodic and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^i x) = \int f d\mu$$

for  $\mu$  a.e.  $x$ . Moreover, let  $s_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x)$ . Then  $s_n$  converges in  $L^1(\mu)$  to  $\int f d\mu$ .

Note: If  $T$  is just measure preserving then the limit exists for almost every  $x$ . The resulting function is  $T$  invariant.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $T$  be  $\mu$  ergodic and moreover assume  $(X, d)$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact metric space and  $\mu$  is a Borel measure then there exists  $G_\mu$  with  $\mu(G_\mu) = 1$  and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^i x) = \int f d\mu$$

for all  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $x \in G_\mu$ .

$G_\mu$  is the set of  $\mu$ -generic points.

**1.2. Chebyshev's Theorem.** Observe that there exists  $a$  so that  $R^i(0)$  is further from 0 than  $R^0$  for  $1 < i < a$   $R^a(0)$  is closer to 0 than  $R(0)$ . In this case  $R^a(0) \in (1 - \alpha, 1)$  and  $R^{a+1}(0)$  crosses 1. Now  $\frac{1}{a+1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{a}$ . Also if  $\delta = 1 - a_1\alpha$  then  $R^{i+a}0 = R^i(0) - \delta$ . So on an interval of size  $\alpha$  we act by rotation by  $-\delta$ . Rescaling we have rotation by  $-(\frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - a_1\alpha)) = -(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha} \rfloor)$ .

**Defintion 2.** Let  $G(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha} \rfloor$ . This is the Gauss map of  $\alpha$ .

The Gauss map does not preserve Lebesgue but it does preserve a mutually absolutely continuous measure:  $\mu(A) = \int_A \frac{dx}{x+1}$ .

Let us consider  $R|_{[0, \alpha)}$ , the first return map of  $R$  to  $[0, 1)$ :

**Defintion 3.** If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $\mu$ -measure preserving and  $A$  be measurable then the Poincaré first return map to  $A$  is defined by

$$T|_A : A \rightarrow A \text{ by } T(x) = T^{n_x}(x)$$

where  $n_x = \min\{n > 0 : T^n x \in A\}$ .

The first return map is defined almost everywhere by the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is  $\mu$ -measure preserving then for any measurable set  $A$  we have that for  $\mu$  almost every  $x \in A$  there exists  $n_x > 0$  so that  $T^{n_x}(x) \in A$ . That is, there exists  $A' \subset A$  with  $\mu(A \setminus A') = 0$  so that for all  $x \in A'$  there exists  $n_x > 0$  with  $T^{n_x} x \in A$ .

*Proof.* Step 1: It suffices to show that for any set  $A$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  we have that there exists  $n < \infty$  with  $\mu(T^n A \cap A) > 0$ .

To see this observe  $\{x \in A : T^n x \notin A \text{ for all } n > 0\} = A \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{-i} A$  and  $A \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{-i} A$  is measurable. Now if  $T^j x \in A \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{-i} A$  then  $T^j x \in A$ .

Step 2: The sufficient condition holds.

Let  $\frac{\mu(X)}{n} < \mu(A)$ . Since  $T$  is measure preserving we have that  $\mu(T^{-i} A) \geq \frac{\mu(X)}{n}$ .  $X$  can not contain  $n$  disjoint (up to sets of measure 0) sets of measure greater than  $\frac{\mu(X)}{n}$ . So there exists  $0 \leq i < j < n$  with  $\mu(T^{-i} A \cap T^{-j} A) > 0$ . Now  $T^{-i} A \cap T^{-j} A = T^{-i}(A \cap T^{-(j-i)} A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** The return times  $R$  to  $[0, \alpha)$  are  $a + 1$  if  $x \in [0, \delta)$  and  $a$  otherwise, where  $a$  is the first term in the continued fraction expansion.

This is because if  $x \in [0, \alpha)$  then  $R^a(x) \notin [0, \alpha)$  iff  $x \in [0, \delta)$  and so  $R^a(x) \in [1 - \delta, \delta)$ .

Now let  $\hat{R} = R|_{[0, \alpha)}$  and we can consider  $\hat{R}|_{[\alpha - \delta, \alpha)}$ . Now there exists  $b$  so that the first return map for  $\hat{R}$  to  $[0, \delta)$  is either  $b$  or  $b + 1$ . There exists  $\epsilon = \alpha - b\delta < \delta$ <sup>1</sup> so that  $[\alpha - \epsilon, \alpha)$  has return time  $b + 1$  and the rest has return time  $b$ .

It is natural to consider the return times for  $R$  to  $[0, \delta)$ . We have  $b$  or  $b + 1$  terms. They each have size either  $a$  or  $a + 1$ .

**Claim 1.** Exactly one of them has size  $a + 1$ .

There is 1 interval in  $[0, \alpha)$  of size  $\delta$  responsible for the return time of  $a + 1$ . Until first return to  $[\alpha - \delta, \delta)$  the orbit is  $\delta$  separated. So we hit this interval at most once. Now we start in  $[\alpha - \delta, \alpha)$  and we move by  $\delta$  to the right, so have to hit an interval of size  $\delta$  before first return. So we hit it exactly once.

**Corollary 3.** The return time of  $R$  to  $[\alpha - \delta, \alpha)$  is either  $ab + 1$  or  $ab + a + 1$ .<sup>2</sup>

**Remark 1.** Inductively let  $q_{-1} = 0, q_0 = 1, q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$ . Let  $\alpha_n = (-1)^n G^n(\alpha)$ . Let  $I_n = [0, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|)$ . Before rescaling  $R|_{I_n}$  is rotation by  $\alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |\alpha_i|$ . After rescaling by the (inverse of the) size of the interval we can consider this as rotation by  $\alpha_n$  on  $[0, 1)$ . Inductively we can see that the return times to  $I_n$  are  $q_{n+1}$  and  $q_{n+1} + q_n$ .

**Theorem 4.** (Chebyshev) If  $R$  is rotation by  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  then  $|R^m(x) - y| < \frac{2}{m}$  for infinitely many  $m$ .

### 1.3. Problems.

- (1) Prove Corollary 1.
- (2) Show that the return time to an interval under a circle rotation is at most 3 valued.
- (3) Improve Chebyshev's theorem to show that if  $R$  is rotation by  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  then  $|R^m(x) - y| \leq \frac{1}{m}$  for infinitely many  $m$ .
- (4) Show that if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q} + \alpha\mathbb{Q}$  then  $T_{\alpha, \beta} : [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$  by  $(x, y) = (x + \alpha - \lfloor x + \alpha \rfloor, y + \beta - \lfloor y + \beta \rfloor)$  is ergodic.
- (5) Use Chebyshev's theorem to show that if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $x \in [0, 1)$  then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\{y : \exists \infty m \text{ so that } |R_\alpha^m(x) - y| < \frac{\epsilon}{m}\}$$

has full measure.

**Hint:** Use Chebyshev's theorem to show that  $\cup_{i=N}^{\infty} B(R_\alpha^i(x), \frac{\epsilon}{i})$  has no Lebesgue density points.

- (6) Show that any Gauss measure invariant subset with positive measure has full measure.
- (7) \* Show that  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  is a probability measure preserving system,  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$  then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n$  so that  $\mu(T^{-n}A \cap A) > \mu(A)^2 - \epsilon$ .

<sup>1</sup>Note that this implies  $\frac{\alpha}{b+1} < \delta < \frac{\alpha}{b}$  and so  $\frac{1}{a + \frac{1}{b}} < \alpha = \frac{1}{a+\delta} < \frac{1}{a + \frac{1}{b+1}}$

<sup>2</sup>It is perhaps best to think of these numbers as  $1(a+1) + (b-1)a$  and  $1(a+1) + (b+1-1)a$ .