

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this note is to prove that continuous functions are Riemann integrable. We recall what this means:

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f$  be a real-valued function defined on a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $[a, b]$  be a closed bounded interval contained in  $D$ . We say that  $f$  is *Riemann integrable* on  $[a, b]$  if  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

## 2. A DIFFERENTIAL ANALOG OF INDUCTION

I like to think of the derivative of a function as a continuous analog of the *discrete difference operator*, defined as follows. Say  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence of real numbers. We set

$$Dg(x) = f(x+1) - f(x).$$

If you plot the points  $(x, g(x))$  for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $Dg(x)$  is the slope of the line segment between  $(x, g(x))$  and  $(x+1, g(x+1))$ . The following is a rephrasing of induction.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that  $g$  and  $h$  are two functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $g(1) = h(1)$ .
- (2)  $Dg(x) = Dh(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the two conditions hold. We will prove that  $g(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on  $x$ . The base case of  $x = 1$  is true by assumption. Suppose that we already know  $g(x) = h(x)$  for some  $x$ . We assume  $Dg(x) = Dh(x)$ , or in other words  $g(x+1) - g(x) = h(x+1) - h(x)$ . Adding  $g(x) = h(x)$ , we get  $g(x+1) = h(x+1)$ . The result follows by induction.  $\square$

Here is the differential analog of the above.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $[a, b]$  be a closed bounded interval, and let  $g, h$  be functions defined on  $[a, b]$ . Then  $g(x) = h(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  if the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $g(a) = h(a)$ .
- (2)  $g$  and  $h$  are differentiable on  $(a, b)$  and  $g'(x) = h'(x)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ .

## 3. INTEGRABILITY OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $f$  is a continuous function defined on a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* As  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , it is also bounded on  $[a, b]$ . Thus, to show that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  we need to show that

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

For  $x \in [a, b]$ , we define

$$I(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt \text{ and}$$

$$i(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt.$$

We will show that  $I(x) = i(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . In particular, this will imply that  $I(b) = i(b)$ , so this will give what we want. We will check the conditions of Lemma 2.2. We have  $I(a) = i(a) = 0$ , so the

first condition holds. We check the second condition. Fix a point  $x \in (a, b)$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|x - y| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ . We may assume that  $\delta$  is small enough so that we have  $a < x - \delta < x < x + \delta < b$ . Let  $h$  be a positive real number such that  $h < \delta$ . Consider the partition  $P_h = \{x, x + h\}$  of the interval  $[x, x + h]$ . We have the inequalities

$$L(f, P_h) \leq \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt \leq \int_x^{x+h} \bar{f}(t) dt \leq U(f, P_h).$$

Set

$$M_h = \sup_{[x, x+h]} f \quad \text{and} \quad m_h = \inf_{[x, x+h]} f.$$

We know that

$$f(x) - \varepsilon \leq m_h \leq M_h \leq f(x) + \varepsilon.$$

The upper and lower Riemann sums for  $f$  on  $P_h$  are given by

$$U(f, P_h) = M_h \cdot h \quad \text{and} \quad L(f, P_h) = m_h \cdot h.$$

We also know that the upper and lower integrals are additive, so we have

$$I(x + h) - I(x) = \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad i(x + h) - i(x) = \int_x^{x+h} \bar{f}(t) dt.$$

Putting these together, we get the inequalities

$$(f(x) - \varepsilon) \cdot h \leq m_h \cdot h \leq i(x + h) - i(x) \leq I(x + h) - I(x) \leq M_h \cdot h \leq (f(x) + \varepsilon) \cdot h.$$

Dividing by  $h$ , we get the inequalities

$$f(x) - \varepsilon \leq m_h \leq \frac{i(x + h) - i(x)}{h} \leq \frac{I(x + h) - I(x)}{h} \leq M_h \leq f(x) + \varepsilon.$$

To recap, we have shown that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $a < x - \delta < x < x + \delta < b$  and for any  $h$  such that  $0 < h < \delta$ , we have the above chain of inequalities. It follows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{i(x + h) - i(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I(x + h) - I(x)}{h} = f(x).$$

A similar argument involving a partition of the interval  $[x - h, x]$  shows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{i(x + h) - i(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{I(x + h) - I(x)}{h} = f(x).$$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{i(x + h) - i(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(x + h) - I(x)}{h} = f(x).$$

Thus,  $I$  and  $i$  are both differentiable at  $x$ , and we have

$$i'(x) = I'(x) = f(x).$$

□

#### 4. SOME STRONGER RESULTS

For the record, I'm recording here some stronger results on integrability. We proved the following in lecture. I'm not including the proof here.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f$  be a bounded function defined on a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$ . If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  away from finitely many points of  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .*

The following example shows that integrability doesn't need to hold if  $f$  has infinitely many discontinuities.

**Example 4.2.** Define a function  $f$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is discontinuous at *every* point in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We showed in class that  $f$  is *not* Riemann integral on any interval.

In fact, we can say something even when  $f$  has infinitely many discontinuities.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $f$  be a function defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ . Let  $c \in [a, b]$  be a point at which  $f$  is not continuous. We say that  $f$  has an *isolated discontinuity* at  $c$  if there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $f$  is continuous everywhere on the open interval  $(c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon)$  except at  $c$  itself.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $f$  be a bounded function defined on a closed bounded interval  $[a, b]$ . If all but finitely many of the discontinuities of  $f$  in the interval  $[a, b]$  are isolated, then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

Here is an example of a function which has infinitely many isolated discontinuities.

**Example 4.5.** Consider the “square wave” function

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sin \pi x).$$

Here,  $\operatorname{sgn}(y)$  is the “sign” function, defined by

$$\operatorname{sgn}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

The square wave  $f(x)$  jumps between the values 1 and  $-1$ . It is discontinuous at every point where  $\sin \pi x = 0$ , or in other words at every integer  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now consider the modified square wave

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is discontinuous at the points  $x = 0$  and  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  for  $n$  a nonzero integer. So, for instance,  $g$  is discontinuous at infinitely many points in any interval  $[0, a]$ . We note that the point  $x = 0$  is a non-isolated discontinuity, and each of the points  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  is an isolated discontinuity. Thus, Theorem 4.4 implies that  $g$  is nevertheless Riemann integrable on  $[0, a]$  (in fact, on any closed bounded interval).