

Computer arithmetic and the MathCW library

Nelson H. F. Beebe

Research Professor
University of Utah
Department of Mathematics, 110 LCB
155 S 1400 E RM 233
Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090
USA

Email: beebe@math.utah.edu, beebe@acm.org,
beebe@computer.org (Internet)
WWW URL: <http://www.math.utah.edu/~beebe>
Telephone: +1 801 581 5254
FAX: +1 801 581 4148

13 December 2008



Common misconceptions about computer arithmetic

- Integer arithmetic is always exact
- Integer overflows are caught
- Floating-point arithmetic is *fuzzy*
- Floating-point equality comparisons are unreliable
- Floating-point precision and range are adequate for everyone
- Rounding errors accumulate
- Computers execute arithmetic code in the order and precision in which it is written
- Underflows are harmless
- Overflows are disastrous
- Sign of zero does not matter
- Arithmetic exceptions should cause job termination

Infamous disasters in computer arithmetic

- ❑ USS Yorktown nuclear-weapons carrier dead in water in 1997 for three hours after integer overflow in spreadsheet shut down software control (potential cost: loss of a war)
- ❑ US Patriot missiles fail to shoot down Iraqi Scuds in 1990 Gulf War due to timer counter integer overflow
- ❑ European Space Agency Ariane 5 missile loss off West Africa in 1996 due to arithmetic overflow in floating-point to integer conversion in guidance system (cost \approx US\$1B)
- ❑ Too few digits in US National Debt Clock (9-Oct-2008), US gas pumps, and Y2K fiasco (US\$600B – US\$1000B)
- ❑ Intel Pentium floating-point divide flaw in 1994 (US\$400M – US\$600M)
- ❑ New York and Vancouver Stock Exchange shutdowns (cost: $n \times$ US\$10M)

Arithmetic and democratic elections

- ❑ German state election in Schleswig–Holstein reversed in 1992 because of rounding errors in party vote percentages
- ❑ The US presidential elections in 2000 and 2004, the State of Washington gubernatorial election in 2004, and the Mexico presidential election in 2007, were so close that even minor errors in counting could have changed the results
- ❑ Some US electronic voting machines in 2004 were found to have counted *backwards*, subtracting votes, either because of counter overflow and wraparound, or malicious tampering with the software.

Historical floating-point arithmetic

- ❑ Konrad Zuse's Z1, Z3, and Z4 (1936–1945): 22-bit (Z1 and Z3) and 32-bit Z4 with exponent range of $2^{\pm 63} \approx 10^{\pm 19}$
- ❑ Burks, Goldstine, and von Neumann (1946) argued against floating-point arithmetic
- ❑ *It is difficult today to appreciate that probably the biggest problem facing programmers in the early 1950s was scaling numbers so as to achieve acceptable precision from a fixed-point machine*, Martin Campbell-Kelly (1980)
- ❑ IBM mainframes from mid-1950s supplied floating-point arithmetic
- ❑ IEEE 754 Standard (1985) proposed a new design for binary floating-point arithmetic that has since been widely adopted
- ❑ IEEE 754 design first implemented in Intel 8087 coprocessor (1980)

Historical flaws on some systems

Floating-point arithmetic can make error analysis difficult, with behavior like this in some older designs:

- ❑ $u \neq 1.0 \times u$
- ❑ $u + u \neq 2.0 \times u$
- ❑ $u \times 0.5 \neq u/2.0$
- ❑ $u \neq v$ but $u - v = 0.0$, and $1.0/(u - v)$ raises a zero-divide error
- ❑ $u \neq 0.0$ but $1.0/u$ raises a zero-divide error
- ❑ $u \times v \neq v \times u$
- ❑ underflow wraps to overflow, and vice versa
- ❑ division replaced by reciprocal approximation and multiply
- ❑ poor rounding practices increase cumulative rounding error

IEEE 754 binary floating-point arithmetic

	s	exp	significand	
bit	0	1	9	31
	0	1	12	63
	0	1	16	79
	0	1	16	127
	0	1	22	255

single
double
extended
quadruple
octuple

- ❑ s is sign bit (0 for +, 1 for -)
- ❑ exp is unsigned biased exponent field
- ❑ smallest exponent: zero and *subnormals* (formerly, *denormalized*)
- ❑ largest exponent: Infinity and NaN (Not a Number)
- ❑ significand has implicit leading 1-bit in all but 80-bit format
- ❑ ± 0 , $\pm\infty$, signaling and quiet NaN

IEEE 754 binary floating-point arithmetic

- ❑ NaN from $0/0$, $\infty - \infty$, $f(\text{NaN})$, $x \text{ op NaN}$, ...
- ❑ NaN \neq NaN is distinguishing property, but botched by 10% of compilers
- ❑ $\pm\infty$ from big/small, including nonzero/zero
- ❑ precisions in bits: 24, 53, 64, 113, 235
- ❑ approximate precisions in decimal digits: 7, 15, 19, 34, 70
- ❑ approximate ranges (powers of 10): $[-45, 38]$, $[-324, 308]$, $[-4951, 4932]$, $[4966, 4932]$, $[-315723, 315652]$

IEEE 754 binary floating-point arithmetic

- ❑ nonstop computing model
- ❑ five sticky flags record exceptions: **underflow**, **overflow**, **zero divide**, **invalid**, and **inexact**
- ❑ four rounding modes: **to-nearest-with-ties-to-even** (default), **to-plus-infinity**, **to-minus-infinity**, and **to-zero**
- ❑ traps versus exceptions
- ❑ fixups in trap handlers impossible on heavily-pipelined or parallel architectures (since IBM System/360 Model 91 in 1968)
- ❑ no language support for advanced features until 1999 ISO C Standard
- ❑ some architectures implement only subsets (e.g., no subnormals, or only one rounding mode, or only one kind of NaN, or in embedded systems, neither Infinity nor NaN)
- ❑ some platforms have nonconforming rounding behavior

Why the base matters

- ❑ accuracy and run-time cost of conversion between internal and external (usually decimal) bases
- ❑ effective precision varies when the floating-point representation uses a radix larger than 2 or 10
- ❑ reducing the exponent width makes digits available for increased precision
- ❑ for a fixed number of exponent digits, larger bases provide a wider exponent range, and reduce incidence of rounding
- ❑ for a fixed storage size, granularity (the spacing between successive representable numbers) increases as the base increases
- ❑ in the absence of underflow and overflow, multiplication by a power of the base is an *exact* operation, and this feature is *essential* for many computations, in particular, for accurate elementary and special functions

Base conversion problem

- ❑ exact in one base may be inexact in others (e.g., decimal 0.9 is hexadecimal $0x1.\text{cccccccccccccccccccccc}\dots p-1$)
- ❑ 5% sales-tax example: binary arithmetic: $0.70 \times 1.05 = 0.734999999\dots$, which rounds to 0.73; correct decimal result 0.735 may round to 0.74
- ❑ Goldberg (1967) and Matula (1968) showed how many digits needed for *exact round-trip* conversion
- ❑ exact conversion may require *many* digits: more than 11500 decimal digits for binary-to-decimal conversion of 128-bit format,
- ❑ base-conversion problem not properly solved until 1990s
- ❑ few (if any) languages guarantee accurate base conversion

Decimal floating-point arithmetic

- ❑ Absent in most computers from mid-1960s to 2007
- ❑ IBM REXX and NetREXX scripting languages supply decimal arithmetic with arbitrary precision (10^9 digits) and huge exponent range ($10^{\pm 999999999}$)
- ❑ IBM decNumber library provides portable decimal arithmetic, and leads to hardware designs in IBM zSeries (2006) and PowerPC (2007)
- ❑ GNU compilers implement low-level support in late 2006
- ❑ business processing traditionally require 18D fixed-point decimal, but COBOL 2003 mandates 32D, and requires floating-point as well
- ❑ four additional rounding modes for legal/tax/financial requirements
- ❑ *integer*, rather than *fractional*, coefficient means redundant representation, but allows emulating fixed-point arithmetic
- ❑ **quantization** primitives can distinguish between 1, 1.0, 1.00, 1.000, etc.
- ❑ trailing zeros significant: they change quantization

Decimal floating-point arithmetic

	s	cf	ec	cc	
bit	0 1	6	9		31 single
	0 1	6	12		63 double
	0 1	6	16		127 quadruple
	0 1	6	22		255 octuple

- ❑ IBM Densely-Packed Decimal (DPD) and Intel Binary-Integer Decimal (BID) in 32-bit, 64-bit, 128-bit, and 256-bit formats provide $3n + 1$ digits: 7, 16, 34, and 70
- ❑ wider exponent ranges in decimal than binary: $[-101, 97]$, $[-398, 385]$, $[-6176, 6145]$, and $[-1572863, 1572865]$
- ❑ cf (combination field), ec (exponent continuation field), (cc) (coefficient combination field)
- ❑ Infinity and NaN recognizable from first byte (not true in binary formats)

Library problem

- ❑ Need *much* more than ADD, SUB, MUL, and DIV operations
- ❑ mathcw library provides full C99 repertoire, including printf and scanf families, plus hundreds more [but not functions of type complex]
- ❑ code is portable across all current platforms, and several historical ones (PDP-10, VAX, S/360, ...)
- ❑ supports *six* binary and *four* decimal floating-point datatypes
- ❑ separate algorithms cater to base variations: 2, 8, 10, and 16
- ❑ pair-precision functions for even higher precision
- ❑ fused multiply-add (FMA) via pair-precision arithmetic
- ❑ programming languages: Ada, C, C++, C#, Fortran, Java, Pascal
- ❑ scripting languages: gawk, hoc, lua, mawk, nawk

Virtual platforms



Whatever your figurework requirements, there's a MMIX Station exactly suited to your needs. Designed by Prof. D. E. Knuth of Stanford, this ingenious all electric machine has more than two hundred registers and is the fastest producer of useful, accurate answers just when business is needing more and more figures. Available in a broad color range.

Fused multiply-add

- $a \times b + c$ is a common operation in numerical computation (e.g., nested Horner polynomial evaluation and matrix/vector arithmetic)
- $\text{fma}(a, b, c)$ computes $a \times b + c$ with *exact* double-length product and addition with *one* rounding
- $\text{fma}(a, b, c)$ recovers error in multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}d &\leftarrow \text{fl}(a * b) \\ \text{err} &\leftarrow \text{fma}(a, b, -d) \\ a \times b &= \text{fl}(a * b) + \text{err}\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{fma}()$ in some native hardware [IBM PowerPC (32-bit and 64-bit only), HP/Intel IA-64 (32-bit, 64-bit, 80-bit), and some HP PA-RISC and MIPS R8000]
- $\text{fma}()$ is a critical component of many algorithms for accurate computation

Fused multiply-add

- Markstein's book shows how $\text{fma}()$ leads to accurate and compact elementary functions, as well as provably-correctly-rounded software division and square root
- Nievergelt [TOMS 2003] proved that $\text{fma}()$ leads to matrix arithmetic provably accurate to the penultimate digit
- See `fparith.bib` for many other applications of $\text{fma}()$

The MathCW Library

```
__acs _cvtinf _cvtnan _cvtrnd _cvtrn _ipow _prd _pr _pxy _red _re _rp _rph acosdeg acos acosh acosp
acospi adx agm annuity asindeg asin asinh asinp asinpi atan2deg atan2 atan2p atandeg atan atanh
atanp atanpi bi0 bi1 bin bis0 bis1 bisn bk0 bk1 bkn bks0 bks1 bksn cad cbrrt ceil chisq compound
compoun copysign cosdeg cos cosh cosp cospi cotandeg cotan cotanp cotanpi cvtia cvtib cvtid cvti
cvtig cvtih cvtio cvtod cvto cvtgo cvtoi cvton cxabs cxadd cxad cxarg cxconj cxcopy cxddiv cximag
cxmul cxneg cxproj cxreal cxset cxsub dfabs dfadd dfad dfdiv dfmul dfneg dfsqrt dfsub echeb ellec
elle ellkc ellk erc ereducre erfc erf eriduce exp10 exp10m1 exp16m1 exp2 exp2m1 exp8 exp8m1
exp expm1 fabs fdim floor fma fmax fmin fmod fmo fmul fpclassify frexp frexph frexpo gamib gamic
gami hypot ichisq ierfc ierf ilogb infty intxp iphic iphi ipow isfinite isgreater isgreaterequal
isinif isless islesseqal islessgreater isnan isnormal isqnan issnan issubnormal isunordere
isunordere j0 j1 jn ldexp ldexph ldexpo lgamma lgamma_r llrint llround llroun log101p log10 log161p
log16 log1p log21p log22p log2 log81p log8 logb log lrcw lrint lround lroun mchep modif nan nearbyint
nextafter nexttoward nexttowar normalize nrcw ntos pabs pacos pacosh padd pad pasin pasinh patan2
patan patanh pcbrrt pcmp pcon pcopy pcopysign pcos pcosh pcotan pdiv pdot peps peval pexp10 pexp16
pexp2 pexp8 pexp pexpm1 pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim pfdim
pin pinfy pipow pisinf pisan pisan pisan pisan pldexp pldexph plog101p plog1p plogb plog plog plog
pmul pneg pout pow pprosum pqnan pscalbn pset psi psgnbit psln psin psinh psnan psplit
psqrt psub psum2 psum ptan ptanh qert qnan quantize remainder remquo rint round roun reqrt
samequantum sbi0 sbi1 sbin sbis0 sbis1 sbisn sbj0 sbj1 sbjn sbk0 sbk1 sbkn sbks0 sbks1 sbksn sby0
sby1 sbyn scalbn scalbn second secon setxp signbit sincos sincospi sindeg sin sinh sinp
sinpi snan sqrt tandeg tan tanh tanpi tgamma trunc urcw1 urcw1_r urcw2 urcw2_r urcw3 urcw3_r
urcw4 urcw4_r urcw urcw_r vagm vbi vbis vbj vbk vbks vby vercw vercw_r vlrcw vlrcw_r vnrcw vnrcw_r
vsbi vsbis vsbj vsbk vsbks vsby vsum vurcw1 vurcw1_r vurcw2g vurcw2_r vurcw3 vurcw3_r vurcw4
vurcw4_r vurcw vurcw_r y0 y1 yn
```

... $\times 10 \approx 3660$ functions $\approx 2M$ lines


MathCW design goals

- ❑ Complete C99 and POSIX support with many enhancements
- ❑ Portable across past, present, and future platforms
- ❑ Binary *and* decimal arithmetic fully supported
- ❑ *Ten* floating-point formats, including single (7D), double (16D), quadruple (34D), and octuple precision (70D)
- ❑ IEEE 754 (1985 and 2008) and 854 feature access
- ❑ Free software and documentation under GNU licenses
- ❑ Documented in manual pages and forthcoming treatise
- ❑ Interactive access in `hoc`
- ❑ Interfaces to Ada, C, C++, C#, Fortran, Java, Pascal
- ❑ Replace native binary arithmetic in all scripting languages with high-precision decimal arithmetic


MathCW design goals [cont.]

- ❑ Separate data from code
- ❑ Abstract data types: `fp_t` and `hp_t`, and `FP()` and `FUNC()` wrappers
- ❑ Make algorithm files base-, precision-, and range-independent when feasible
- ❑ No platform software configuration needed
- ❑ Offer static, shared, fat (multi-architecture), and wrapper libraries
- ❑ Provide high relative accuracy: target is two *ulps* (units in the last place), but exponential, log, root, and trigonometric families return results that are (almost) always correctly rounded (and much better than Intel IA-32 rounding of 80-bit to 64-bit results)
- ❑ Provide *exact* function argument reduction [Payne/Hanek at DEC (1982) and Corbett at Berkeley (1983)]


Error plots



Error plots



Error plots



hoc live demo

- ❑ hoc \approx interactive C without declarations, datatypes, or data structures
- ❑ hoc32, hoc36, hoc64, hoc72, hoc80, hoc128, hocd32, hocd64, hocd128
- ❑ *complete* real C99 mathematical library repertoire
- ❑ *complete* IEEE 754/854 feature access
- ❑ printf() formatting extended for binary, octal, hexadecimal, based, plus digit grouping
- ❑ (potentially) multilingual
- ❑ extensive help facility
- ❑ support for external libraries written in hoc
- ❑ (possibly) dynamic load library support

Q&A and discussion