

# Some linear algebra

Recall the convention that, for us, all vectors are column vectors.

## 1. Symmetric matrices

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a real  $n \times n$  matrix. Recall that a complex number  $\lambda$  is an *eigenvalue* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if there exists a real and nonzero vector  $\mathbf{x}$ —called an *eigenvector* for  $\lambda$ —such that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ . Whenever  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$ , so is  $a\mathbf{x}$  for every real number  $a$ .

The *characteristic polynomial*  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$  of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is the function

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) := \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}),$$

defined for all complex numbers  $\lambda$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  denotes the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. It is not hard to see that a complex number  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  if and only if  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = 0$ . We see by direct computation that  $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$  is an  $n$ th-order polynomial. Therefore,  $\mathbf{A}$  has precisely  $n$  eigenvalues, thanks to the fundamental theorem of algebra. We can write them as  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , or sometimes more precisely as  $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), \dots, \lambda_n(\mathbf{A})$ .

**1. The spectral theorem.** The following important theorem is the starting point of our discussion. It might help to recall that vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbf{R}^n$  are *orthonormal* if  $\mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_j = 0$  when  $i \neq j$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i = \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a real and symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are real numbers. Moreover, there exist  $n$  orthonormal eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  that correspond respectively to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .*

I will not prove this result, as it requires developing a good deal of elementary linear algebra that we will not need. Instead, let me state and prove a result that is central for us.

**Theorem 2** (The spectral theorem). *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  denote a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . Define  $\mathbf{D} := \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  to be the diagonal matrix of the  $\lambda_i$ 's and  $\mathbf{P}$  to be the matrix whose columns are  $\mathbf{v}_1$  through  $\mathbf{v}_n$  respectively; that is,*

$$\mathbf{D} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{P} := (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n).$$

Then  $\mathbf{P}$  is orthogonal [ $\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P}^{-1}$ ] and  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}'$ .

**Proof.**  $\mathbf{P}$  is orthogonal because the orthonormality of the  $\mathbf{v}_i$ 's implies that

$$\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_n \end{pmatrix}' (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbf{I}.$$

Furthermore, because  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{v}_j$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}$ , which is another way to say that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Recall that the *trace* of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is the sum  $A_{1,1} + \cdots + A_{n,n}$  of its diagonal entries.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a real and symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then*

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \lambda_n.$$

**Proof.** Write  $\mathbf{A}$ , in spectral form, as  $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ . Since the determinant of  $\mathbf{P}^{-1}$  is the reciprocal of that of  $\mathbf{A}$ , it follows that  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{D})$ , which is clearly  $\lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \lambda_n$ . In order to compute the trace of  $\mathbf{A}$  we compute directly also:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n P_{i,j} \left( \mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \right)_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n P_{i,j} D_{i,k} P_{j,k}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^{-1} \right)_{i,k} D_{i,k} = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{i,i} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}), \end{aligned}$$

which is  $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$ . □

**2. The square-root matrix.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  continue to denote a real and symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix.

**Proposition 4.** *There exists a complex and symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ —called the square root of  $\mathbf{A}$  and written as  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$  or even sometimes as  $\sqrt{\mathbf{A}}$ —such that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}^2 := \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}$ .*

The proof of Proposition 4 is more important than its statement. So let us prove this result.

**Proof.** Apply the spectral theorem and write  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ . Since  $\mathbf{D}$  is a diagonal matrix, its square root can be defined unambiguously as the following complex-valued  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix:

$$\mathbf{D}^{1/2} := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{1/2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{1/2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3^{1/2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define  $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ , and note that

$$\mathbf{B}^2 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{A},$$

since  $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $(\mathbf{D}^{1/2})^2 = \mathbf{D}$ . □

## 2. Positive-semidefinite matrices

Recall that an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is *positive semidefinite* if it is symmetric and

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{A}$  is *positive definite* if it is symmetric and

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \text{for all nonzero } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

**Theorem 5.** *A symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite if and only if all of its eigenvalues are  $\geq 0$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite if and only if all of its eigenvalues are  $> 0$ . In the latter case,  $\mathbf{A}$  is also nonsingular.*

The following is a ready consequence.

**Corollary 6.** *All of the eigenvalues of a variance-covariance matrix are always  $\geq 0$ .*

Now let us establish the theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite, and let  $\lambda$  denote one of its eigenvalues, together with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$ . Since  $0 \leq \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ , it follows that  $\lambda \geq 0$ . This proves that all of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are nonnegative. If  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite, then the same argument shows that all of its eigenvalues are  $> 0$ . Because  $\det(\mathbf{A})$  is the product of all  $n$  eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  (Corollary 3), it follows that  $\det(\mathbf{A}) > 0$ , whence  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular.

This proves slightly more than half of the proposition. Now let us suppose that all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are  $\geq 0$ . We write  $\mathbf{A}$  in spectral form  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}'$ , and observe that  $\mathbf{D}$  is a diagonal matrix of nonnegative numbers. By virtue of its construction,  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}'$ , and hence for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \left(\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\right)' \left(\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\right) = \left\|\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\right\|^2, \quad (1)$$

which is  $\geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite.

If all of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are  $> 0$ , then (1) tells us that

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \left\|\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left[\mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\right]_j\right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left([\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}]_j\right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_j > 0$  for all  $j$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \geq \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \left([\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}]_j\right)^2 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \cdot \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

Since  $\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j > 0$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > 0$  for all nonzero  $\mathbf{x}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us pause and point out a consequence of the proof of this last result.

**Corollary 7.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite, then its extremal eigenvalues satisfy*

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}, \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}.$$

**Proof.** We saw, during the course of the previous proof, that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (3)$$

Optimize over all  $\mathbf{x}$  to see that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \leq \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}. \quad (4)$$

But  $\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue for  $\mathbf{A}$ ; let  $\mathbf{z}$  denote a corresponding eigenvector in order to see that

$$\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j \leq \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \leq \frac{\mathbf{z}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{z}\|^2} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j.$$

So both inequalities are in fact equalities, and hence follows the formula for the minimum eigenvalue. The one for the maximum eigenvalue is proved similarly.  $\square$

Finally, a word about the square root of positive semidefinite matrices:

**Proposition 8.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite, then so is  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite, then so is  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ .*

**Proof.** We write, in spectral form,  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}'$  and observe [by squaring it] that  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D}^{1/2} \mathbf{P}'$ . Note that  $\mathbf{D}^{1/2}$  is a real diagonal matrix since the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are  $\geq 0$ . Therefore, we may apply (1) to  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$  [in place of  $\mathbf{A}$ ] to see that  $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{D}^{1/4} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}\|^2 \geq 0$  where  $\mathbf{D}^{1/4}$  denotes the [real] square root of  $\mathbf{D}^{1/2}$ . This proves that if  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive semidefinite, then so is  $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ . Now suppose there exists a positive definite  $\mathbf{A}$  whose square root is not positive definite. It would follow that there necessarily exists a nonzero  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A}^{1/2} \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{D}^{1/4} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}\|^2 = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{D}^{1/4} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}^{1/2} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{D}^{1/4} \mathbf{D}^{1/4} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{D}^{1/2} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}\|^2 = 0.$$

And this contradicts the assumption that  $\mathbf{A}$  is positive definite.  $\square$

### 3. The rank of a matrix

Recall that vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  are *linearly independent* if

$$c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0.$$

For instance,  $\mathbf{v}_1 := (1, 0)'$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 := (0, 1)'$  are linearly independent 2-vectors.

The *column rank* of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is the maximum number of linearly independent column vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . The *row rank* of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is the maximum number of linearly independent row vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . We can interpret these definitions geometrically as follows: First, suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $m \times n$  and define  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$  denote the linear space of all vectors of the form  $c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n$ , where  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are the column vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are real numbers. We call  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$  the *column space* of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

We can define the *row space*  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ , of  $\mathbf{A}$  similarly, or simply define  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) := \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A}')$ .

**Lemma 9.** For every  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{Ax} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n\}, \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) := \{\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m\}.$$

We can think of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  as a mapping from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  into  $\mathbf{R}^m$ ; namely, we can think of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  also as the function  $f_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{Ax}$ . In this way we see that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$  is also the “range” of the function  $f_{\mathbf{A}}$ .

**Proof.** Let us write the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  as  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ . Note that  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$  if and only if there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = c_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{a}_n = \mathbf{Ac}$ , where  $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_n)'$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$  is the collection of all vectors of the form  $\mathbf{Ax}$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . The second assertion [about  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ ] follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$  equalling  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A}')$  and the already-proven first assertion.  $\square$

It then follows, from the definition of dimension, that

$$\text{column rank of } \mathbf{A} = \dim \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A}), \quad \text{row rank of } \mathbf{A} = \dim \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}).$$

**Proposition 10.** Given any matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , its row rank and column rank are the same. We write their common value as  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $m \times n$  and its column rank is  $r$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r$  denote a basis for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$  and consider the matrix  $m \times r$  matrix  $\mathbf{B} := (\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r)$ . Write  $\mathbf{A}$ , columnwise, as  $\mathbf{A} := (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ . For every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , there exists  $c_{1,j}, \dots, c_{r,j}$  such that  $\mathbf{a}_j = c_{1,j}\mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_{r,j}\mathbf{b}_r$ . Let  $\mathbf{C} := (c_{i,j})$  be the resulting  $r \times n$  matrix, and note that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{BC}$ . Because  $A_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^r B_{i,k}C_{k,j}$ , every row of  $\mathbf{A}$  is a linear combination of the rows of  $\mathbf{C}$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C})$  and hence the row rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\leq \dim \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{C}) = r =$  the column rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Apply this fact to  $\mathbf{A}'$  to see that also the row rank of  $\mathbf{A}'$  is  $\leq$  the column rank of  $\mathbf{A}'$ ; equivalently that the column rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $\leq$  the row rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 11.** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $n \times m$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is  $m \times k$ , then

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \min(\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}), \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})).$$

**Proof.** The proof uses an idea that we exploited already in the proof of Proposition 10: Since  $(\mathbf{AB})_{j,l} = \sum_{v=1}^m A_{j,v}B_{v,l}$ , the rows of  $\mathbf{AB}$  are linear combinations of the rows of  $\mathbf{B}$ ; that is  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{AB}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{B})$ , whence  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})$ . Also,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{AB}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$ , whence  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ . These observations complete the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are nonsingular, then*

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{ABC}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}),$$

*provided that the dimensions match up so that  $\mathbf{ABC}$  makes sense.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{D} := \mathbf{ABC}$ ; our goal is to show that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})$ .

Two applications of the previous proposition together yield  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{AB}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{B})$ . And since  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{DC}^{-1}$ , we have also  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{D}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{D})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  real and symmetric matrix, then  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) =$  the total number of nonzero eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{A}$  has full rank if and only if  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular. Finally,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{A})$  is the linear space spanned by the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  that correspond to nonzero eigenvalues.*

**Proof.** We write  $\mathbf{A}$ , in spectral form, as  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{PDP}^{-1}$ , and apply the preceding proposition to see that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{D})$ , which is clearly the total number of nonzero eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular if and only if all of its eigenvalues are nonzero,  $\mathbf{A}$  has full rank if and only if  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular.

Finally, suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  has rank  $k \leq n$ ; this is the number of its nonzero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  denote orthonormal eigenvectors such that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  are eigenvectors that correspond to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are eigenvectors that correspond to eigenvalues 0 [Gram-Schmidt]. And define  $\mathcal{E}$  to be the span of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ ; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E} := \{c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k : c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Our final goal is to prove that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{A})$ , which we know is equal to the linear space of all vectors of the form  $\mathbf{Ax}$ .

Clearly,  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{Ax}$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^k (c_j/\lambda_j)\mathbf{v}_j$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{A})$ . If  $k = n$ , then this suffices because in that case  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , hence  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $k < n$ , then we can write every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as  $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n$ , so that  $\mathbf{Ax} = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j\lambda_j\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  and we are done.  $\square$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $m \times n$  and define the *null space* [or “kernel”] of  $\mathbf{A}$  as

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$  is the linear span of the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  that correspond to eigenvalue 0. The other eigenvectors can be chosen to be orthogonal to these, and hence the preceding proof contains the facts

that: (i) Nonzero elements of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$  are orthogonal to nonzero elements of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$ ; and (ii)

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = n \quad (= \text{the number of columns of } \mathbf{A}). \quad (5)$$

**Proposition 14.**  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}')$  for every  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Proof.** If  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , and if  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = 0$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})$ . Because  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  both have  $n$  columns, it follows from (5) that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ . Apply this observation to  $\mathbf{A}'$  to see that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}') = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}')$  as well. The result follows from this and the fact that  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'$  have the same rank (Proposition 10).  $\square$

#### 4. Projection matrices

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be a *projection* matrix if: (i)  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric; and (ii)  $\mathbf{A}$  is “idempotent”; that is,  $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}$ .

Note that projection matrices are always positive semidefinite. Indeed,  $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2 \geq 0$

**Proposition 15.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  projection matrix, then so is  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are zeros and ones, and  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) =$  the number of eigenvalues that are equal to one.*

**Proof.**  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^2 = \mathbf{I} - 2\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$ . Since  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}$  is symmetric also, it is a projection. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a corresponding eigenvector, then  $\lambda\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda^2\mathbf{x}$ . Multiply both sides by  $\mathbf{x}'$  to see that  $\lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \lambda^2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ , it follows that  $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ . The total number of nonzero eigenvalues is then the total number of eigenvalues that are ones. Therefore, the rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  is the total number of eigenvalues that are one.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a projection matrix, then  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Simply recall that  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  is the sum of the eigenvalues, which for a projection matrix, is the total number of eigenvalues that are one.  $\square$

Why are they called “projection” matrices? Or, perhaps even more importantly, what is a “projection”?



**Lemma 17.** Let  $\Omega$  denote a linear subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  be fixed. Then there exists a unique element  $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$  that is closest to  $\mathbf{x}$ ; that is,

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| = \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|.$$

The point  $\mathbf{y}$  is called the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** Let  $k := \dim \Omega$ , so that there exists an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_k$  for  $\Omega$ . Extend this to a basis  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  for all of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by the Gram–Schmidt method.

Given a fixed vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we can write it as  $\mathbf{x} := c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{b}_n$  for some  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{R}$ . Define  $\mathbf{y} := c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{b}_k$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega$  and  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i^2$ . Any other  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega$  can be written as  $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \mathbf{b}_i$ , and hence  $\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (d_i - c_i)^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i^2$ , which is strictly greater than  $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i^2$  unless  $d_i = c_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; i.e., unless  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y}$ .  $\square$

Usually, we have a  $k$ -dimensional linear subspace  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  that is the range of some  $n \times k$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . That is,  $\Omega = \{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^k\}$ . Equivalently,  $\Omega = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$ . In that case,

$$\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^k} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^k} [\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}].$$

Because  $\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}$  is a scalar, the preceding is simplified to

$$\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^k} [\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}].$$

Suppose that the  $k \times k$  positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular [so that  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  and hence also  $(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}$  are both positive definite]. Then, we can relabel variables [ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$ ] to see that

$$\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 = \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}^k} [\boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - 2\boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}].$$

A little arithmetic shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x})'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - 2\boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \Omega} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ &= \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbf{R}^k} \left[ (\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x})'(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the parentheses is  $\geq 0$ ; in fact it is  $> 0$  unless we select  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}$ . This proves that the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\Omega$  is obtained by setting  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}$ , in which case the projection itself is  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y} =$

$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}$  and the distance between  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  is the square root of  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{x}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega := \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega$  is a projection matrix. The preceding shows that  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto  $\Omega$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . That is, we can think of  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega$  as the matrix that projects onto  $\Omega$ . Moreover, the distance between  $\mathbf{x}$  and the linear subspace  $\Omega$  [i.e.,  $\min_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^k} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|$ ] is exactly the square root of  $\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x} = \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x}\|^2$ , because  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega$  is a projection matrix. What space does it project into?

Let  $\Omega^\perp$  denote the collection of all  $n$ -vectors that are perpendicular to every element of  $\Omega$ . If  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega^\perp$ , then we can write, for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\{\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x}\}'\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x} \\ &= \|\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega)\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mathbf{z}$  is orthogonal to every element of  $\Omega$  including  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}_\Omega = \mathbf{P}_\Omega^2$ . Take the minimum over all  $\mathbf{z} \in \Omega^\perp$  to find that  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\Omega$  is the projection onto  $\Omega^\perp$ . Let us summarize our findings.

**Proposition 18.** *If  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular [equivalently, has full rank], then  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})} := \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}'$  is the projection onto  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})^\perp}$  is the projection onto  $\Omega^\perp$ , and we have*

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})^\perp}\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})}\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{A})^\perp}\mathbf{x}\|^2.$$

The last result is called the “Pythagorean property.”