Math 3210 § 2.	First Midterm Exam	Name:	Solutions
Treibergs		February	2, 2017

1. Let x > -1. Prove that for every integer  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}(n): (1+x)^n \ge 1+nx.$$

We use induction starting at n = 0 which works just as well as starting from n = 1. Note that x > -1 implies that 1 + x > 0. Hence in the base case, n = 0,  $(1 + x)^0 = 1 = 1 + 0x$  so  $\mathcal{P}(0)$  holds.

For the induction case, assume that for some  $n \ge 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  holds to show  $\mathcal{P}(n+1)$  holds. The induction hypothesis P(n) says

$$(1+x)^n \ge 1+nx.$$

But since (1 + x) > 0, we preserve the order when we multiply the inequality. This gives the induction step

$$(x+1)^{n+1} = (x+1)(x+1)^n \ge (1+x)(1+nx) = 1 + (n+1)x + nx^2 \ge 1 + (n+1)x$$

because  $nx^2 \ge 0$ .

2. Recall the axioms of a commutative ring (R, +, X). For any  $x, y, z \in R$ ,

[A1.]	(Commutativity of Addition)	x + y = y + x.
[A2.]	(Associativity of Addition)	x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z.
[A3.]	(Additive Identity.)	$(\exists 0 \in R) (\forall t \in R) \ 0 + t = t.$
[A4.]	(Additive Inverse)	$(\exists -x \in R) \ x + (-x) = 0.$
[M1.]	(Commutativity of Multiplication)	xy = yx.
[M2.]	(Associativity of Multiplication)	x(yz) = (xy)z.
[M3.]	(Multiplicative Identity.)	$(\exists 1 \in R) \ 1 \neq 0 \text{ and } (\forall t \in R) \ 1t = t.$
[D.]	(Distributivity)	x(y+z) = xy + xz.

Using only the axioms of a commutative ring, show that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the equation

a + x = b

has a unique solution x = (-a) + b. Justify every step of your argument using just the axioms listed here.

First we show x = (-a) + b solves the equation.

 $\begin{aligned} a+x &= a+((-a)+b)) & \text{Substitute } x. \\ &= (a+(-a))+b & \text{Associativity of addition, A2.} \\ &= 0+b & \text{Additive inverse A4.} \\ &= b. & \text{Additive identity A3.} \end{aligned}$ 

Second we argue the solution is unique. Suppose x and z were two solutions. Then both satisfy the equation

a + x = b	
a + z = b	Substitute solutions $x$ and $z$ .
a + x = a + x	Both equal $b$ .
(-a) + (a + x) = (-a) + (a + z)	Pre-add $-a$ (which exists by A4) to both sides.
((-a) + a) + x = ((-a) + a) + z	Associativity of addition A2.
(a + (-a)) + x = (a + (-a)) + z	Commutativity of addition A1.
0 + x = 0 + z	Additive inverse A4.
x = z	Additive identity A3.

Thus any two solutions are the same.

Another argument may be given. We start from the equation and deduce the value of the unknown.

$$\begin{array}{ll} a+x=b & \text{Given.} \\ (-a)+(a+x)=(-a)+b & \text{Pre-add} -a \ (\text{which exists by A4}) \ \text{to both sides.} \\ ((-a)+a)+x=(-a)+b & \text{Associativity of addition, A2.} \\ (a+(-a))+x=(-a)+b & \text{Commutivity of addition, A1.} \\ 0+x=(-a)+b & \text{Additive inverse, A4.} \\ x=(-a)+b & \text{Additive identity, A3.} \end{array}$$

Thus we deduce that the equation may be solved by the number x = (-a) + b. This argument says more. No matter which solution x was used, the argument showed that all solutions are the same one and only solution x = (-a) + b. Hence the solution is unique.

- 3. Determine whether the following statements are true or false. If true, give a proof. If false, give a counterexample.
  - (a) If  $f: A \to B$  then  $f(A \setminus E) = f(A) \setminus f(E)$  for every subset  $E \subset A$ . FALSE. Let  $A = B = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E = [0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = x^2$  (which is not one-to-one),  $A \setminus E = (-\infty, 0)$ ,  $f(A \setminus E) = (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(A) = f(E) = [0, \infty)$  so  $f(A) \setminus f(E) = \emptyset \neq f(A \setminus E)$ .
  - (b) Let  $f: X \to Y$ . If  $f^{-1}(E) = X$  for some proper subset E of Y then f is not onto. TRUE. If  $E \subset Y$  is a proper subset, it is not all of Y so there is  $y_0 \in Y$  but  $y_0 \notin E$ . Since the range f(X) = E, no point of X maps to  $y_0$ , so f is not onto.
  - (c) Let f : X → Y be a function. Suppose that for every x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub> ∈ X, f(x<sub>1</sub>) ≠ f(x<sub>2</sub>) implies x<sub>1</sub> ≠ x<sub>2</sub>. Then f is one-to-one.
    FALSE. The statement is true for every function. e.g., g(x) = x<sup>2</sup> is not one-to-one on ℝ, but the hypothesis is true as can be seen by its contrapositive: x<sub>1</sub> = x<sub>2</sub> implies x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> = g(x<sub>1</sub>) = g(x<sub>2</sub>) = x<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>.

4. Recall that the rational numbers are defined to be the set of equivalence classes  $\mathbb{Q} = S/\sim$ where  $S = \left\{\frac{a}{b}: a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\right\}$  is the set of symbols (pairs of integers) and the symbols are equivalent if they represent the same fraction  $\frac{a}{b} \sim \frac{c}{d}$  iff ad = bc. We denote the equivalence class, the "fraction,"  $\left[\frac{a}{b}\right]$  to distinguish it from a symbol from S. Multiplication, for example is defined on equivalence classes by  $\left[\frac{m}{n}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{r}{t}\right] = \left[\frac{(mr)}{(nt)}\right]$ .

(a) Given fractions  $x = \left[\frac{m}{n}\right]$ ,  $y = \left[\frac{r}{t}\right]$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , suppose we define the operation

$$x \ominus y := \left[\frac{mt - nr}{nt}\right].$$

Show that the definition of  $\ominus$  is well defined: it does not depend on the choice of the symbols representing the fractions.

Let  $\frac{m'}{n'} \sim \frac{m}{n}$  so m'n = mn' and  $\frac{r'}{t'} \sim \frac{r}{t}$  so r't = rt'. Then we claim that the formulae are equivalent:  $\frac{m't' - n'r'}{n't'} \sim \frac{mt - nr}{nt}$ . To see this, using m'n = mn' and r't = rt',

$$nt(m't' - n'r') = tt'nm' - nn'tr' = tt'n'm - nn't'r = n't'(mt - nr).$$

Thus 
$$\frac{m't'-n'r'}{n't'} \sim \frac{mt-nr}{nt}$$
.

(b) Define the subset  $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q} : p \ge 0 \text{ and } q > 0. \right\}$ . An order is defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $x \le y$  iff  $y \ominus x \in \mathcal{P}$ . Show that with this " $\preceq$ ," the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfy the order axiom 01: For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ , either  $x \le y$  or  $y \le x$ .

Let  $x = \left[\frac{m}{n}\right], y = \left[\frac{r}{t}\right]$ . Then  $x \ominus y := \left[\frac{mt - nr}{nt}\right]$  and  $y \ominus x := \left[\frac{nr - mt}{nt}\right]$ . Notice that the numerators are negatives: -(mt - nr) = nr - mt so that by the order properties of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one or the other is nonnegative (or both are zero). So if nt > 0, one or the other  $x \ominus y$  or  $y \ominus x$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ . On the other hand, if nt < 0, we may choose an equivalent representative  $x = \left[\frac{-m}{-n}\right]$ . We have  $\frac{-m}{-n} \sim \frac{m}{n}$  because n(-m) = (-n)m. Now computing using the new  $x, x \ominus y := \left[\frac{(-m)t - (-n)r}{(-n)t}\right]$  and  $y \ominus x := \left[\frac{(-n)r - (-m)t}{(-n)t}\right]$ . Now the denominator is positive (-n)t > 0 and the numerators are still negatives of one another, so one of them has to be nonnegative, thus, again,  $x \ominus y$  or  $y \ominus x$  is in  $\mathcal{P}$ . 5. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a set of real numbers given by

$$E = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : (\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}) \ (\exists \tau > 0) \ (\tau \le |x - \zeta|) \}.$$

Find E and and prove your result.

$$\begin{split} E &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \quad (\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\exists \tau > 0) \quad (\tau \le |x - \zeta|) \quad \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{\tau > 0} \left\{ (-\infty, z - \tau] \cup [z + \tau, \infty) \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ (-\infty, z) \cup (z, \infty) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}. \end{split}$$

To prove it, we show that the complement  $E^c = \mathbb{Z}$ . To show " $\subset$ ," choose  $x \in E^c$  to show  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$E^{c} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : (\exists \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}) \ (\forall \tau > 0) \ (\tau > |x - \zeta|) \}$$

Let  $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  correspond to x. Then x satisfies

$$(\forall \tau > 0) \quad (\tau > |x - \zeta_0|).$$

In other words,  $x = \zeta_0$  which is an integer, so  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

To show " $\supset$ ," choose  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  to show  $x \in E^c$ . Take  $\zeta = x$ . Then for all  $\tau > 0$  we have  $\tau > |x - \zeta| = 0$  so x satisfies the condition to be in  $E^c$ . Hence we have shown  $E^c = \mathbb{Z}$  so  $E = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .