## Preliminary Examination, Numerical Analysis, January 2010

Instructions: This exam is closed books and notes. The time allowed is three hours and you need to work on any three out of questions 1-5 and any two out of questions $6-8$. All questions have equal weights and the passing score will be determined after all the exams are graded. Indicate clearly the work that you wish to be graded.

Note: In problems 6-8, the notations $k=\Delta t$ and $h=\Delta x$ are used. Note also that at the end of the exam there is a list of Facts some of which may be useful to you.

1. Matrix Factorizations:
(a) Prove any two of the following statements:
(i) Schur Decomposition: Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ can be factored as $A=Q^{*} T Q$, where $Q$ is unitary and $T$ is upper triangular.
(ii) Singular Value Decomposition: Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be factored as $A=$ $U \Sigma V^{*}$, where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are unitary and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a rectangular matrix whose only nonzero entries are non-negative entries on its diagonal.
(iii) QR Factorization: Any full-rank matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ for $m \geq n$ can be factored $A=Q R$ where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries.
b) Discuss situations in which each of these factorizations is useful in numerical analysis and explain why the factorizations are useful in those situations.

## 2) Least Squares Problems

a) For a full rank real $m \times n$ matrix $A$, show that $X=A^{\dagger}$, the pseudoinverse of $A$, minimizes $\|A X-I\|_{F}$ over all $n \times m$ matrices $X$. What is the value of the minimum? (Hint: Relate the problem to a set of least-squares problems).
b) For a real full rank $m \times n$ matrix $A$ and vector $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, explain how to solve the least-squares problem of finding $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that minimizes $\|A \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|_{2}$ using i) the normal equations, and b) a QR factorization of the matrix $A$. What are the advantages and disadvantages of each of these methods?

## 3) Iterative Methods for Linear Systems

Consider the boundary value problem

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta u(x)=f(x), \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 1
$$

where $\beta>0$, and with $u(0)=u(1)=0$, and the following discretization of it:

$$
-U_{j-1}+\left(2+\beta h^{2}\right) U_{j}-U_{j+1}=F_{j}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, N-1$ where $N h=1, F_{j} \equiv h^{2} f(j h)$, and $U_{0}=U_{N}=0$.
Analyze the convergence properties of the Jacobi iterative method for this problem. In particular, express the speed of convergence as a function of the discretization stepsize $h$. How does the number of iterations required to reduce the initial error by a factor $\delta$ depend on $h$ ? In practice, would you use this method to solve the given problem? If so, explain why this is a good idea? If not, how would you solve it in practice?

## 4) Interpolation and Integration:

a) Consider equally spaced points $x_{j}=a+j h, j=0, \ldots, n$ on the interval $[a, b]$, where $n h=b-a$. Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function defined on $[a, b]$. Show that there is a unique polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $n+1$ which interpolates $f$ at all of the points $x_{j}$. Derive the formula for the interpolation error at an arbitrary point $x$ in the interval $[a, b]$ :

$$
f(x)-p(x) \equiv E(x)=\frac{1}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) f^{n+1}(\eta)
$$

for some $\eta \in[a, b]$.
b) Let $I_{n}(f)$ denote the result of using the composite Trapezoidal rule to approximate $I(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ using $n$ equally sized subintervals of length $h=(b-a) / n$. It can be shown that the integration error $E_{n}(f) \equiv I(f)-I_{n}(f)$ satisfies

$$
E_{n}(f)=d_{2} h^{2}+d_{4} h^{4}+d_{6} h^{6}+\ldots
$$

where $d_{2}, d_{4}, d_{6}, \ldots$ are numbers that depend only on the values of $f$ and its derivatives at $a$ and $b$. Suppose you have a black-box program that, given $f, a, b$, and $n$, calculates $I_{n}(f)$. Show how to use this program to obtain an $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ approximation and an $O\left(h^{6}\right)$ approximation to $I(f)$.

## 5) Sensitivity:

Consider a $6 \times 6$ symmetric positive definite matrix $A$ with singular values $\sigma_{1}=1000$, $\sigma_{2}=500, \sigma_{3}=300, \sigma_{4}=20, \sigma_{5}=1, \sigma_{6}=0.01$.
a) Suppose you use a Cholesky factorization package on a computer with a machine epsilon $10^{-14}$ to solve the system $A x=b$ for some nonzero vector $b$. How many digits of accuracy do you expect in the computed solution? Justify your answer in terms of condition and stability. You may assume that the entries of $A$ and $b$ are exactly represented in the computer's floating-point system.
b) Suppose that instead you use an iterative method to find an approximate solution to $A x=b$ and you stop iterating and accept iterate $x^{(k)}$ when the residual $r^{(k)}=A x^{(k)}-b$ has 2-norm less than $10^{-9}$. Give an estimate of the maximum size of the relative error in the final iterate? Justify your answer.

## 6) Elliptic Problems:

Consider the standard five-point difference approximation (centered difference for both the gradient and divergence operators) for the variable coefficient Poisson equation

$$
-\nabla \cdot(a \nabla v)=f
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions, in a two-dimensional rectangular region. We assume that $a(x, y) \geq a_{0}>0$. The approximate solution $\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}$ satisfies a linear system $A u=b$.

1. State and prove the maximum principle for the numerical solution $u_{i, j}$.
2. Derive the matrix $A$ in the one-dimensional case and show that it is symmetric and positive definite.
3. For the one-dimensional and constant-coefficient case, show that the global error $e_{j}=v\left(x_{j}\right)-u_{j}$ satisfies $\|e\|_{2}=O\left(h^{2}\right)$ as the space step $h \rightarrow 0$.
4. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of trying to solve the system for the twodimensional problem using (i) the SOR (Successive Over Relaxation) method and (ii) the (preconditioned) Conjugate Gradient method.

## 7) Heat Equation Stability:

a) Consider the initial value problem for the constant-coefficient diffusion equation

$$
v_{t}=\beta v_{x x}, t>0
$$

with initial data $v(x, 0)=f(x)$. A scheme for this problem is:

$$
\frac{u_{j}^{n+1}-u_{j}^{n}}{k}=\frac{\beta}{h^{2}}\left\{u_{j-1}^{n+1}-2 u_{j}^{n+1}+u_{j+1}^{n+1}\right\} .
$$

Analyze the 2-norm stability of this scheme. For which values of $k>0$ and $h>0$ is the scheme stable? (Note that this there are no boundary conditions here.)
b) Consider the variable coefficient diffusion equation

$$
v_{t}=\left(\beta v_{x}\right)_{x}, \quad 0<x<1, t>0
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
v(0, t)=0, \quad v(1, t)=0
$$

and initial data $v(x, 0)=f(x)$. Assume that $\beta(x) \geq \beta_{0}>0$, and that $\beta(x)$ is smooth. Let $\beta_{j+1 / 2}=\beta\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)$. A scheme for this problem is:

$$
\frac{u_{j}^{n+1}-u_{j}^{n}}{k}=\frac{1}{h^{2}} \quad\left\{\beta_{j-1 / 2} u_{j-1}^{n+1}-\left(\beta_{j-1 / 2}+\beta_{j+1 / 2}\right) u_{j}^{n+1}+\beta_{j+1 / 2} u_{j+1}^{n+1}\right\}
$$

Analyze the 2-norm stability of this scheme for solving this initial boundary value problem. DO NOT NEGLECT THE FACT THAT THERE ARE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS!
8) Numerical Methods for ODEs: Consider the Linear Multistep Method

$$
y_{n+2}-\frac{4}{3} y_{n+1}+\frac{1}{3} y_{n}=\frac{2}{3} k f_{n+2}
$$

for solving an initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(y, x), y(0)=\eta$. You may assume that $f$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to $y$ uniformly for all $x$.
a) Analyze the consistency, stability, accuracy, and convergence properties of this method.
b) Sketch a graph of the solution to the following initial value problem.

$$
y^{\prime}=-10^{8}[y-\cos (x)]-\sin (x), \quad y(0)=2 .
$$

Would it be more reasonable to use this method or Euler's method for this problem? What would you consider in choosing a timestep $k$ for each of the methods? Justify your answer.

Fact 1: A real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A$ can be diagonalized by an orthogonal similarity transformation, and $A$ 's eigenvalues are real.
Fact 2: The $(N-1) \times(N-1)$ matrix $M$ defined by
$\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrr}{[2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & ]\end{array}\right]$
has eigenvalues $\mu_{l}=-4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi l}{2 N}\right), l=1,2, \ldots, N-1$.
Fact 3: The $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix:

| $[$ | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $]$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[$ | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $]$ |
| $[$ | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $]$ |
| $[$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $]$ |
| $[$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | $]$ |
| $[$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | $]$ |
| $[$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | $]$ |
| $[$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | $]$ |
| $[$ | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | $]$ |
| $[$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0 | $]$ |
| $[$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 0 | 1 | -2 | 1 | $]$ |
| $[$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | . | . | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | $]$ |

has eigenvalues $\mu_{l}=-4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi l}{2(N+1)}\right), \quad l=0,1, \ldots, N$.
Fact 4: For a real $n \times n$ matrix $A$, the Rayleigh quotient of a vector $x \in R^{n}$ is the scalar

$$
r(x)=\frac{x^{T} A x}{x^{T} x}
$$

The gradient of $r(x)$ is

$$
\nabla r(x)=\frac{2}{x^{T} x}(A x-r(x) x)
$$

If $x$ is an eigenvector of $A$ then $r(x)$ is the corresponding eigenvalue and $\nabla r(x)=0$.

