## Preliminary Examination, Numerical Analysis, August 2016

Instructions: This exam is closed books and notes. The time allowed is three hours and you need to work on any three out of questions 1-4 and any two out of questions 5-7. All questions have equal weights and the passing score will be determined after all the exams are graded. Indicate clearly the work that you wish to be graded.

Note: In problems 5-7, the notations $k=\Delta t$ and $h=\Delta x$ are used.

## 1. Singular Value Decomposition (SVD):

a) Prove the following statement:

Singular Value Decomposition: Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be factored as $A=U \Sigma V^{*}$, where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are unitary and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a rectangular matrix whose only nonzero entries are non-negative entries on its diagonal.
b) Use the SVD to prove that any matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the limit of a sequence of matrices of full rank.

## 2. Linear Least Squares:

The Linear Least Squares problem for an $m \times n$ real matrix $A$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is the problem:

$$
\text { Find } \quad \mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \text { such that }\|\mathrm{Ax}-\mathrm{b}\|_{2} \text { is minimized. }
$$

a) Suppose that you have data $\left\{\left(t_{j}, y_{j}\right)\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$ that you wish to approximate by an expansion

$$
p(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \phi_{k}(t)
$$

Here, the functions $\phi_{k}(t)$ are given functions. Which norm on the difference between the approximation function $p$ and the data gives rise to a linear least squares problem for the unknown expansion coefficients $x_{k}$ ? What is the matrix $A$ in this case, and what is the vector $b$ ?
b) Suppose that $A$ is a real $m \times n$ matrix of full rank and let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. What are the 'normal equations' for the Least Squares problem? How can they be used to solve the Least Squares problem? What is the $Q R$ factorization of $A$ and how can it be used to solve the Least Squares problem? Compare and contrast these approaches for numerically solving the Least Square problem.

## 3. Sensitivity:

Consider a $6 \times 6$ symmetric positive definite matrix $A$ with singular values $\sigma_{1}=1000, \sigma_{2}=500$, $\sigma_{3}=300, \sigma_{4}=20, \sigma_{5}=1, \sigma_{6}=0.01$.
a) Suppose you use a Cholesky factorization package on a computer with a machine epsilon $10^{-14}$ to solve the system $A x=b$ for some nonzero vector $b$. How many digits of accuracy do you expect in the computed solution? Justify your answer in terms of condition number and stability. You may assume that the entries of $A$ and $b$ are exactly represented in the computer's floating-point system.
b) Suppose that instead you use an iterative method to find an approximate solution to $A x=b$ and you stop iterating and accept iterate $x^{(k)}$ when the residual $r^{(k)}=A x^{(k)}-b$ has 2-norm less than $10^{-9}$. Give an estimate of the maximum size of the relative error in the final iterate? Justify your answer.

## 4. Interpolation and Integration:

a) Consider equally spaced points $x_{j}=a+j h, j=0, \ldots, n$ on the interval $[a, b]$, where $n h=b-a$. Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function defined on $[a, b]$. Show that there is a unique polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $n$ which interpolates $f$ at all of the points $x_{j}$. Derive the formula for the interpolation error at an arbitrary point $x$ in the interval $[a, b]$ :

$$
f(x)-p(x) \equiv E(x)=\frac{1}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) f^{n+1}(\eta)
$$

for some $\eta \in[a, b]$.
b) Let $I_{n}(f)$ denote the result of using the composite Trapezoidal rule to approximate $I(f) \equiv$ $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ using $n$ equally sized subintervals of length $h=(b-a) / n$. It can be shown that the integration error $E_{n}(f) \equiv I(f)-I_{n}(f)$ satisfies

$$
E_{n}(f)=d_{2} h^{2}+d_{4} h^{4}+d_{6} h^{6}+\ldots
$$

where $d_{2}, d_{4}, d_{6}, \ldots$ are known numbers that depend only on the values of $f$ and its derivatives at $a$ and $b$. Suppose you have a black-box program that, given $f, a, b$, and $n$, calculates $I_{n}(f)$. Show how to use this program to obtain an $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ approximation and an $O\left(h^{6}\right)$ approximation to $I(f)$.

## 5. Elliptic Problems:

For the one dimensional Poisson problem for $v(x)$

$$
-v^{\prime \prime}(x)+\alpha v(x)=f(x),
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is constant, along with Dirichlet boundary conditions in the interval [0,1], consider the scheme

$$
\Delta_{h} U_{j} \equiv \frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(-U_{j-1}+2 U_{j}-U_{j+1}\right)=f_{j}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, N-1$ where $N h=1, f_{j} \equiv f(j h)$, and $U_{0}=U_{N}=0$. The approximate solution satisfies a linear system $A U=b$, where $U=\left(U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{N-1}\right)^{T}$ and $b=h^{2}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N-1}\right)^{T}$.
a) State and prove the maximum principle for any grid function $V=\left\{V_{j}\right\}$ with values for $j=0,1, \ldots N$, that satisfies $\Delta_{h} V_{j} \geq 0$.
b) Derive the matrix $A$ and show that it is symmetric and positive definite.
c) Use the maximum principle to show that the global error $e_{j}=v\left(x_{j}\right)-U_{j}$ satisfies $\|e\|_{\infty}=$ $O\left(h^{2}\right)$ as the space step $h \rightarrow 0$.

## 6. Numerical Methods for ODEs:

Consider the Linear Multistep Method

$$
y_{n+2}-\frac{4}{3} y_{n+1}+\frac{1}{3} y_{n}=\frac{2}{3} k f_{n+2}
$$

for solving an initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(y, x), y(0)=\eta$. You may assume that $f$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to $y$ uniformly for all $x$.
a) Analyze the consistency, stability, accuracy, and convergence properties of this method.
b) Sketch a graph of the solution to the following initial value problem.

$$
y^{\prime}=-10^{8}[y-\cos (x)]-\sin (x), \quad y(0)=2 .
$$

Would it be more reasonable to use this method or the forward Euler method for this problem? What would you consider in choosing a timestep $k$ for each of the methods? Justify your answer.

## 7. Heat Equation Stability:

a) Consider the initial value problem for the constant-coefficient diffusion equation (with $\beta>0$ )

$$
v_{t}=\beta v_{x x}, t>0
$$

with initial data $v(x, 0)=f(x)$. A scheme for this problem is:

$$
\frac{u_{j}^{n+1}-u_{j}^{n}}{k}=\frac{\beta}{h^{2}}\left\{u_{j-1}^{n+1}-2 u_{j}^{n+1}+u_{j+1}^{n+1}\right\}
$$

Analyze the 2-norm stability of this scheme. For which values of $k>0$ and $h>0$ is the scheme stable? (Note that there are no boundary conditions here.)
b) Consider the variable coefficient diffusion equation

$$
v_{t}=\left(\beta(x) v_{x}\right)_{x}, \quad 0<x<1, t>0
$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
v(0, t)=0, \quad v(1, t)=0
$$

and initial data $v(x, 0)=f(x)$. Assume that $\beta(x) \geq \beta_{0}>0$, and that $\beta(x)$ is smooth. Let $\beta_{j+1 / 2}=\beta\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)$. A scheme for this problem is:

$$
\frac{u_{j}^{n+1}-u_{j}^{n}}{k}=\frac{1}{h^{2}} \quad\left\{\beta_{j-1 / 2} u_{j-1}^{n+1}-\left(\beta_{j-1 / 2}+\beta_{j+1 / 2}\right) u_{j}^{n+1}+\beta_{j+1 / 2} u_{j+1}^{n+1}\right\}
$$

Analyze the 2-norm stability of this scheme for solving this initial boundary value problem. DO NOT NEGLECT THE FACT THAT THERE ARE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS!

Fact 1: A real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $A$ can be diagonalized by an orthogonal similarity transformation, and $A$ 's eigenvalues are real.
Fact 2: The $(N-1) \times(N-1)$ matrix $M$ defined by

has eigenvalues $\mu_{l}=-4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi l}{2 N}\right), l=1,2, \ldots, N-1$.
Fact 3: The $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix:
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrrllllllll}{[ } & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & . & . & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & ] \\ {[ } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & . & . & . & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & ]\end{array}\right]$
has eigenvalues $\mu_{l}=-4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi l}{2(N+1)}\right), l=0,1, \ldots, N$.
Fact 4: For a real $n \times n$ matrix $A$, the Rayleigh quotient of a vector $x \in R^{n}$ is the scalar

$$
r(x)=\frac{x^{T} A x}{x^{T} x}
$$

The gradient of $r(x)$ is

$$
\nabla r(x)=\frac{2}{x^{T} x}(A x-r(x) x)
$$

If $x$ is an eigenvector of $A$ then $r(x)$ is the corresponding eigenvalue and $\nabla r(x)=0$.

