

# Math 2280 - Lecture 4: Separable Equations and Applications

Dylan Zwick

Spring 2013

For the last two lectures we've studied first-order differential equations in standard form

$$y' = f(x, y).$$

We learned how to solve these differential equations for the special situation where  $f(x, y)$  is independent of the variable  $y$ , and is just a function of  $x$ ,  $f(x)$ . We also learned about slope fields, which give us a geometric method for understanding solutions and approximating them, even if we cannot find them directly.

Today we're going to discuss how to solve first-order differential equations in standard form in the special situation where the function  $f(x, y)$  is *separable*, which means we can write  $f(x, y)$  as the product of a function of  $x$ , and a function of  $y$ .

The exercises for this section are:

Section 1.4 - 1, 3, 17, 19, 31, 35, 53, 68

## Separable Equations and How to Solve Them

Suppose we have a first-order differential equation in standard form:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = h(x, y).$$

If the function  $h(x, y)$  is *separable* we can write it as the product of two functions, one a function of  $x$ , and the other a function of  $y$ . So,

$$h(x, y) = \frac{g(x)}{f(y)}.$$

In this situation we can manipulate our differential equation to put everything with a  $y$  term on one side, and everything with an  $x$  term on the other:

$$f(y)dy = g(x)dx.$$

From here we can just integrate both sides of the equation, and then solve for  $y$  as a function of  $x$ !

So, for example, suppose we're given the differential equation

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = P^2.$$

We can rewrite this equation as

$$\frac{dP}{P^2} = dt,$$

and then integrate both sides of the equation to get

$$-\frac{1}{P} = t + C.$$

Solving this for  $P$  as a function of  $t$  gives us

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{C - t}.$$
<sup>1</sup>

Note that this function has a vertical asymptote as  $t$  approaches  $C$ . If this is a population model, this is called *doomsday!*

## Examples of Separable Differential Equations

Suppose we're given the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{4 - 2x}{3y^2 - 5}.$$

This differential equation is separable, and we can rewrite it as

$$(3y^2 - 5)dy = (4 - 2x)dx.$$

If we integrate both sides of this differential equation

$$\int (3y^2 - 5)dy = \int (4 - 2x)dx$$

we get

$$y^3 - 5y = 4x - x^2 + C.$$

This *is* a solution to our differential equation, but we cannot readily solve this equation for  $y$  in terms of  $x$ . So, our solution to this differential equation must be implicit.

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that we're playing a little fast and loose with the unknown constant  $C$  here. In particular, if we multiply an unknown constant  $C$  by  $-1$ , it's still just an unknown constant, and we continue to call it (positive)  $C$ .

If we're given an initial value, say  $y(1) = 3$ , then we can easily solve for the unknown constant  $C$ :

$$3^3 - 5(3) = 4(1) - 1^2 + C \Rightarrow C = 9.$$

So, around the point  $(1, 3)$  the differential equation will have the unique solution given implicitly by the curve defined by

$$y^3 - 5y = 4x - x^2 + 9.$$

*Example* - Find all solutions to the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 6x(y - 1)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

More room for the example.

A very common, and simple, type of differential equation that is used to model many, many things<sup>2</sup> is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = kx$$

where  $k$  is some constant.

Now, this is a separable equation, and so it can be solved by our methods. First, we rewrite it as

$$\frac{dx}{x} = kdt,$$

and then integrate both sides

$$\int \frac{dx}{x} = \int kdt$$

to get

$$\ln x = kt + C.$$

If we then exponentiate both sides we get

$$x(t) = e^{kt+C} = e^C e^{kt} = C e^{kt}.$$
<sup>3</sup>

So, the solution to our differential equation is exponential growth (if  $k > 0$ ) or exponential decay (if  $k < 0$ ). If  $k = 0$  the answer is just a boring unknown constant.

---

<sup>2</sup>Compound interest, population growth, radioactive decay, etc...

<sup>3</sup>The American Society for the Prevention of Notation Abuse would strongly protest this last equality. I'm just saying that  $e^C$ , where  $C$  is an unknown constant, is itself just an unknown constant, and I don't like having to come up with new letters, so I just continue to represent the unknown constant as  $C$ .

Radioactive decay is quite accurately measured by an exponential decay function. For  $^{14}\text{C}$  decay, the decay constant is  $k \approx -0.0001216$  if  $t$  is measured in years.

*Example* - Carbon taken from a purported relic of the time of Christ contained  $4.6 \times 10^{10}$  atoms of  $^{14}\text{C}$  per gram. Carbon extracted from a present-day specimen of the same substance contained  $5.0 \times 10^{10}$  atoms of  $^{14}\text{C}$  per gram. Compute the approximate age of the relic. What is your opinion as to its authenticity?