Math 2270 - Lecture 40 : Markov Matrices

Dylan Zwick

Fall 2012

This lecture covers section *section 8.3* of the textbook.

Today we're going to talk about a very special set of matrices called *Markov matrices*. These are matrices where every entry $a_{ij} > 0$. These matrices come up all the time in statistics.

The assigned problems for this section are

Section 8.3 - 1, 2, 3, 9, 10

Markov Matrices

A Markov matrix is a type of matrix that comes up in the context of something called a *Markov chain* in probability theory. A Markov matrix is a square matrix with all nonnegative entries, and where the sum of the entries down any column is 1. If the entries are all positive, it's a *positive* Markov matrix.

The most important facts about a positive Markov matrix are:

- $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue.
- The eigenvector associated with $\lambda = 1$ can be chosen to be strictly positive.
- All other eigenvalues have magnitude less than 1.

Before diving into these, let's take a look at some basic properties of Markov matrices. Suppose \mathbf{u}_0 is a positive vector (all components are positive) whose components add to 1. This is true if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_0 = 1$. If *A* is a positive Markov matrix, then the product $\mathbf{u}_1 = A\mathbf{u}_0$ will also be a positive vector with components adding to 1. This is because if *A* is a matrix all of whose columns add to 1, then the product of the matrix with the row vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ will be the row vector consisting of all 1s. From this we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} A \mathbf{u}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_0 = 1.$$

So, we see $\mathbf{u}_1 = A\mathbf{u}_0$ is a positive vector with components adding to 1, and by induction $A^k\mathbf{u}_0$ is a positive vector with components adding to 1 for every *k*.

But, what is this vector? Does is oscillate, or does $A^k \mathbf{u}_0$ approach some steady state vector \mathbf{u}_{∞} . If it does, what is this vector?

It turns out that if the Markov matrix is positive, it approaches a steady state, and this steady state is given by the eigenvector associated with $\lambda = 1$. The reason for this is that we can write \mathbf{u}_0 as a linear combination of our eigenvectors:

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n.$$

The product $A\mathbf{u}_0$ will be

$$A\mathbf{u}_0 = c_1 A \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 A \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n A \mathbf{v}_n = c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

and in general

$$A^k \mathbf{u}_0 = c_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k \mathbf{v}_n$$

We know $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $|\lambda_i| < 1$ for i > 1, and so as $k \to \infty$ the vector \mathbf{u}_0 will approach $c_1\mathbf{v}_1$, which will be the steady state solution. Note this assumes $c_1 \neq 0$.

So, why is $\lambda = 1$ an eigenvalue of a Markov matrix? Because every column of A - I adds to 1 - 1 = 0. So, the rows of A - I add up to the zero row, and those rows are linearly dependent, so A - I is singular. So, λ is an eigenvalue of A.

10

The reason no eigenvalue of *A* has $|\lambda| > 1$ is that the powers of A^k would grow. But, every A^k must also be a Markov matrix, and so it can't get large.¹

That we can find a positive eigenvector for $\lambda = 1$ follows from the Perron-Frobenius theorem. An awful and not really correct proof of this theorem can be found in the textbook.

Example - What is the steady state for the Markov matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rr} .80 & .05\\ .20 & .95 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{4}{5} - \lambda & \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{19}{20} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \left(\frac{4}{5} - \lambda \right) \left(\frac{19}{20} - \lambda \right) - \frac{1}{100} \\ = \lambda^2 - \frac{35}{20} \lambda^4 \frac{76}{100} \lambda^2 - \frac{1}{100} = \lambda^2 - \frac{7}{4} \lambda + \frac{3}{4} \\ = \left(\lambda - 1 \right) \left(\lambda - \frac{3}{4} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{20} \right) \left(\frac{Y_0}{Y_1} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{5} \end{array} \right) \\ \left(\frac{4}{5} - \frac{1}{20} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right)$$

¹This is not a formal proof, but it's the basic idea.