Math 2210 - Section 12.6 Notes

Dylan Zwick

Fall 2008

1 The Chain Rule

1.1 The Calculus I Chain Rule

In calculus I we learned that if we have a composite of two functions, y(x) = f(g(x)) then the derivative of the composite was the derivative of the outside function, multiplied by the derivative of the inside function:

y'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x).

Example What is the derivative of $\ln(\sin(x^2 + e^x))$?

1.1.1 The First Version of the Multivariable Chain Rule

If z = f(x, y) is a function of two variables, and both of those variables are in turn functions of a single parameter t, then we can view the function zas a function of the single parameter t. The idea behind this sentence is much easier to understand than it appears. For example, suppose we have the function $z = \sin(x + y)$, with $x = t^2$ and $y = t^3$, then we could write z as a function of just t, namely $z = \sin(t^2 + t^3)$.

Well, z when expressed like this is just a single variable function, and so if the functions f, x, and y are differentiable, then it makes sense to talk about the derivative of z with respect to t. The relationship between the derivative of z with respect to t, and the other derivatives of f, x, and yare:

Theorem

Let x = x(t) and y = y(t) be differentiable at t, and let z = f(x, y) be differentiable at (x(t), y(t)). Then z = f(x(t), y(t)) is differentiable at t and

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dt}.$$

This is the first version of the chain rule for multivariable functions. Basically, it's just saying that the amount that z changes when we change t is how much z changes when we change x, multiplied by how much xchanges when we change t added to how much z changes when we change y, multiplied by how much y changes when we change t. Again, that's a long sentence, but walk through it and you'll see it's really just logic. The proof is pretty straightforward.

Proof

If we simplify notation and let $\mathbf{p} = (\Delta x, \Delta y)$, and $\Delta z = f(\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{p})$ then since *f* is differentiable we have:

$$\Delta z = f(\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{p}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{p} + \epsilon(\mathbf{p}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{p}$$
$$= f_x(\mathbf{p})\Delta x + f_y(\mathbf{p})\Delta y + \epsilon(\Delta \mathbf{p}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{p}$$

where $\epsilon(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $\Delta \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$.

Now, if we divide both sides by Δt and take the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we get:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f_x(\mathbf{p})\frac{dx}{dt} + f_y(\mathbf{p})\frac{dy}{dt}.$$

which is what we want to prove.

Example Find $\frac{dw}{dt}$ given $w = x^2y - y^2x$, $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin t$.

1.2 The Second Version of the Multivariable Chain Rule

This is a natural extension of the concepts we just discussed. Suppose that we have a function z = f(x, y) and x and y are themselves functions of two other parameters s and t, say x = x(s, t) and y = y(s, t). Then z itself can be viewed as a function of s and t, and if everything is differentiable we can takes its partial derivative with respect to s or t. The corresponding relations are:

Theorem - Let x = x(s,t) and y = y(s,t) have first partial derivaties at (s,t) and let z = f(x,y) be differentiable at (x(s,t), y(s,t)). Then z = f(x(s,t), y(s,t)) has first partial derivatives given by:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \text{ and } \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}.$$

Example
Find
$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$$
 given $w = \ln (x + y) - \ln (x - y)$ with $x = te^s$ and $y = e^{st}$.

We note that we can naturally extend these ideas to functions of three or more dimensions.

Example If $w = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + xy$, where x = st, y = s - t and z = s + 2t calculate $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$.

1.3 The Implicit Function Theorem

We may remember from calculus I that it is possible to define a curve implicitly as all points x and y that satisfy a given relation F(x, y) = 0. The unit circle, for example, would be a curve of this form: $x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$. This is a more general concept that a function y = f(x), in that neither of the variables must be a function of the other one.

It is possible to talk about the slope of a curve defined in this way around a point on the curve. We learned in calculus I a rather long and laborious way of solving this type of problem. Here we'll learn a short cut.

If we have a relation F(x, y) = 0 then if we differentiate both sides with respect to *x* we get:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dx} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}\frac{dy}{dx} = 0$$

Now, if we note that $\frac{dx}{dx} = 1$ then after some algebra we get:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}$$

which makes implicit differentiation much easier.

Example

For the curve defined by $F(x, y) = x^3 + x^2y - 10y^4 = 0$ calculate $\frac{dy}{dx}$ as a function of x and y.

We also get similar relations for surfaces defined by F(x, y, z) = 0.

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}$$
 and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = -\frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}}$

Example If $F(x, y, z) = x^3 e^{y+z} - y \sin(x - z) = 0$ defines z implicitly as a function of x and y, find $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$.