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Part I

History and Basic Setups of Quantum Topology
A knot is a simple closed curve embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Roughly speaking, a link is several disconnected $S^1$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We focus on the theory of knots in the first part of this talk, because theory of links is similar.

Two mathematical knots are equivalent if one can be transformed into the other via a deformation of $\mathbb{R}^3$ upon itself; these transformations correspond to manipulations of a knotted string that do not involve cutting the string or passing the string through itself.
Examples of knots

Unknot $U$ or $O$

Trefoil knot $3_1$

Figure eight knot $4_1$, simplest hyperbolic knot

Hopf link $L_{2\times 1}$
Question

Is there any **Method** to distinguish different knots?

We have a very good candidate which is called **knot invariant**. In the mathematical field of knot theory, a knot invariant is a quantity defined for each knot which is the same for equivalent knots.

Research on invariants is not only motivated by the basic problem of distinguishing one knot from another but also to understand fundamental properties of knots and their relations to other branches of mathematics.
Question

Is there any **Method** to distinguish different knots?

We have a very good candidate which is called **knot invariant**.

In the mathematical field of knot theory, a knot invariant is a quantity defined for each knot which is the same for equivalent knots.

Research on invariants is not only motivated by the basic problem of distinguishing one knot from another but also to understand fundamental properties of knots and their relations to other branches of mathematics.
Knot Invariants

Question
Is there any **Method** to distinguish different knots?

We have a very good candidate which is called **knot invariant**.

In the mathematical field of knot theory, a knot invariant is a quantity defined for each knot which is the same for equivalent knots.

Research on invariants is not only motivated by the basic problem of distinguishing one knot from another but also to understand fundamental properties of knots and their relations to other branches of mathematics.
**Early Development:**

- 1928 Alexander polynomial (one variable)
- 1984 Jones polynomial (one variable)
- 1985 HOMFLY-PT polynomial (two variables)
- 1988-90 Kauffman polynomial (one variable and two variables)
Early Development:

- 1928 Alexander polynomial (one variable)
- 1984 Jones polynomial (one variable)
- 1985 HOMFLY-PT polynomial (two variables)
- 1988-90 Kauffman polynomial (one variable and two variables)
History

Early Development:

- 1928 Alexander polynomial (one variable)
- 1984 Jones polynomial (one variable)
- 1985 HOMFLY-PT polynomial (two variables)
- 1988-90 Kauffman polynomial (one variable and two variables)
Early Development:

- 1928 Alexander polynomial (one variable)
- 1984 Jones polynomial (one variable)
- 1985 HOMFLY-PT polynomial (two variables)
- 1988-90 Kauffman polynomial (one variable and two variables)
Skein relations

Common Fact: They all can be defined by combinatorial method, i.e. skein relations, as follows

\[ tH(\mathcal{L}_+) - t^{-1}H(\mathcal{L}_-) = (q - q^{-1})H(\mathcal{L}_0) \]

with initial condition imposed on the unknot, i.e. \( H(\bigcirc) = 1 \).

\( \mathcal{L}_+ \), \( \mathcal{L}_- \) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) are three oriented link diagrams that are identical except in one small region where they differ by the crossing changes or smoothing shown in the figure below:
History of quantum invariants

Revolution always happens when physics enters into the picture

Modern Development:

- 1988 V. Turaev: recognize HOMFLY-PT polynomial as the invariants associated with the fundamental representation of the quantum group $U_q(sl_N)$ by setting $q^N = t$.

- 1989 E. Witten: interpret the Jones polynomial by introducing Chern-Simons gauge theory and predict the existence of new invariants of knots/links as well as 3-manifolds at roots of unity $q = q(1)$, where $q(s) = e^{s \pi \sqrt{-1}}$.

- 1990 Reshetikhin-Turaev: use Quantum Group not only construct new invariants of knots/links and 3-manifolds predicted by Witten at roots of unity $q = q(1)$ but also at roots of unity $q = q(s)$, where $s$ is an odd integer.

- 1990 Turaev-Viro: use quantum 6j-symbol to construct invariants of 3-manifolds at roots of unity $q = q(s)$, where $(r, s) = 1$, which has a very tight connection to 2+1D quantum gravity.
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Idea of quantum groups was originated from quantum integrable system (Quantum Inverse Scattering Method, QISM) mainly due to the Leningrad school led by Faddeev.

- 1981 Kulish-Reshetikhin gave the first example of quantum groups (Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, LOMI preprint)
- 1986 Drinfeld-Jimbo formalized the above idea to the general definition of quantum groups

Further Development
- 1993 Felder developed the elliptic quantum groups
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Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional complex semi-simple Lie algebra and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_N$. For each knot component, we associate it an irreducible representation $V$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. A linear automorphism $\tilde{R}$ of $V \otimes V$ is said to be an $R$-matrix, if it is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation. We use the following figure to represent $\tilde{R}^\pm 1$.

The quantum group invariants $W^{\mathfrak{sl}_N}_V(\mathcal{K}; q)$ of the knot $\mathcal{K}$ can be obtained by taking the quantum trace of endomorphism obtained by those "crossings" of braid representation of a knot $\mathcal{K}$ up to some scaling of $q$ power identity.
**Definition**

A **partition** of $n$ is a tuple of positive integers $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k)$ such that $|\mu| \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_i = n$ and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_k > 0$, where $|\mu|$ is called the degree of $\mu$ and $k$ is called the length of $\mu$, denoted by $\ell(\mu)$. A partition can be represented by a Young diagram.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all Young diagrams. Let $\chi_A$ be the character of irreducible representation of symmetric group, labeled by partition $A$. Given a partition $\mu$, define $m_j = \#(\mu_k = j; k \geq 1)$. The order of the conjugate class $C_\mu$ of type $\mu$ is given by $z_\mu = \prod_{j \geq 1} j^{m_j} m_j!$.

For example, if $\mu = \begin{array}{cccc} & & \bullet & \\ & & \bullet & \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{array}$, then we have $|\mu| = 11$, $\ell(\mu) = 4$ and $z_\mu = 4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2! = 72$. 
Fact: Irreducible representation of $U_q(sl_N)$ can be identified with Young diagram, especially fundamental representation is identified with a single box $\Box$.

$\mathcal{K} = \text{Unknot } \bigcirc$

$A = \text{Any Young diagram}$

$V_A = \text{Irreducible representation of } U_q(sl_N) \text{ corresponding to } A$

Then we have

$$W_A(\bigcirc; q) \triangleq W_{V_A}^{sl_N}(\bigcirc; q) = \sum_{|\mu| = |A|} \frac{\chi_A(C_{\mu})}{\delta_{\mu}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \frac{q^{N\mu_j} - q^{-N\mu_j}}{q^{\mu_j} - q^{-\mu_j}},$$

where $W_A(\bigcirc; q)$ is called the quantum dimension of the corresponding representation space $V_A$ and it is denoted by $\dim_q(V_A)$.

Fact: For each Young diagram $A$, there exists $\tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t) \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$ s.t. $W_A^{sl_N}(\mathcal{K}; q) = \tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)|_{t=q^N}$. We call $\tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$ two variable colored HOMFLY-PT invariant.
Summary

Categorification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Colored Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$</td>
<td>HOMFLY</td>
<td>Colored HOMFLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2N+1})$</td>
<td>Kauffman</td>
<td>Colored Kauffman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Original Volume Conjecture ( Proposed by Kashaev and Murakami-Murakami )

LMOV conjecture (Proved by K. Liu and P. Peng)

Orthogonal LMOV Conjecture (Proposed by L. Chen and Q. Chen)
Part II

Hidden relations between quantum invariants

The LMOV Conjecture and generalizations
The original LMOV Conjecture is about colored HOMFLY-PT invariants, so we can still fix $g = sl_N$.

It is well known that the classical HOMFLY-PT polynomial

$$H_L(q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[z^2, t^{\pm 1}],$$

where $z = q - q^{-1}$.

We know that

$$W_{V}^{sl_N}(\mathcal{L}; q) = \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}} H_L(q, t)|_{t = q^N}$$

holds for $V = \text{fundamental representation}$ and any link $\mathcal{L}$. Here $\mathbb{Z}$ means the integrality; $z^2 = (q - q^{-1})^2$ means the symmetry for $W_{V}^{sl_N}(\mathcal{L}; q)$; $z^{1-L}$ means the pole order structure.
If $A$=partition other than (1) ($V_A = \text{other irreducible representation of } U_q(sl_N)$), we only have $\tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{L}; q, t) \in \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$

For $\tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{L}; q, t)$, there is

- No obvious integrality
- No obvious symmetry
- No obvious pole order structure

To reveal these hidden integrality, symmetry and pole order structure for colored HOMFLY-PT invariants $\tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t)$, we require the deep idea from physics again!
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Physics Motivation of the LMOV conjecture

The LMOV conjecture come from a series of work done by four physicists Labastida, Mariño, Ooguri and Vafa inspired by large N duality which pioneered by a seminal work of ’t Hooft in 1974.

The History of the original large N duality:

- 1992 Witten: The Chern-Simons gauge theory on $S^3$ is equivalent to the open topological string theory on the deformed conifold $T^*S^3$.
- 1998 Gopakuma-Vafa: The open topological string theory on the deformed conifold $T^*S^3$ is equivalent to the closed topological string theory on the resolved conifold $X_{S^3}$ (Calabi-Yau 3-folds).
- The Chern-Simons gauge theory on $S^3$ is equivalent to the closed topological string theory on $X_{S^3}$ (computed by Faber-Pandharipande via localization techniques, 2000).

If one want to propose the LMOV conjecture, then one need heavy machinery!!
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t’Hooft, 74: The duality of large N limit of a U(N) gauge theory and string theory

Chern-Simons, 74: obtain a transgressed form on odd dimensional manifold

Jones, 83: Jones polynomial of links

Witten, 89: Chern-Simons interpretation of Jones polynomial and predict more quantum invariants

Topological closed string theory capture the most information of string theory but rather easy to compute

Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-fold give a mathematical rigorous formulation for topological closed string partition function

Combination of Witten, 92 and Goparkumar-Vafa, 98: Chern-Simons of $S^3$ is equivalent topological closed string on resolved conifold $X_{S^3}$

Reshetikhin-Turaev, 90: Using representation theory of quantum group to formulate new invariants predicted by Witten

Katz-Liu, 01: Define the open Gromov-Witten invariants of Resolved conifold with a Lagrangian submanifold determined by unknot

Ooguri-Vafa, 00: Chern-Simons partition function of a link in $S^3$ (involv e colored HOMFLY invariant) is equivalent to the topological open string partition function on resolved conifold $X_{S^3}$ with Lagrangian submanifold corresponding to the link

LMOV Conjecture, 01 (proved by K. Liu-P. Peng, 07): Free energy of Chern-Simons partition function of a link in $S^3$ has an integer coefficient expansion.

Conjecture (Labastida-Marino-Vafa, 01): The total topological open string free energy has an integer coefficient expansion.
Chern-Simons partition function

Let’s quickly review the original LMOV conjecture first.

For each knot $\mathcal{K}$, the type $-A$ Chern-Simons partition function of $\mathcal{K}$ is defined by

$$Z_{CS}^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t; x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t) s_A(x),$$

where $s_A(x)$ are the Schur polynomials.

By using plethystic exponential method (due to Getzler & Kapranov), we can write the free energy $logZ_{CS}^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t; x)$ as follows

$$logZ_{CS}^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t; x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_A(\mathcal{K}; q^d, t^d)}{d} s_A(x^d),$$
The original LMOV conjecture (for SL)

**Conjecture (LMOV, 2000-2002)**

There exists knot invariant $P_B(K; q, t) \in \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})^2} \mathbb{Z}[(q - q^{-1})^2, t^{\pm 1}]$ s.t.

$$f_A(K; q, t) = \sum_{|B| = |A|} P_B(K; q, t) M_{AB}(q),$$

where $M_{AB}(q) = \sum_{|\mu| = |A|} \chi_A(C_\mu) \chi_B(C_\mu) \frac{\ell(\mu)}{\delta_\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu)} (q^{\mu_j} - q^{-\mu_j}).$


LMOV conjecture is true.
Conjecture (LMOV, 2000-2002)

There exists knot invariant $P_B(K; q, t) \in \frac{1}{(q^{-1}-q)^2} \mathbb{Z}[(q - q^{-1})^2, t^{\pm 1}]$ s.t.

$$f_A(K; q, t) = \sum_{|B| = |A|} P_B(K; q, t) M_{AB}(q),$$

where $M_{AB}(q) = \sum_{|\mu| = |A|} \frac{\chi_A(C_\mu) \chi_B(C_\mu)}{g_\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu)} (q^{\mu_j} - q^{-\mu_j})$.


LMOV conjecture is true.
Part III

Hidden relations between quantum invariants

Congruence skein relations
Motivation to study congruence skein relation

Not similar to classical HOMFLY polynomials, colored HOMFLY invariants have never been discovered to satisfy any skein relation since the discovery of Quantum invariants. Somehow it is always a dream for mathematician to simplify the calculation after some breakthrough discovery of a new theory. Anyway, searching certain skein relation is one among those dreams.

Along the way we study LMOV type conjecture for framed colored HOMFLY-PT invariants, we discover some cases indicates the existence of certain congruence skein relations. This is the start point from which we obtain a lot of results, conjectures as well as some very interesting phenomenon.
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Framed colored HOMFLY-PT invariant for a knot $\mathcal{K}$ is given by

$$\tilde{W}_f^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t) \triangleq q^{\kappa_A w(\mathcal{K})} t^{|A| w(\mathcal{K})} \tilde{W}_A^{SL}(\mathcal{K}; q, t),$$

where $A$ is a Young diagram, $\kappa_A = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(A)} A_j (A_j - 2j + 1)$ for partition $A = (A_1, A_2, ..., A_{\ell(A)})$ and $w(\mathcal{K})$ denote the writhe number of knot $\mathcal{K}$. The definition for a link $\mathcal{L}$ with $L$ components is similar.

There is also a framed version LMOV conjecture for framed colored HOMFLY-PT invariants, which seems more deep and hard to prove. But we still obtain a lot of nice property by studying the framed colored HOMFLY-PT invariants.
Reformulated colored HOMFLY-PT invariants I

Definition

Reformulated (framed) colored HOMFLY-PT invariant for a knot $K$ is given by

$$\tilde{Z}_\mu(K; q, t) \overset{\Delta}{=} \{\mu\} \sum_{|A|=|\mu|} \chi_A(C_\mu) \tilde{W}_A^{f,SL}(K; q, t),$$

where $A$ and $\mu$ are Young diagrams, $\{\mu\} \overset{\Delta}{=} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \{\mu_j\}$ and $\{n\} \overset{\Delta}{=} q^n - q^{-n}$.

The definition for a link $L$ with $L$ components is similar.
Reformulated colored HOMFLY-PT invariant II

\[ \tilde{Z}_{\mu}(\mathcal{L}; q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[(q - q^{-1})^2, t^{\pm 1}] \]

Now we introduce the following notation for link colored by same partition \((p)\)

\[ \tilde{Z}_p(\mathcal{L}; q, t) \triangleq \tilde{Z}_{((p),(p),...,(p))}(\mathcal{L}; q, t). \]
The classical skein relation for framing dependent HOMFLY-PT polynomials can be stated as follows

\[ \tilde{Z}_1(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_1(L_-) = \tilde{Z}_1(L_0) \] (I: crossing in 1 component of \( L \))

\[ \tilde{Z}_1(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_1(L_-) = \{1\}^2 \tilde{Z}_1(L_0) \] (II: crossing among 2 components of \( L \)).

Now we state our conjecture as follows

Conj. (Congruence skein relations, C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)

For any prime number \( p \) and any link \( L \), we have

\[ \tilde{Z}_p(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_p(L_-) \equiv (-1)^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_p(L_0) \mod [p]^2 \] (Type I)

\[ \tilde{Z}_p(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_p(L_-) \equiv (-1)^{p-1} p \{p\}^2 \tilde{Z}_p(L_0) \mod \{p\}^2 [p]^2 \] (Type II),

where \( [n] = \{p\} / \{1\} \) and \( A \equiv B \mod C \) means \( A - B \in \mathbb{Z}[(q - q^{-1})^2, t^{\pm 1}] \).
The classical skein relation for framing dependent HOMFLY-PT polynomials can be stated as follows

\[ \tilde{Z}_1(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_1(L_-) = \tilde{Z}_1(L_0) \] (I: crossing in 1 component of \( L \))

\[ \tilde{Z}_1(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_1(L_-) = \{1\}^2 \tilde{Z}_1(L_0) \] (II: crossing among 2 components of \( L \)).

Now we state our conjecture as follows

Conj. (Congruence skein relations, C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)

For any prime number \( p \) and any link \( L \), we have

\[ \tilde{Z}_p(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_p(L_-) \equiv (-1)^{p-1} \tilde{Z}_p(L_0) \mod [p]^2 \] (Type I)

\[ \tilde{Z}_p(L_+) - \tilde{Z}_p(L_-) \equiv (-1)^{p-1} p \{p\}^2 \tilde{Z}_p(L_0) \mod \{p\}^2 [p]^2 \] (Type II),

where \( [n] = \{\frac{p}{1}\} \) and \( A \equiv B \mod C \) means \( \frac{A-B}{C} \in \mathbb{Z}[\{q - q^{-1}\}^2, t^{\pm 1}] \).
Evidence of conjecture of congruence skein relations

Although we can not prove this conjecture at this moment, but we discover a lot of evidence to support our conjecture.

Theorem (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)

The conjecture of congruence skein relations for colored HOMFLY-PT invariants holds for the following link triple

1. $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-, \mathcal{L}_0) = (T(2, 2k + 1), T(2, 2k - 1), T(2, 2k))$ when $p = 2, 3$;
2. $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-, \mathcal{L}_0) = (T(2, 2k), T(2, 2k - 2), T(2, 2k - 1))$ when $p = 2, 3$;
3. $(\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_-, \mathcal{L}_0) = (4_1, \bigcirc, T(2, -2))$ when $p = 2, 3$;
4. torus links/knots with larger prime number $p$;
5. $(\mathcal{L}$ with a positive kink, $\mathcal{L}$ with a negative kink, $\mathcal{L} \sqcup \bigcirc)$ with any prime number $p$,

where $T(p, q)$, $\bigcirc$ and $4_1$ denotes the torus link/knot, unknot and figure eight knot (simplest/first hyperbolic knot) respectively.
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Evidence of conjecture of congruence skein relations

Although we can not prove this conjecture at this moment, but we discover a lot of evidence to support our conjecture.

**Theorem (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)**

The conjecture of congruence skein relations for colored HOMFLY-PT invariants holds for the following link triple

- \((L_+, L_-, L_0) = (T(2, 2k + 1), T(2, 2k - 1), T(2, 2k))\) when \(p = 2, 3\);
- \((L_+, L_-, L_0) = (T(2, 2k), T(2, 2k - 2), T(2, 2k - 1))\) when \(p = 2, 3\);
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where \(T(p, q), \bigcirc\) and \(4_1\) denotes the torus link/knot, unknot and figure eight knot (simplest/first hyperbolic knot) respectively.
Colored Jones polynomials

It is well-known that colored Jones polynomial $J_N(L; q)$ can be obtained from the colored HOMFLY-PT invariant in the following way.

$$J_N(L; q) \equiv \left( \frac{q^{-2lk(L)} \kappa_N}{s_N(q, t)} \tilde{W}_{SL}^{(N), (N), \ldots, (N)}(L; q, t) \right) \bigg|_{t = q^2},$$

where $lk(L)$ is the linking number of a link $L$ and $s_N(q, t)$ is the Schur function.

Remark: Our $J_N(L; q)$ denotes $N + 1$ dimensional representation in original literature.
With the help of Habiro’s cyclotomic expansion for colored Jones polynomial, we prove the following congruence relations for colored Jones polynomial of a knot $K$,

**Theorem (Congruence relations for colored Jones polynomial of knots, C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)**

For any knot $K$ and any integer $N$, $k$ and $N \geq k \geq 0$, we have

$$J_N(K_+; q) - J_N(K_-; q) \equiv J_k(K_+; q) - J_k(K_-; q) \mod \{N - k\}\{N + k + 2\},$$

where $A \equiv B \mod C$ means $\frac{A-B}{C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$. 
As an application, we proved the following theorem,

**Theorem**

For any knot $\mathcal{K}$ and any integer $N, k$ and $N \geq k \geq 0$, we have

$$J_N(\mathcal{K}; q) \equiv J_k(\mathcal{K}; q) \mod\{N - k\}\{N + k + 2\}$$

In particular, we have

$$J_N(\mathcal{K}; e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{N+2}}) = 1$$

which recover the following well known result due to V.F. Jones,

$$J_1(\mathcal{K}; e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{3}}) = 1.$$
Part IV

Asymptotics of quantum invariants of knots

The Original Volume Conjecture and its current situation
The following equality would hold for any knot $\mathcal{K}$ in $S^3$,

$$2\pi \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log |J_N(\mathcal{K}, e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{N+1}})|}{N + 1} = Vol(S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K}).$$

Remark

1) This conjecture connects two very profound areas, i.e. quantum invariants founded by Jones, Witten and Reshetikhin-Turaev and modern hyperbolic geometry founded by Thurston.

2) For many years, only proven hyperbolic knot case is the figure-eight knot $4_1$ (by Ekholm and full asymptotics by Andersen-Hansen). For other hyperbolic knots, people even don’t know the existence of the limit. Recently the full asymptotics of three twists knot $5_2$ was proved by Ohtsuki (volume part was proved by Kashaev earlier) by using a powerful analysis method to deal with this Conjecture. See next page.
By a careful analysis of a combination of Poisson summation formula and Saddle point method, Ohtsuki proved not only the volume term of the case $5_2$, but also a full asymptotic expansion of the case $5_2$. Ohtsuki’s method method is again successfully applied to prove the following cases.

- Ohtsuki-Yokota: 6 crossings
- T. Ohtsuki: 7 crossings
- T. Takata: $8_6$, $8_{12}$
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Part V

Hidden relation between congruence relations, cyclotomic expansion and Volume Conjectures
It is well-known that colored $SU(n)$ invariants $J_{N}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{L}; q)$ can be obtained from the colored HOMFLY-PT invariant in the following way.

$$J_{N}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{L}; q) \equiv \left( \frac{q^{-2\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})\kappa_{(N)}}}{s_{(N)}(q, t)} \tilde{W}_{\text{SL}}^{((N),(N),\ldots,(N))}(\mathcal{L}; q, t) \right) \bigg|_{t=q^{n}}$$

$$= \frac{q^{-2\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})N(N+n-1)}}{s_{(N)}(q, q^{n})} \tilde{W}_{\text{SL}}^{((N),(N),\ldots,(N))}(\mathcal{L}; q, q^{n})$$

where $\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})$ is the linking number of a link $\mathcal{L}$.
Congruence relations of knots for colored $SU(n)$ invariants

Actually we also formulate the conjecture of congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants.

**Conjecture (Congruence relations of knots for $SU(n)$ invariants, Chen-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)**

For any knot $\mathcal{K}$ and any integer $N$, $k$ and $N \geq k \geq 0$, we have

\[
J_{N}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}_{+}; q) - J_{N}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}_{-}; q) \equiv J_{k}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}_{+}; q) - J_{k}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}_{-}; q) \pmod{\{N - k\}\{N + k + n\}}
\]

where $A \equiv B \mod C$ means $\frac{A-B}{C} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$. 
Evidence and Consequence of conjecture of Congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants

Theorem (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)

The conjecture of congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants holds for the following knot double:

- $(K_+, K_-) = (4_1, \bigcirc)$ for any $N \geq k \geq 0$, $n \geq 3$.
- A lot of hyperbolic knots and torus links/knots with small integer pair $N \geq k \geq 0$;

Corollary (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu)

If the above conjecture holds, then for a knot $K$, the set of the roots of the equation

\[ J^SU(n)_N (K; q) - J^SU(n)_k (K, q) = 0 \]

contains $A_{N-k} \cup A_{N+k+n} \cup A_{n-1}$, where $A_n \triangleq \{ q | q^n = \pm 1 \}$. 
Evidence and Consequence of conjecture of Congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants

Theorem (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)

The conjecture of congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants holds for the following knot double

- $(\mathcal{K}_+, \mathcal{K}_-) = (4_1, \bigcirc)$ for any $N \geq k \geq 0$, $n \geq 3$.
- A lot of hyperbolic knots and torus links/knots with small integer pair $N \geq k \geq 0$;

Corollary (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu)

If the above conjecture holds, then for a knot $\mathcal{K}$, the set of the roots of the equation

$$J_{N}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q) - J_{k}^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}, q) = 0$$

contains $A_{N-k} \cup A_{N+k+n} \cup A_{n-1}$, where $A_n \triangleq \{q|q^n = \pm 1\}$.
The conjecture of congruence relations for colored SU\(_n\) invariants holds for the following knot double:

- \((K_+, K_-) = (4_1, \bigcirc)\) for any \(N \geq k \geq 0, n \geq 3\).

A lot of hyperbolic knots and torus links/knots with small integer pair \(N \geq k \geq 0\).

Corollary (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu)

If the above conjecture holds, then for a knot \(K\), the set of the roots of the equation

\[
J^\text{SU}(n)_N(K; q) - J^\text{SU}(n)_k(K, q) = 0
\]

contains \(A_{N-k} \cup A_{N+k+n} \cup A_{n-1}\), where \(A_n \triangleq \{q|q^n = \pm 1\}\).
Evidence and Consequence of conjecture of Congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants

**Theorem (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu, 2014)**

The conjecture of congruence relations for colored $SU(n)$ invariants holds for the following knot double:

- $(\mathcal{K}_+, \mathcal{K}_-)$ with $(4_1, \bigcirc)$ for any $N \geq k \geq 0$, $n \geq 3$;

- A lot of hyperbolic knots and torus links/knots with small integer pair $N \geq k \geq 0$;

**Corollary (C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu)**

If the above conjecture holds, then for a knot $\mathcal{K}$, the set of the roots of the equation

$$J_N^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}; q) - J_k^{SU(n)}(\mathcal{K}, q) = 0$$

contains $A_{N-k} \cup A_{N+k+n} \cup A_{n-1}$, where $A_n \triangleq \{q|q^n = \pm 1\}$. 
Cyclotomic Expansion for colored Jones polynomial and colored $SU(n)$ invariants

**Theorem (Harbibo)**

The colored Jones polynomial has the following expansion

$$J_N(K; q) = 1 + H_1(q)\{N\}\{N + 2\} + H_2(q)\{N - 1\}\{N\}\{N + 2\}\{N + 3\} + \cdots + H_N(q)\{1\} \cdots \{N\}\{N + 2\} \cdots \{2N + 1\},$$

where $H_i(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$.

Inspired by congruence relations, we got the following conjecture.

**Conjecture (C.-Liu-Zhu, 2015)**

The colored $SU(n)$ invariants has the following expansion

$$J^{SU(n)}_N(K; q) = 1 + H_1^{(n)}(q)\{N\}\{N + n\} + H_2^{(n)}(q)\{N - 1\}\{N\}\{N + n\}\{N + n + 1\} + \cdots + H_N^{(n)}(q)\{1\} \cdots \{N\}\{N + n\} \cdots \{2N + n - 1\},$$

where $H_i^{(n)}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. 
Volume Conjecture for colored $SU(n)$ invariants

By solving the "gap equations" in the Habiro type cyclotomic expansion, we could formulate

**Volume Conjecture for colored $SU(n)$ invariants (C.-Liu-Zhu, 2015)**

The following equality (in our notation) would hold for any hyperbolic knot $K$ complements in $S^3$. For any $a = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, we have

$$2s\pi \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log |J_N^{SU(n)}(K, e^{s\pi\sqrt{-1}/N+a})|}{N + a} = \text{Vol}(S^3 \setminus K).$$

**Theorem (C.-Liu-Zhu, 2015)**

*The above Volume Conjecture is true for figure eight knot.*
We first write down the special case for figure eight due to Fuji-Gukov-Sulkowski, originally Itoyama-Mironov-Morozov-Morozov.

\[ (-t)^{N\alpha(K)}\mathcal{P}_N(4_1; a, q, t) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{(N+1-i)}{i} A_{i-2}(a, q, t)B_{N-1+i}(a, q, t) \right) \]

where \( A_i(a, q, t) = aq^i - (-t)^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i} \) and \( B_i(a, q, t) = (-t)^2aq^i - (-t)^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i} \).

In particular

\[ A_i(q^2, q, -1) = B_i(q^2, q, -1) = \{i + 2\} \]

\[ \mathcal{P}_N(4_1; q^2, q, -1) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \{N + 1 - i\}\{N + 1 + i\} \right) \]
Inspired by congruence relations, we got the following conjecture.

**Conjecture (C., 2015)**

For each knot $\mathcal{K}$, there exists $\alpha(\mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. the superpolynomial associated to HOMFLY-PT homology has the following expansion

\[ (-t)^N \alpha(\mathcal{K}) \mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} H_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) \left( A_{-1}(a, q, t) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(N+1-i)}{\{i\}} B_{N+i-1}(a, q, t) \right), \]

where

\[ H_k(\mathcal{K}; a, q, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[a^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}], \quad A_i(a, q, t) = aq^i - (-t)^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad B_i(a, q, t) = (-t)^{2}aq^i - (-t)^{-1}a^{-1}q^{-i}. \]

**Theorem (C., 2016)**

The above conjecture is true for torus knots $T(m,n)$ (homologically thick knot) with $N = 1$, and we have $\alpha(T(m, n)) = -(m - 1)(n - 1)/2$. 
### Definition

The **smooth 4-ball genus** $g_4(K)$ of a knot $K$ is the minimum genus of a surface smoothly embedded in the 4-ball $B^4$ with boundary the knot. In particular, a knot $K$ in $S^3$ is called smoothly slice if $g_4(K) = 0$.

### Remark

The invariant $\alpha(T(m, n)) = -(m - 1)(n - 1)/2$ suggest a very close relation between the above theorem and the following Milnor Conjecture, which was first proved by P.B. Kronheimer and T.S. Mrowka.

### Milnor Conjecture

The smooth 4-ball genus of torus knot $T(m, n)$ is $(m - 1)(n - 1)/2$. 
Rasmussen introduced a knot invariant $s(K)$ from Khovanov homology, which is a lower bound for the smooth 4-ball genus for knots in the following sense.

**Theorem (Rasmussen)**

For any knot $K$ in $S^3$, we have $|s(K)| \leq 2g_4(K)$.

In addition, Rasmussen again proved Milnor Conjecture by a purely combinatorial method.

Based on all the knots we tested, we propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture (C.)**

The invariant $\alpha(K)$ (determined by cyclotomic expansion conjecture for $N = 1$) is a lower bound for smooth 4-ball genus $g_4(K)$, i.e. $|\alpha(K)| \leq g_4(K)$.

For all the knots we tested (up to 10 crossings), it is identical to the Ramussen’s $s$ invariant and the Ozsvath-Szabo’s $\tau$ invariant.
Again by solving the "gap equations" (2 variables this time) in the Habiro type cyclotomic expansion, we could formulate

**Volume Conjecture for specialized Superpolynomials (C., 2016)**

The following equality (in our notation) would hold for any hyperbolic knot $\mathcal{K}$ complements in $S^3$ with $b \geq 1$ and $\frac{n-1-b}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{N} \log |\mathcal{P}_N(\mathcal{K}; q^n, q, t = q^{-\left(N+n-1\right)})|_{q = \exp\left(\frac{\pi \sqrt{-1}}{N+b}\right)} = Vol(S^3 \setminus \mathcal{K}).$$

Remark: 1) If $b = n - 1$, then we have $t = -1$ (original Volume Conjecture). 2) If $n = 2$, then $b$ is chosen from 1 (corresponds to the original case). 3) In a joint work with Joergen Andersen, we fix $t$ to get so called refined Volume Conjectures.

**Theorem (C., 2016)**

The above Volume Conjecture is true for figure eight knot.
Part VI

Asymptotics of quantum invariants of 3-manifolds

The Volume Conjecture of Reshetikhi-Turaev and (modified) Turaev-Viro invariants
Volume Conjecture for RT invariants at $q(2)$

Set $q(s) = e^{s\pi \sqrt{-1}}$ under the quantum integer notation $[N] = \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}}$, where $(r, s) = 1$. So usual Chern-Simons theory evaluated at $e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/r}$ under usual notation $[N] = \frac{q^{N/2} - q^{-N/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}$ is actually $q(1)$ under our notations.

Reshtikhin-Turaev not only rigorously defined invariant $RT_r(M)$ of 3-dim closed manifold evaluated at $q(1)$ predicted by Witten but also extend it to $q(odd)$. Blanchet-Habegger-Masbaum-Vogel again extended them to $q(4k + 2)$. We propose our Volume conjecture at $q(2)$. ($q(odd)$ later)

Volume Conjecture (3-dim closed orientable manifolds, C.-Yang)

For any 3-dim hyperbolic closed orientable manifold $M$ and let $Vol_{cpx}(M)$ denotes $Vol(M) + \sqrt{-1}CS(M)$, we have

$$4\pi \lim_{r \to \infty, \ r \ \text{is odd}} \frac{\log RT_r(M; q(2) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/r})}{r - 2} = Vol_{cpx}(M) \mod \sqrt{-1} \pi^2 \mathbb{Z}$$
Let $M_p$ denote the $p$-surgery (Dehn) of knot $K$ in $S^3$.

The Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants $RT_r(M_p; q(2))$ is given by the following identity

$$\frac{2}{r} e^{(\frac{3+r^2}{r} - \frac{3-r}{4})\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{r-2} \left( \sin \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{r} \right)^2 \left( -e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}} \right)^{p(n^2+2n)} J_n(K; q(2))$$

In order to verify the Volume Conjecture, it is equivalent to calculate the limit of the following quantity

$$Q_r(M) = 2\pi \log \left( \frac{RT_r(M; q(2) = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}})}{RT_{r-2}(M; q(2) = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r-2}})} \right).$$
Examples of closed oriented 3-manifolds II

Recall that $M_p$ is hyperbolic when $|p| > 4$, when knot $K$ is the figure eight knot. For $p=6$, we have the following according to SnapPy,

$$Vol(M_6) + CS(M_6)\sqrt{-1} = 1.28449 + 1.34092\sqrt{-1} \pmod{\sqrt{-1}\pi^2},$$

We have the following table of $Q_r(M_6)$ modulo $\sqrt{-1}\pi^2\mathbb{Z}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Q_r(M_6)$</td>
<td>$1.22717 + 1.24762\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.28425 + 1.30510\sqrt{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_r(M_6)$</td>
<td>$1.28440 + 1.32496\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.28443 + 1.33194\sqrt{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_r(M_6)$</td>
<td>$1.28446 + 1.33693\sqrt{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.28448 + 1.33948\sqrt{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Volume Conjecture of RT invariant at $q(odd)$

The Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of closed 3-manifold $M$ obtained from a $4k + 2$-surgery along a knot $K$, $RT_r(M, q(s))$, vanishes at roots of unity $q(s)$, where $r$ and $s$ are odd numbers (Kirby-Melvin and C.-Liu-Peng-Zhu). Numerical evidence shows that it also goes exponentially large as $r \to \infty$, when $s$ is an odd integer other than 1 but $r$ is an even integer. So it is natural to propose

Volume Conjecture for Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants at $q(odd)$

For any closed 3-manifold $M$, an odd integer $s$ other than 1 and an integer $r$ s.t. condition (**): $RT_r(M, q(s)) \neq 0$ is satisfied, then we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty, (r,s)=1 \text{ and } r \text{ satisfy (**)}} \frac{2s\pi}{r} \log |RT_r(M, q(s))| = Vol_{cpx}(M) \pmod{\sqrt{-1} \pi^2 \mathbb{Z}}$$

Remark

If $RT_r(M, q(s)) \neq 0$ for any even integer $r$ and any 3-manifold closed manifold $M$, we could change condition "$r$ satisfy (**)" to "$r$ is even".
Turaev-Viro invariants was originally defined for closed 3-manifolds and become a TQFT for 3-manifolds with non-empty boundary. Here we just do a small modification. We use the Thurston’s ideal triangulation instead of the usual triangulation in the construction. Then we obtain a real valued number instead of a TQFT for 3-manifolds with non-empty boundary.

**Volume Conjecture (3-manifolds with boundary, C.-Yang)**

For any hyperbolic 3-manifolds $M$ with cusps or with totally geodesic boundary and for $r$ running over all odd integers, we have

$$2\pi \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log TV_r(M; e^{\frac{2\pi \sqrt{-1}}{r}})}{r - 2} = \text{Vol}(M).$$
Comparison of Volume Conjectures with boundary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tetrahedra #</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S^3\setminus$ hyperbolic knots/links #</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orientable cusped mfld #</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>3552</td>
<td>12846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-orientable cusped mfld #</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>2998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark

The original Volume Conjecture only works for complements of hyperbolic knots/links in $S^3$.

Our Volume Conjecture for Turaev-Viro type invariants works for all the cusped manifolds and 3-manifolds with totally geodesic boundary, most of which are NOT knots/links complements.

For example, figure eight knot is a hyperbolic knot whose complement in $S^3$ consists of two tetrahedra.

$5_2$ knot is a hyperbolic knot whose complement in $S^3$ consists of three tetrahedra.
Gieseking manifold $N_{11}$ is a non-orientable cusped 3-manifold which consists of only one tetrahedra.

For $r \geq 3$, we have

$$TV_r(N_{11}) = \sum_{a \in A_r} w_a \left| \begin{array}{ccc} a & a & a \\ a & a & a \end{array} \right|,$$

where $A_r$ consist of integers $a$ such that $0 \leq a \leq (r - 2)/3$, $w_i = (-1)^{2i}[2i + 1]$ and $\left| \begin{array}{ccc} a & a & a \\ a & a & a \end{array} \right|$ is the quantum $6j$-symbol (Kirillov-Reshetikhin).
For each odd integer \( r \geq 3 \) let Quantum Volume

\[
QV_r(M) = \frac{2\pi}{r - 2} \log \left( TV_r(M; e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{r}}) \right).
\]

According to SnapPy and Regina, the Gieseking manifold has volume 
\( \text{Vol}(N_{11}) \approx 1.014942 \). We have the following table of values of \( QV_r(N_{11}) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>101</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</td>
<td>1.62276</td>
<td>1.33012</td>
<td>1.23174</td>
<td>1.14319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</td>
<td>1.08943</td>
<td>1.06872</td>
<td>1.05748</td>
<td>1.05035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question**

Why we call the invariant **Quantum Volume**?

See next page.
For each odd integer \( r \geq 3 \) let \( \text{Quantum Volume} \)

\[
QV_r(M) = \frac{2\pi}{r-2} \log \left( TV_r(M; e^{\frac{2\pi \sqrt{-1}}{r}}) \right).
\]

According to SnapPy and Regina, the Gieseking manifold has volume 
\( \text{Vol}(N_{11}) \approx 1.014942 \). We have the following table of values of \( QV_r(N_{11}) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</th>
<th>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</th>
<th>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</th>
<th>( QV_r(N_{11}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.62276</td>
<td>1.33012</td>
<td>1.23174</td>
<td>1.14319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.08943</td>
<td>1.06872</td>
<td>1.05748</td>
<td>1.05035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question**

Why we call the invariant **Quantum Volume**?

See next page.
Remark

We witness very drastic/huge cancellations for the figure eight knot complements. Some summands of Turaev-Viro invariant even reach $10^{500}$, while the final Turaev-Viro invariant is just around $10^{140}$ for $r = 1001$.

In contrast, the convergence behavior of colored Jones polynomial in original Volume Conjecture of figure eight knot is quite simple, which is just the summation of positive numbers. Thus it is rather easy to prove, which only involve some simple estimations.
Volume Conjecture of TV invariant at $q(\text{odd})$

The Turaev-Viro invariant of non-orientable 3-manifold $N_{21}$ (Callahan-Hildebrand-Weeks census) vanishes at roots of unity $q(s)$, where $r$ and $s$ are odd integers. Numerical evidence shows that it also goes exponentially large as $r \to \infty$, where $s$ is an odd integer other than 1 but $r$ is an even integer. So it is natural to propose

**Volume Conjecture for Turaev-Viro invariants at $q(\text{odd})$**

For any 3-manifold $M$ with boundary, an odd integer $s$ other than 1 and an integer $r$ s.t. condition $(\star) \ TV_r(M, q(s)) \neq 0$ is satisfied, then we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty, \ (r, s) = 1} \frac{s\pi}{r} \log |TV_r(M, q(s))| = Vol(M)$$

and $r$ satisfy $(\star)$

**Remark**

If $TV_r(M, q(s)) \neq 0$ for any even integer $r$ and any 3-manifold $M$ with boundary, we could change condition "$r$ satisfy $(\star)$" to "$r$ is even".
Ohtsuki refined our Volume Conjecture of Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants at $q(2)$ to full asymptotic expansion (physics flavour conjecture by D. Gang-M. Romo-M. Yamazaki) and proved the case of closed hyperbolic 3-manifold obtained by integral surgery along the figure-eight knot $4_1$ in $S^3$.

Ohtsuki-Takata recognized the secondary term in asymptotic expansion of RT invariant as Reidemester torsion for the above example.

Detcherry-Kalfagianni-Yang proved the Volume Conjecture of (modified) Turaev-Viro invariant of complements of $4_1$ and Borromean ring in $S^3$ by establishing a relation involving Turaev-Viro invariants of link complements in $S^3$ and certain sum of colored Jones polynomials of that link.
Detcherry-Kalfagianni first established a relation between the asymptotics of the (modified) Turaev-Viro invariants at $q(2)$ and the Gromov norm of 3-manifolds. Then they obtained a lower bound for the Gromov norm of any compact, oriented 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. They also proved C.-Yang Volume Conjecture for (modified) Turaev-Viro invariants of Gromov norm zero links complements.

Detcherry-Kalfagianni first related the Andersen-Masbaum-Ueno conjecture to the growth of the Turaev-Viro invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds at $q(2)$. Then they proved that C.-Yang Volume Conjecture implies the AMU conjecture. They also answer an integrality conjecture of C.-Yang for the (modified) Turaev-Viro invariants of torus links.

Belletti-Detcherry-Kalfagianni-Yang proved fundamental shadow link.

K.H. Wong-T. K.-K. Au obtained asymptotic expansion formula for the Turaev-Viro invariant of figure eight knot evaluated at $q(2)$. 
C.-Murakami proved that the primary/secondary terms of the asymptotic expansion of a Kirillov-Reshetikhin quantum $6j$ symbol are dominated by the Volume/Gram matrix of a single tetrahedra respectively for majority cases. Then we also proposed a conjecture for a symmetric property of asymptotics of quantum $6j$ symbol at $q(2)$. Highly nontrivial cases are checked. This conjecture also explains the very mysterious big cancellations when one compute (modified) Turaev-Viro invariants at $q(2)$. This conjecture uncovers an extraordinary hidden nature of the quantum $6j$ symbols.

Z. Wang related Anyon system, 3d quantum gravity to our volume conjecture for closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds and he pointed out that it is puzzling how the non-unitarity arises from the unitary 3d quantum gravity.

Reshetikhin pointed out that Conformal Field Theory corresponding to the quantum $6j$ symbols evaluated at non-conventional root of unity such as $q(2)$ is non-unitary.
Since the Turaev-Viro invariant is constructed by using Krilov-Reshetikhin quantum $6j$ symbols, the Volume conjecture for Turaev-Viro invariants suggests that the asymptotics of the quantum $6j$ symbol is expressed by certain geometric data of the corresponding tetrahedron. The volume conjecture can be reformulated for the quantum $6j$ symbols as follows. Let

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & e \\ d & c & f \end{array} \right|_q$$

be the quantum $6j$ symbol which is defined for six non-negative half integers $a, b, \cdots, f$ with the quantum parameter $q$. For a odd integer $r \geq 3$, a triplet $(a, b, c)$ is called $r$-admissible if $a, b, c \in \{0, 1/2, 1, \cdots, (r - 2)/2\}$, $(a, b, c)$ satisfies the Clebsch-Gordan condition and $a + b + c \leq r - 2$. The Clebsch-Gordan condition means that $|a - b| \leq c \leq a + b$ and $a + b + c \in \mathbb{Z}$. 
Volume Conjecture for quantum 6j symbol II

**Conjecture (C.-Murakami)**

Let $T$ be a tetrahedron in hyperbolic, Euclidean or spherical 3-space with dihedral angles $\theta_a, \theta_b, \theta_c, \theta_d, \theta_e, \theta_f$ at edges $a, \cdots, f$. Let $a_r, b_r, \cdots, f_r$ be sequences of non-negative half integers satisfying

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{r} (2a_r + 1) = \pi - \theta_a, \quad \cdots, \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{4\pi}{r} (2f_r + 1) = \pi - \theta_f
$$

and the triplets $(a_r, b_r, e_r)$, $(a_r, d_r, f_r)$, $(b_r, d_r, f_r)$ and $(c_r, d_r, e_r)$ are all $r$-admissible for odd $r \geq 3$. Then

$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{2\pi}{r} \log \left| \begin{array}{ccc}
a_r & b_r & e_r \\
d_r & c_r & f_r \\
\end{array} \right| \quad q(2) = \text{Vol}(T),
$$

where $\text{Vol}(T)$ is the hyperbolic volume of $T$ if $T$ is hyperbolic and $\text{Vol}(T) = 0$ if $T$ is Euclidean or spherical.
Here we show that the above conjecture is true if $T$ is hyperbolic and has at least one ideal or ultra-ideal vertex. Vertices of our hyperbolic tetrahedron are classified into three cases. Let $v$ be a vertex of a tetrahedron $T$, $F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$ be the three planes of $T$ to specify $v$, and $\theta_{ij}$ be the dihedral angle of $F_i$ and $F_j$ at the intersection of $F_i \cap F_j$.

- **Normal vertex**: The first case is the usual vertex, which is the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3$. In this case, $\theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23} > \pi$.

- **Ideal vertex**: The second case is the ideal vertex, which is the vertex at $\infty$, which means that the three edges around the vertex do not intersect in the hyperbolic space, but the infimum of their distances are zero. In this case, $\theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23} = \pi$.

- **Ultra-ideal vertex**: The last one is the ultra-ideal vertex. In this case, $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3 = \phi$, but there is a plane perpendicular to $F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$, and adding this plane to $F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$, we get a truncated vertex as the second tetrahedron. In this case, $\theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23} < \pi$. 
Asymptotic Expansion of the Quantum 6j Symbol

Theorem (C.-Murakami, 16-17’)

Let $T$ be a hyperbolic tetrahedron with one vertex ideal or ultra-ideal, then

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
    a_r & b_r & e_r \\
    d_r & c_r & f_r
\end{vmatrix}_{q(2)} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2} \pi}{r^{3/2}} \frac{4}{\sqrt{\det G}} e^{\frac{r^2}{2\pi}} \text{Vol}(T),
\]

(1)

where $G$ is the Gram matrix of $T$ given by

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & -\cos \theta_a & -\cos \theta_b & -\cos \theta_f \\
-\cos \theta_a & 1 & -\cos \theta_e & -\cos \theta_c \\
-\cos \theta_b & -\cos \theta_e & 1 & -\cos \theta_d \\
-\cos \theta_f & -\cos \theta_c & -\cos \theta_d & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Remark

Quantum 6j symbol evaluated at $q(1)$ after certain "evaluation" can also be related to the hyperbolic Volume of a tetrahedra which was systematically studied by F. Costantino.
## Summary of Volume Conjectures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q(1)$</th>
<th>Colored Jones (colored SU(n), Superpolynomial of HOMFLY-PT homology) for links</th>
<th>Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants for closed oriented 3-manifolds</th>
<th>Turaev-Viro invariants for 3-manifolds with boundary (C.-Yang)</th>
<th>Quantum 6j symbols (Krillov-Reshetikhin)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume Conjecture (Kashaev-Murakami-Murakami, SU(n) by C.-Liu-Zhu, Super. by C.)</td>
<td>Witten’s Asymptotic Expansion Conjecture (WAE), which asserts RT invariants polynomial growth in terms of $r$</td>
<td>No Volume Conjecture, should be something similar to WAE Conjecture</td>
<td>Woodward’s Asymptotic Expansion Conjecture, which asserts the quantum 6j symbol polynomial growth in terms of $r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q(2)$</td>
<td>Almost the same as above</td>
<td>Volume Conjecture (proposed by C.-Yang)</td>
<td>Volume Conjecture (proposed by C.-Yang)</td>
<td>Volume Conjecture (proposed by C.-Murakami and majority cases proved by C.-Murakami)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Remark

Formerly, the Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants evaluated at roots of unity other than $q(1)$ was considered to be related to $q(1)$ via certain Galois transformations and thus not significant at all. Now the huge difference between roots $q(1)$ and $q(2)$ has been revealed.
We hope this Volume Conjecture of Reshetikhin-Turaev and Turaev-Viro type invariants of 3-manifolds (closed oriented or with non-empty boundary) may uncover certain new geometric/physical interpretation other than the usual $SU(2)$ Chern-Simons gauge theory.

Quantum integer $[N] = \frac{q^N - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{\sin(\frac{2\pi N}{r})}{\sin(\frac{2\pi}{r})}$ for $q = q(2) = e^{\frac{2\pi \sqrt{-1}}{r}}$, thus quantum integer $[N] < 0$ become possible during the computation. Unlike the original Witten’s Chern-Simons theory, this indicate a non-unitary Physics theory, which seems quite wild at this moment. But it is confirmed by many top mathematical physicists such as Giovanni Felder, Rinat Kashaev, Nicolai Reshetikhin and Edward Witten.
Thank You!