

HOMEWORK # 6
DUE FRIDAY NOVEMBER 18TH

MATH 538 FALL 2011

1. Let A be a ring and suppose that \mathfrak{a} is an ideal. Define a ring $G_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}^n / \mathfrak{a}^{n+1}$ where $\mathfrak{a}^0 := A$. This is a graded ring with multiplication induced by multiplication on the Rees-algebra. If A is Noetherian, prove that $G(A)$ is also Noetherian and also that $G_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ is isomorphic to $G_{\mathfrak{a}}(\hat{A})$. This ring is called the *associated graded ring*.

Solution: The generators of \mathfrak{a} are elements of the degree-1 part of $G(A)$. In fact, it is easy to see that they generate $G(A)$ as an A -algebra. Now, \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated since A is Noetherian, this means that $G(A)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. By Hilbert's basis theorem, $G(A)$ is Noetherian, this proves the first part.

For the second statement, simply observe that

$$G_{\mathfrak{a}}(\hat{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^n / \hat{\mathfrak{a}}^{n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{a}^n / \mathfrak{a}^{n+1} = G(A).$$

2. Let A be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ an ideal and \hat{A} the \mathfrak{a} -adic completion. For any $x \in A$, let \hat{x} denote the image of x in \hat{A} . Show that if x is not a zero divisor in A , then \hat{x} is not a zero divisor in \hat{A} . However, give an example where A is an integral domain but \hat{A} is not.

Solution: Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{x} A$$

Tensoring with \hat{A} (which is flat) yields

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\hat{x}} \hat{A}$$

which proves that \hat{x} is not a zero-divisor.

For the example, consider $R = k[x]$ (which is certainly a domain) completed along the ideal $\langle x(x-1) \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x-1 \rangle$. Now, we see (basically by the Chinese Remainder Theorem) that

$$k[x] / \langle x(x-1) \rangle^n = k[x] / \langle x^n(x-1)^n \rangle \cong k[x] / \langle x^n \rangle \oplus k[x] / \langle (x-1)^n \rangle$$

In particular, it follows that $\widehat{k[x]_{\langle x \rangle \cap \langle x-1 \rangle}} \cong \widehat{k[x]_{\langle x \rangle}} \oplus \widehat{k[x]_{\langle x-1 \rangle}}$. But the right side is not a domain since it is a direct sum of two non-zero rings.

3. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and assume that $\hat{R} = R$ (in other words, R is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete). For any polynomial $f \in R[x]$, let \tilde{f} denote the image of f in $(R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$. *Hensel's lemma* says the following: if $f(x)$ is monic of degree n and if there exist coprime monic polynomials $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in (R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$ of degrees $r, n-r$ with $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ then we can lift \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} back to monic polynomials $g, h \in R[x]$ such that $f = gh$.

Assume Hensel's lemma without proof (or read Matsumura).

- (i) Deduce from Hensel's lemma that if \tilde{f} has a root of order 1 at $\alpha \in (R/\mathfrak{m})[x]$. Then f has a root of order 1, $a \in A$ such that $\alpha = a \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$.
- (ii) Prove that 2 is a square in the ring of 7-adic integers.

Solution: As far as I can tell, there is nothing to prove for (i). In particular, factor $\tilde{f} = (x - \alpha)\tilde{g}$ and then lift. Note we used the fact that \tilde{g} does NOT have a root at α (in particular, that $(x - \alpha)$ and \tilde{g} are coprime).

For (ii), we let $R = \mathbb{Z}_7$ be the 7-adic integers. Consider the element $x^2 - 2 \in R[x]$. This has a simple root $3 \in \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, $x^2 - 2 = (x - 3)(x - 4)$. Thus $x^2 - 2$ has a root of order 1 in $R[x]$ also by (i), and in particular, it has a root. That solution is the desired square root of 2.

4. [The Snake Lemma] Suppose that R is a ring and that A, B, C, D, E, F are R -modules. Suppose that:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \rho & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & F & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is a diagram where each square is commutative and the rows are exact. Set K' and C' to be the kernel and cokernel of φ . Set K and C to be the kernel and cokernel of ψ . Finally set K'' and C'' to be the kernel and cokernel of ρ .

Show that there is a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow K \rightarrow K'' \xrightarrow{d} C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow C'' \rightarrow 0$ where the maps not labeled d are induced by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma,$ and δ . This is not difficult, but it requires a lot of diagram chasing.

Solution: Certainly left to the reader.

5. Suppose that R is a ring and M is an R -module. A sequence of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ is called M -regular if x_i is a non-zero divisor on $M/\langle x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} \rangle M$ for each i and also if $M \neq \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle M$.

Now suppose that $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} M'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of R -modules and that x_1, \dots, x_n is a sequence of elements which is M' -regular and M'' -regular. Prove it is M -regular also.

Solution: I will do this in a slightly more general way. First I will prove a lemma.

Lemma. *With the short exact sequence as above, suppose that $x \in R$ is a regular element on M' and M'' . Then x is regular on M and furthermore, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M'/xM' \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow M''/xM'' \rightarrow 0$$

induced from the above sequence.

Proof. Choose $m \in M$ and suppose that $xm = 0$. It follows that $x\beta(m) = \beta(xm) = 0$ and so $\beta(m) = 0$ by the regularity of x on M'' . Thus there exists $m' \in M'$ such that $\alpha(m') = m$. Then $\alpha(xm') = x\alpha(m') = xm = 0$ and so since α is injective, $xm' = 0$ which implies that $m' = 0$ by the regularity of x on M' . Thus

$$0 = \alpha(0) = \alpha(m') = m$$

which completes the proof of the first statement.

For the second, I will identify M' with its image in M . We certainly have an exact sequence:

$$M'/xM' \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} M/xM \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} M''/xM'' \rightarrow 0$$

obtained by tensoring our original sequence with $R/\langle x \rangle$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $M'/xM' \rightarrow M/xM$ is injective. Consider an element $\bar{m}' \in M'/xM'$ (with \bar{m}' corresponding to $m' \in M'$) and suppose that $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{m}') = 0$. Thus $\alpha(m') \in xM$ and so we may write $\alpha(m') = xn$ for some $n \in M$. Then, $\beta(n) \in M''$. Notice that

$$x\beta(n) = \beta(xn) = \beta(\alpha(n')) = 0$$

It follows that $\beta(n) = 0$ since x is regular on M'' . Thus, by exactness, there exists $n' \in M'$ such that $\alpha(n') = n$. But then $\alpha(xn') = xn = \alpha(m')$ so that $xn' = m'$ by the injectivity of α . Thus $m' \in xM'$ and so $\bar{m}' = 0$ as desired. \square

Now, we apply induction and we see immediately that x_1 is regular on M , that x_2 is regular on M/x_1M , that x_3 is regular on $M/\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle M$ and so on. This proves the first part of the regularity definition. For the second part, notice that

$$M/\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle M \rightarrow M''/\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle M''$$

is surjective by the right-exactness of tensor. But $M''/\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle M''$ is non-zero by hypothesis. Thus

$$0 \neq M/\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle M$$

which completes the proof.