

**HOMEWORK # 5**  
**DUE FRIDAY NOVEMBER 4TH**

MATH 538 FALL 2011

1. Use Nakayma's lemma and results from a worksheet to show that if  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local ring, then the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is 1-dimensional over  $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ .

2. First some background:

Suppose that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field. Consider  $k[\varepsilon] := k[t]/\langle t^2 \rangle$ . Note  $\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]$  is just a single point. Thus one can think of  $k[\varepsilon]$  as a point plus the data of (a single) tangent direction (which of course, if we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$ , is more than one real direction). Set  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$  to be a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and suppose we are given a surjective  $k$ -algebra map  $\varphi : R \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$ . We thus have

$$\{\text{pt}\} = \text{Spec } k[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$$

so we have determined a point on  $\text{Spec } R$  and the map  $\varphi$  should also be viewed as determining a tangent direction to that point.

Now we state the problem. Let  $k$  again be an algebraically closed field and choose  $f \in k[x, y]$  an non-zero non-unit element such that

$$f = g + h$$

where  $g = ax + by$  is a linear polynomial (or possibly zero) and  $h \in \langle x, y \rangle^2$ . Set  $R = k[x, y]/\langle f \rangle$ . Prove that the local ring  $R_{\langle x, y \rangle}$  is a DVR (discrete valuation ring) if and only if there is only one surjective map  $R \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]$  which maps the unique point of  $\text{Spec } k[\varepsilon]$  to the the point  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , up to a scaling factor (you should figure out exactly what I mean, I am being purposefully vague).

3. Give an example of an inclusion of Noetherian rings  $R \subseteq S$  such that  $R$  and  $S$  have the same Krull dimension,  $S$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra,  $S$  NOT a finite  $R$ -module, and

- (a)  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is not surjective.
- (b)  $\text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is surjective.

4. Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group of automorphisms acting on a ring  $A$  and let  $A^G$  denote the subring of  $G$ -invariant elements (all  $x \in A$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ ). Prove that  $A$  is an integral extension of  $A^G$ .

5. Suppose that  $R$  is a ring and  $I$  is an ideal. The *integral closure*<sup>1</sup> of  $I$  is the set

$$\left\{ z \in R \mid \text{there exists } a_1 \in I^1, a_2 \in I^2, a_3 \in I^3, \dots, a_{n-1} \in I^{n-1}, a_n \in I^n \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z^1 + a_n = 0. \right\}$$

It is usually denoted by  $\bar{I}$ .

- (i) Prove that  $\bar{I}$  is an ideal containing  $I$ .
- (ii) Prove that  $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \subseteq k[x, y]$  is not integrally closed and find its integral closure.
- (iii) Prove that  $\overline{(\bar{I})} = \bar{I}$ .
- (iv) Suppose that  $W$  is a multiplicative system, prove that  $W^{-1}\bar{I} = \overline{W^{-1}I}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This is not in agreement with Atiyah-MacDonald, but in this case, Atiyah-MacDonald is in disagreement with the literature.