

**HOMEWORK # 4**  
**DUE WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 19TH**

MATH 538 FALL 2011

1. Is the following true or false. If it is true, prove it. If it is false, give a counter-example. If  $R$  is a ring,  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are submodules of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 + M_2$ , then  $\text{Ass}(M) = \text{Ass}(M_1) \cup \text{Ass}(M_2)$ .

**Solution:** This is false. Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/\langle 2 \rangle)$ . Set  $M_1 = \langle \langle 1, 0 \rangle \rangle$  and  $M_2 = \langle \langle 1, 1 \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Ass}(M) = \{0, \langle 2 \rangle\}$  but  $\text{Ass}(M_1) = \text{Ass}(M_2) = \{0\}$ .

2. Give an example of an ideal  $I$  which is not primary but which satisfies the following condition:

If  $fg \in I$ , then either  $f^n \in I$  or  $g^n \in I$  for some integer  $n \gg 0$ .

**Solution:** The ring  $k[x, y]$  and the ideal  $\langle x^2, xy \rangle$ . Set  $f = x$  and  $g = y$ . It is not primary though (the same elements prove it).

3. Suppose that  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of a Noetherian ring  $A$ . Prove that if  $JA_P \subseteq IA_P$  for every  $P \in \text{Ass}(A/I)$ , then  $J \subseteq I$ .

**Solution:** Choose  $x \in J$ , thus  $x/1 \in I_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(A/I)$ . Write  $I = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$  with  $Q_i$  ideals which are  $P_i$ -primary. It follows that  $x/1 \in IA_{P_i} \subseteq Q_i A_{P_i}$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $x \in c$  where  $\rho_i : A \rightarrow A_{P_i}$  is the natural map. We proved in class (or see Reid) that  $\rho_i^{-1}(Q_i A_{P_i}) = Q_i$ . Thus  $x \in Q_i$  for all  $i$  and the proof is complete.

4. A topological space  $X$  is called *Noetherian* if every descending chain of closed sets eventually stabilizes. Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring and prove that  $\text{Spec } R$  is a Noetherian topological space. However, give an example of a ring  $R$  such that  $\text{Spec } R$  is Noetherian but  $R$  is *NOT* Noetherian.

**Solution:** Closed sets of  $\text{Spec } R$  are in bijection with radical ideals, and so the first statement follows since a Noetherian ring can't have an infinite ascending chain of any ideals (let alone radical ideals).

For the example, consider  $R = k[x, xy, xy^2, xy^3, \dots] \subseteq k[x, y]$ . This ring is clearly non-Noetherian. We now analyze its prime spectrum. Consider  $x \in R$ . Note that  $R[x^{-1}] \cong k[x^{-1}, y]$  which is clearly Noetherian (and so has a Noetherian topological space). On the other hand, suppose that  $P \in \text{Spec } R$  is a prime ideal containing  $x$ . Then  $(xy)^2 = (xy^2)x \in P$  and so  $xy \in P$ . More generally,  $(xy^n)^2 = (xy^{2n})x \in P$  and so  $xy^n \in P$ . Thus  $P = \langle x, xy, xy^2, \dots \rangle$  is maximal. In particular,  $\text{Spec } R$  has only one point that  $\text{Spec } R[x^{-1}]$  does not have. Then given any descending chain of closed subsets  $Z_1 \supseteq Z_2 \supseteq Z_3 \supseteq \dots$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  consider the possibilities:

- (1) All  $Z_i$  contain  $P$ .
- (2)  $Z_i$  does not contain  $P$  for  $i \geq n_0$ .

In the first case, the  $Z_i \cap (\text{Spec } R \setminus \{P\})$  stabilizes and thus so do the  $Z_i$ 's (since we may work in  $\text{Spec } R \setminus \{P\}$ ). In the second case we don't even need to intersect.

5. Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of characteristic  $p > 0$ . The *Frobenius morphism on  $R$*  is the ring homomorphism  $F : R \rightarrow R$  defined by the rule  $F(r) = r^p$ . This is a ring homomorphism because  $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$ . Suppose now that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Write  $I = \langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$ . We define  $I^{[p]}$  to be the ideal  $\langle r_1^p, \dots, r_n^p \rangle$ .

- (a) Prove that  $I^{[p]}$  is independent of the choice of generators  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  for  $I$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $Q$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . Is it true that  $Q^{[p]}$  is  $Q$ -primary? Prove or give a counter-example.
- (c) Suppose that  $R = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . View  $R$  a module over itself via Frobenius, and use  $N$  to denote this module (in other words,  $r.x = r^p x$  for  $r \in R$  and  $x \in N (\cong R)$ ). Show that  $N$  is a free module and exhibit a basis for  $N$  over  $R$ .
- (d) Suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Show that  $\langle F(I) \rangle = I^{[p]} \subseteq I$ .

(e\*\*) Suppose that  $J \subseteq I$  are ideals of  $R$  (which you may now assume is an integral domain). Suppose that  $G : I \rightarrow I$  is an additive map satisfying the rule  $G(rx) = r^p G(x)$ . Is it true that  $G(J) \subseteq J$ ?

As far as I know, (e\*\*) is an open problem. If it's true, I know of an easy (and publishable) corollary. **Note that there was a typo in the definition of  $G$ . It should be  $G(rx) = r^p G(x)$ , NOT  $G(r.x) = r^p G(x)$ . The other question was reasonable as well though.**

**Solution:**

- (a) It is sufficient to show that if  $x \in I$ , then  $x^p \in \langle r_1^p, \dots, r_n^p \rangle =: I^{[p]}$ . But if  $x \in I$  then  $x = t_1 r_1 + \dots + t_n r_n$  for some  $t_i$ . But then  $x^p = (t_1 r_1 + \dots + t_n r_n)^p = t_1^p r_1^p + \dots + t_n^p r_n^p \in \langle r_1^p, \dots, r_n^p \rangle$  as desired.
- (b) It is not true. For example, consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{F}_3[x, y, z]/\langle xy - z^2 \rangle$  and the prime ideal  $Q = \langle x, z \rangle$ . Then  $Q^{[3]} = \langle x^3, z^3 \rangle$ . But  $xzy = (xy)z = z^3 \in Q^{[3]}$ . Thus if  $Q^{[3]}$  was primary, either  $xz \in Q^{[3]}$  or  $y^n \in Q^{[3]}$  for some  $n$ . The first case is absurd by degree reasons (since then  $xz = a(x, y, z)x^3 + b(x, y, z)z^3 + c(x, y, z)(xy - z^2) \in k[x, y, z]$  but the only degree two term on the right hand side are  $c_0 xy$  and  $c_0 z^2$  neither of which can equal  $xz$ ). For the second case, if  $y^n \in Q^{[3]}$ , then  $y^n = a(x, y, z)x^3 + b(x, y, z)z^3 + c(x, y, z)(xy - z^2) \in k[x, y, z]$  but that is also absurd since every term on the right is divisible by either  $x$  or  $z$  (and  $y^n$  is not).
- (c) The basis is  $\{x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq p - 1\}$ . I'll let you work out the details.
- (d)  $F(I) = \{x^p \mid x \in I\}$ . It is then clear that  $\langle F(I) \rangle = I^{[p]}$  based upon (a).
- (e) ?