

**WORKSHEET #1 – MATH 536**  
**SPRING**

DUE MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3RD

**Theorem 0.1** (Jordan-Hölder Theorem). *If  $G$  is a finite group with  $G \neq \{1\}$  the*

- (1)  *$G$  has a composition series*
- (2) *The composition factors in a composition series are unique up to ordering.*

First we prove the following.

1. Assume  $G$  has two composition series:

$$\{1\} = N_0 \trianglelefteq N_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq N_r = G \text{ and } \{1\} = M_0 \trianglelefteq M_1 \trianglelefteq M_2 = G$$

Prove that  $r = 2$  and that the list of composition factors is the same.

*Hint:* There are several steps (which to a certain extent can be done in various orders). For what  $i$  is it possible that  $N_i \supseteq M_1$ ? Next intersect the first composition series with  $M_1$ , what can we conclude? Finally, multiply the first composition series by  $M_1$  and then mod out by  $M_1$ . Use the second isomorphism theorem.

**Solution:** Now, it is easy to see that  $H = M_1 \cap N_{r-1}$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$  (for normality, see **3.**). It is also a normal subgroup of the simple group  $M_1$  so  $H = M_1$  or  $\{1\}$ .

If  $H = M_1$  then  $N_{r-1} \supseteq M_1$  which implies that  $N_{r-1}/M_1$  is a proper normal subgroup of the simple group  $G/M_1$ , hence  $N_{r-1} = M_1$  and the statement is obvious.

If  $H = \{1\}$  we clearly see that  $M_1 N_{r-1}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  properly containing  $M_1$  and so  $M_1 N_{r-1} = G$  by the simplicity of  $G/M_1$  again. Then  $N_{r-1} \cong N_{r-1}/H \cong M_1 N_{r-1}/M_1 = G/M_1$  and so  $N_{r-1}$  is simple and hence  $r = 2$ . We also see that the composition factors of the  $\{N_i\}$  composition series are simply the composition factors of the  $M_i$  composition series in reverse order.

2. Prove the existence of composition series. In fact, prove more generally that if  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , then there is a composition series of  $G$  where  $H$  is one of the terms.

*Hint:* This should be easy.

**Solution:** We may assume that  $H \subsetneq G$  as the case where  $H = G$  is equivalent to the case where  $H = \{1\}$ . We proceed by induction on  $|G|$ , the base case that  $|G| = 2$  is obvious and then  $|G|$  is already simple. Next, let  $N$  be a largest proper normal subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $H$ . Note that  $G/N$  is simple since if it isn't, and  $M \trianglelefteq G/N$ ,  $M \neq \{1\}, G/N$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(M)$  normal and is not equal to  $G$  or  $N$ . Since  $|N| < |G|$  and  $H \trianglelefteq N$ , our inductive hypothesis completes the proof.

3. Prove an intersection of normal subgroups is normal.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $\{N_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a collection of normal subgroups of  $G$ . Consider the diagonal map  $G \rightarrow \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (G/N_\gamma)$  sending  $g \mapsto (\dots, g + N_\gamma, \dots)$ . The kernel of this map is easily seen to be  $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} N_\gamma$ .

4. Now prove the uniqueness result of the Jordan-Hölder theorem. In particular, assume that

$$\{1\} = N_0 \trianglelefteq N_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq N_r = G \text{ and } \{1\} = M_0 \trianglelefteq M_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq M_s = G$$

are two composition series, prove that  $r = s$  and that the composition factors are the same.

*Hint:* Proceed by induction on  $\min\{r, s\}$ . Apply the inductive hypothesis to  $H = N_{r-1} \cap M_{s-1}$  (which we note is still normal). You can use a similar strategy to what was done in 2.

**Solution:** We can assume  $r, s \geq 2$  and also that the  $N_i$  form a composition series of minimal length (the base case is done) so that  $r \leq s$ . Note we can also assume that  $N_{r-1} \not\subseteq M_{s-1}$  and  $M_{s-1} \not\subseteq N_{r-1}$  otherwise we would be done by hypothesis. Hence  $H \subsetneq N_{r-1}, M_{s-1}$ . This also implies that  $N_{r-1}M_{s-1} = G$  just as in 1. Next observe that  $N_{r-1}/H \cong M_{s-1}N_{r-1}/M_{s-1} = G/M_{s-1}$  is simple (as is  $M_{s-1}/H \cong G/N_{r-1}$ ). Let  $\{1\} \trianglelefteq N'_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq N'_{r-2} = H \trianglelefteq N_{r-1}$  be a composition series for  $N_{r-1}$ . Note that this must be of length  $r - 1$  by induction. In particular, every composition series  $H$  has the same length of  $r - 2$ . But we can construct a similar composition series for  $H$  using

$$\{1\} \trianglelefteq M'_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H = M_{s'-1} = M_{s'} = M_{s-1}.$$

but this also produces a composition series for  $H$  of length  $s' - 1$ . Hence  $s' - 1 = r - 2$  and so  $M_{s-1}$  has a composition series of length  $r - 1$  and thus  $r = s$  as claimed. For the composition factors, using the inductive hypothesis to see that the composition factors of  $M_{s-1}$  are those of  $H$  concatenated with  $M_{s-1}/H = G/N_{r-1}$ . Hence the composition factors of  $\{M_i\}$  are equal to

$$\left( \text{composition factors of } H, G/N_{r-1}, G/M_{s-1} \right)$$

and likewise the composition factors of  $\{N_j\}$  are simply

$$\left( \text{composition factors of } H, G/M_{s-1}, G/N_{r-1} \right)$$

and hence the two sets coincide.