

A BRIEF TUTORIAL ON THE F-SINGULARITIES PACKAGE, VERSION 0.1

PACKAGE BY: MORDECHAI KATZMAN, SARA MALEC, KARL SCHWEDE, EMILY WITT

This is a package for use in Macaulay2 for doing computations of F -pure thresholds, test ideals, non-sharply F -pure ideals, and related notions. This document gives a brief tutorial on how to use the package.

1. LOADING THE PACKAGE

This package has been developed using Macaulay2 version 1.6. Use other versions at your own risk.

Place the package `PosChar.m2` in a folder that Macaulay2 can see (for example, the folder from which you are starting Macaulay2 or emacs), and run the command

```
loadPackage "PosChar"
```

If there are no errors, then it worked.

If you would like to automatically load the package on startup, please edit your `.Macaulay2/init.m2` and add a line such as

```
if fileExists "/home/myUserName/F-sing/PosChar.m2" then path=append(path,  
"/home/myUserName/F-sing/")  
if fileExists "/home/myUserName/F-sing/PosChar.m2" then loadPackage("PosChar")
```

You should edit your path appropriately.

2. F -PURE THRESHOLDS

The default function here is `estFPT`. To use it, create a polynomial ring over a finite field, say $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{Z}/5[x, y]$ and then create an element, say $\mathbf{f} = x^2 - y^3$. Then running `estFPT(f, 1)` will try to compute the F -pure threshold (if it fails, it should give a range in which the FPT can be found, or it crash due to lack of RAM). You can replace 1 by any integer e , larger integers e may result in longer running time, but perhaps also more success (additional details as to where e is used can be found below).

It does it in the following way. (To watch the progress, run `estFPT` with the `Verbose=>>true` switch).

- (1) It first checks whether or not the polynomial \mathbf{f} is diagonal (using the function `isDiagonal`). If so, it computes the FPT using the methods from D. Hernández's thesis, by calling the function `diagonalFPT`. You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `DiagonalCheck=>false`. `diagonalFPT` is maintained by Emily Witt.
- (2) Next it checks whether or not the polynomial \mathbf{f} is binomial (using the function `isBinomial`). If so, it computes the FPT using the methods from D. Hernández's thesis, by calling the function `binomialFPT`. You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `BinomialCheck=>false`. `binomialFPT` is maintained by Emily Witt.
- (3) If these operations fail, using the e you provided, it will compute the largest ν_e such that $\mathbf{f}^{\nu_e} \notin \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$. To compute this ν_e yourself, use the functions `nu` and `nuList` (maintained by Emily Witt). Regardless, we now know that $\frac{\nu_e}{p^e - 1} \leq \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f}) \leq \frac{\nu_e + 1}{p^e}$.

- (4) We check whether $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e-1}})$ is strongly F -regular by calling the function `isFRegularPoly`, if it is not, then $\frac{\nu_e}{p^e-1} = \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$. Indeed, if the denominator of the FPT is not divisible by $p > 0$, then this method will always return the FPT as long as you provide a sufficiently divisible e . You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `NuPEMinus1Check=>false`. This part of the function and `isFRegularPoly` is maintained by Karl Schwede.
- (5) If the previous check failed, then the F -signature of $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}})$ are computed using the `fSig` function. This can be very slow, but currently it cannot be turned off. You can try to run this in a multithreaded way with `MultiThread=>>true`, but it provides no performance gains at the moment. Substantial performance gains can be found by using a different monomial order, or making sure your polynomial is quasi-homogeneous. Regardless, the secant line between

$$\left(\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}, (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}})\right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}, (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}})\right)$$

intersects the x -axis at a point $\mathbf{a} \leq \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$. This part is maintained by Karl Schwede.

- (6) Finally, the program checks whether $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{a}})$ is strongly F -regular again using the function `isFRegularPoly`. If not, then $a = \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$. Otherwise, the range $[\mathbf{a}, \frac{\nu_e+1}{p^e}]$ is returned. This method may never find the FPT, even for large and divisible e .

In the future, we hope to be able to compute FPTs for wider classes of quasi-homogeneous polynomials, for non-principal ideals, and for more general ambient rings. If you need some of this functionality *now*, please contact us and we may be able to help.

You can check whether a particular value is the FPT by running `isFPTPoly` (for instance `isFPTPoly(x2 - y3, 5/6)`). You can also use `guessFPT` to try to find potential values of the FPT. You can also see `isFJumpingNumberPoly`

3. TEST IDEALS AND NON-SHARPLY- F -PURE IDEALS

There are several functions for computing test ideals. We list them below. These are maintained by Karl Schwede, but they rely heavily on the `ethRoot` function (which computes $\bullet^{[1/p^e]}$ also denoted by $I_e(\bullet)$) written and maintained by Mordechai Katzman.

- (1) `tauPoly(f, t)` Suppose \mathbb{R} is a polynomial ring containing an element \mathbf{f} and $t \geq 0$ is a rational number. This computes the test ideal $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^t)$. This is done by writing $t = \frac{a}{(p^b-1)p^c}$, first computing $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^b-1}})$ via `tauAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, b)`. And then using the formula

$$\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^b-1}})^{[1/p^c]} = \tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{(p^b-1)p^c}}).$$

The $[1/p^c]$ implementation was originally written by Katzman.

- (2) `tauAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, e)` Suppose \mathbb{R} is a polynomial ring containing an element \mathbf{f} and $a, e \geq 1$ are integers. This computes the test ideal $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$. This uses the same strategy as the work of Katzman for computing parameter test ideals.
- (3) `tauQGor(R, e, f, t)` Suppose that \mathbb{R} is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein normal ring containing an element \mathbf{f} and that the index of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ divides $p^e - 1$. Further $t \geq 0$ is a rational number. Then this computes the test ideal $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^t)$. The strategy is similar to `tauPoly(f, t)` above.
- (4) `tauQGorAmb(R, e)` Suppose that \mathbb{R} is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein normal ring and that the index of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ divides $p^e - 1$. Then this computes the test ideal $\tau(\mathbb{R})$.

Using these functions, we also have implemented F -regularity checks: for polynomial rings `isFRegularPoly` and for \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein rings `isFRegularQGor`.

There is also support for non-sharply- F -pure ideals.

- (1) `sigmaAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, e)` Suppose R is a polynomial ring containing an element f and $a, e \geq 1$ are integers. This computes the non-sharply- F -pure ideal $\sigma(R, f^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$.
- (2) `sigmaQGorAmb(R, g)` Suppose that R is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein normal ring and that the index of K_R divides $p^g - 1$. Then this computes the non-sharply- F -pure ideal $\sigma(R)$.
- (3) `sigmaQGorAOverPEMinus1(f, a, e, g)` Suppose that R is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein normal ring containing an element f and that the index of K_R divides $p^g - 1$. Further $a, e \geq 1$ are integers. Then this computes the non-sharply- F -pure ideal $\sigma(R, f^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$.

In each of these cases, σ is the stable image of certain p^{-e} -linear maps, which can be reduced to twists of `ethRoot`. Using these functions, we also have implemented a sharp F -purity check for polynomial rings `isSharplyFPurePoly`.

In the future we also hope to include parameter test module and ideal computations and F -rationality / F -injectivity checks. These have previously been implemented by Katzman. We also hope to include within this package tools for computing compatibly split ideals, and more generally ϕ -fixed ideals. See the recent work of Boix-Katzman (and also Katzman-Zhang).