

# A BRIEF TUTORIAL ON THE F-SINGULARITIES PACKAGE, VERSION 0.1

PACKAGE BY: MORDECHAI KATZMAN, SARA MALEC, KARL SCHWEDE, EMILY WITT

This is a package for use in Macaulay2 for doing computations of  $F$ -pure thresholds, test ideals, non-sharply  $F$ -pure ideals, and related notions. This document gives a brief tutorial on how to use the package.

## 1. LOADING THE PACKAGE

This package has been developed using Macaulay2 version 1.6. Use other versions at your own risk.

Place the package `PosChar.m2` in a folder that Macaulay2 can see (for example, the folder from which you are starting Macaulay2 or emacs), and run the command

```
loadPackage "PosChar"
```

If there are no errors, then it worked.

If you would like to automatically load the package on startup, please edit your `.Macaulay2/init.m2` and add a line such as

```
if fileExists "/home/myUserName/F-sing/PosChar.m2" then path=append(path,  
"/home/myUserName/F-sing/")  
if fileExists "/home/myUserName/F-sing/PosChar.m2" then loadPackage("PosChar")
```

You should edit your path appropriately.

## 2. $F$ -PURE THRESHOLDS

The default function here is `estFPT`. To use it, create a polynomial ring over a finite field, say  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{Z}/5[x, y]$  and then create an element, say  $\mathbf{f} = x^2 - y^3$ . Then running `estFPT(f, 1)` will try to compute the  $F$ -pure threshold (if it fails, it should give a range in which the FPT can be found, or it crash due to lack of RAM). You can replace 1 by any integer  $e$ , larger integers  $e$  may result in longer running time, but perhaps also more success (additional details as to where  $e$  is used can be found below).

It does it in the following way. (To watch the progress, run `estFPT` with the `Verbose=>true` switch).

- (1) It first checks whether or not the polynomial  $\mathbf{f}$  is diagonal (using the function `isDiagonal`). If so, it computes the FPT using the methods from D. Hernández's thesis, by calling the function `diagonalFPT`. You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `DiagonalCheck=>false`. `diagonalFPT` is maintained by Emily Witt.
- (2) Next it checks whether or not the polynomial  $\mathbf{f}$  is binomial (using the function `isBinomial`). If so, it computes the FPT using the methods from D. Hernández's thesis, by calling the function `binomialFPT`. You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `BinomialCheck=>false`. `binomialFPT` is maintained by Emily Witt.
- (3) If these operations fail, using the  $e$  you provided, it will compute the largest  $\nu_e$  such that  $\mathbf{f}^{\nu_e} \notin \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$ . To compute this  $\nu_e$  yourself, use the functions `nu` and `nuList` (maintained by Emily Witt). Regardless, we now know that  $\frac{\nu_e}{p^e - 1} \leq \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f}) \leq \frac{\nu_e + 1}{p^e}$ .

- (4) We check whether  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e-1}})$  is strongly  $F$ -regular by calling the function `isFRegularPoly`, if it is not, then  $\frac{\nu_e}{p^e-1} = \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$ . Indeed, if the denominator of the FPT is not divisible by  $p > 0$ , then this method will always return the FPT as long as you provide a sufficiently divisible  $e$ . You can turn this check off by including the parameter inside the function call, `NuPEMinus1Check=>false`. This part of the function and `isFRegularPoly` is maintained by Karl Schwede.
- (5) If the previous check failed, then the  $F$ -signature of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}})$  are computed using the `fSig` function. This can be very slow, but currently it cannot be turned off. You can try to run this in a multithreaded way with `MultiThread=>>true`, but it provides no performance gains at the moment. Substantial performance gains can be found by using a different monomial order, or making sure your polynomial is quasi-homogeneous. Regardless, the secant line between

$$\left(\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}, (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e}{p^e}})\right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}, (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{\nu_e-1}{p^e}})\right)$$

intersects the  $x$ -axis at a point  $\mathbf{a} \leq \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$ . This part is maintained by Karl Schwede.

- (6) Finally, the program checks whether  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\mathbf{a}})$  is strongly  $F$ -regular again using the function `isFRegularPoly`. If not, then  $a = \text{FPT}(\mathbf{f})$ . Otherwise, the range  $[\mathbf{a}, \frac{\nu_e+1}{p^e}]$  is returned. This method may never find the FPT, even for large and divisible  $e$ .

In the future, we hope to be able to compute FPTs for wider classes of quasi-homogeneous polynomials, for non-principal ideals, and for more general ambient rings. If you need some of this functionality *now*, please contact us and we may be able to help.

You can check whether a particular value is the FPT by running `isFPTPoly` (for instance `isFPTPoly(x2 - y3, 5/6)`). You can also use `guessFPT` to try to find potential values of the FPT. You can also see `isFJumpingNumberPoly`

### 3. TEST IDEALS AND NON-SHARPLY- $F$ -PURE IDEALS

There are several functions for computing test ideals. We list them below. These are maintained by Karl Schwede, but they rely heavily on the `ethRoot` function (which computes  $\bullet^{[1/p^e]}$  also denoted by  $I_e(\bullet)$ ) written and maintained by Mordechai Katzman.

- (1) `tauPoly(f, t)` Suppose  $\mathbb{R}$  is a polynomial ring containing an element  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $t \geq 0$  is a rational number. This computes the test ideal  $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^t)$ . This is done by writing  $t = \frac{a}{(p^b-1)p^c}$ , first computing  $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^b-1}})$  via `tauAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, b)`. And then using the formula

$$\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^b-1}})^{[1/p^c]} = \tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{(p^b-1)p^c}}).$$

The  $[1/p^c]$  implementation was originally written by Katzman.

- (2) `tauAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, e)` Suppose  $\mathbb{R}$  is a polynomial ring containing an element  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $a, e \geq 1$  are integers. This computes the test ideal  $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$ . This uses the same strategy as the work of Katzman for computing parameter test ideals.
- (3) `tauQGor(R, e, f, t)` Suppose that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein normal ring containing an element  $\mathbf{f}$  and that the index of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  divides  $p^e - 1$ . Further  $t \geq 0$  is a rational number. Then this computes the test ideal  $\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{f}^t)$ . The strategy is similar to `tauPoly(f, t)` above.
- (4) `tauQGorAmb(R, e)` Suppose that  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein normal ring and that the index of  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  divides  $p^e - 1$ . Then this computes the test ideal  $\tau(\mathbb{R})$ .

Using these functions, we also have implemented  $F$ -regularity checks: for polynomial rings `isFRegularPoly` and for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein rings `isFRegularQGor`.

There is also support for non-sharply- $F$ -pure ideals.

- (1) `sigmaAOverPEMinus1Poly(f, a, e)` Suppose  $R$  is a polynomial ring containing an element  $f$  and  $a, e \geq 1$  are integers. This computes the non-sharply- $F$ -pure ideal  $\sigma(R, f^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$ .
- (2) `sigmaQGorAmb(R, g)` Suppose that  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein normal ring and that the index of  $K_R$  divides  $p^g - 1$ . Then this computes the non-sharply- $F$ -pure ideal  $\sigma(R)$ .
- (3) `sigmaQGorAOverPEMinus1(f, a, e, g)` Suppose that  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein normal ring containing an element  $f$  and that the index of  $K_R$  divides  $p^g - 1$ . Further  $a, e \geq 1$  are integers. Then this computes the non-sharply- $F$ -pure ideal  $\sigma(R, f^{\frac{a}{p^e-1}})$ .

In each of these cases,  $\sigma$  is the stable image of certain  $p^{-e}$ -linear maps, which can be reduced to twists of `ethRoot`. Using these functions, we also have implemented a sharp  $F$ -purity check for polynomial rings `isSharplyFPurePoly`.

In the future we also hope to include parameter test module and ideal computations and  $F$ -rationality /  $F$ -injectivity checks. These have previously been implemented by Katzman. We also hope to include within this package tools for computing compatibly split ideals, and more generally  $\phi$ -fixed ideals. See the recent work of Boix-Katzman (and also Katzman-Zhang).