

Math 5090, Assignment 8: Chapter 14, Exercises 7, 17, 19, 21.

7. (a) There are  $n = 60$  observations. None is exactly equal to 5.20. The number that are smaller than 5.20 is 22. For the given alternative hypothesis, the  $p$ -value is  $P(\text{BIN}(60, 1/2) \leq 22) = 0.02595$  (from a spreadsheet). But we were asked to use the normal approximation

$$P(\text{BIN}(60, 1/2) \leq 22) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{22.5 - 60(1/2)}{\sqrt{60(1/2)(1/2)}}\right) = \Phi(-1.9465) = 0.02640.$$

In either case this is less than 0.05, so we reject  $H_0$ .

(b) Derivation using (14.3.8):  $P(X_{i:n} \leq x_{0.5} \leq X_{j:n}) = P(\text{BIN}(n, 1/2) \leq j - 1) - P(\text{BIN}(n, 1/2) \leq i - 1)$ , and  $P(\text{BIN}(60, 1/2) \leq 36) = 0.9538$  and  $P(\text{BIN}(60, 1/2) \leq 23) = 0.4623$ . Thus,  $(X_{24:60}, X_{37:60}) = (5.22, 5.25)$  is the desired interval.

(c)  $P(X_{k:n} \leq x_p) = P(\text{BIN}(n, p) \geq k) = 1 - P(\text{BIN}(n, p) \leq k - 1)$ , so  $X_{k:60}$  is a 95% lower confidence limit for  $x_{0.25}$  if  $P(\text{BIN}(60, 0.25) \leq k - 1) \leq 0.05$ . But  $k = 10$  is the largest  $k$  for which this holds. Since  $X_{10:60} = 5.13$ , 5.13 is a 95% LCB for  $x_{0.25}$ .

17. (a) Pearson's  $r$  is the statistic at the top of page 487. The approximate  $t$  distribution is stated at the bottom of page 488. We can compute  $r = 0.1646$  and  $\sqrt{n - 2}r/\sqrt{1 - r^2} = 0.4720$ . This does not exceed  $t_{0.95}(8) = 1.860$  in absolute value, so we fail to reject  $H_0$ , assuming a two-sided alternative.

(b) We apply Pearson's  $r$  to the ranks (using average ranks in the case of a tie) to get Spearman's  $R_s$ , and  $R_s = 0.2080$ . (Remark: Most students got 0.215 using the formula involving  $\sum d_i^2$ , but that formula was derived assuming the ranks are  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . In this case we had ties, so that assumption was not met.) We fail to reject  $H_0$  at the  $\alpha = 0.10$  level by Table 14 (the  $p$ -value is between 0.560 and 0.584, double the values in the table, because the test is two-sided). The large-sample approximation is  $\sqrt{n - 2}R_s/\sqrt{1 - R_s^2} = 0.6013$ . Again we fail to reject  $H_0$  since  $t_{0.95}(8) = 1.860$ .

19.  $R_s = 0.636363$ , which has  $p$ -value  $0.027 < 0.10$  by Table 14. Alternatively  $\sqrt{n - 2}R_s/\sqrt{1 - R_s^2} = 2.3333 > t_{0.90}(8) = 1.397$ . In either case we reject  $H_0$ .

21. See Example 14.8.3. We find that  $n = 23$  and  $\sum d_i^2 = 2703$ , so  $R_s = -0.3355$ . This gives  $t = \sqrt{n - 2}R_s/\sqrt{1 - R_s^2} = -1.6319$ . This is less than  $-t_{0.90}(21) = -1.323$ , so we reject  $H_0$  and conclude there is a downward trend.