# Lectures on differential equations in complex domains

Dragan Miličić Department of Mathematics University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Notes for a graduate course in real and complex analysis Winter 1989

# I. Differential equations

**1.** Existence and uniqueness of solutions. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $a_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ . We consider the following homogeneous differential equation of order n

$$\frac{d^{n}y}{dz^{n}} + a_{1}\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}} + \ldots + a_{n-1}\frac{dy}{dz} + a_{n}y = 0$$

on  $\Omega$ . Let y be a solution of this differential equation in  $\Omega$ , and define  $Y: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$Y_1 = y, \ Y_2 = \frac{dy}{dz}, \dots, \ Y_n = \frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = \begin{pmatrix} y'\\y''\\\vdots\\y^{(n-1)}\\y^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y'\\y''\\\vdots\\y^{(n-1)}\\-a_1y^{(n-1)}-a_2y^{(n-2)}-\dots-a_{n-1}y'-a_ny \end{pmatrix} = AY$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \dots & -a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, Y is a solution of the first order system of differential equations

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in  $\Omega$ . Clearly, if Z is a solution of this system, its first component is a solution of our differential equation.

Therefore, we established the following simple result.

1.1. LEMMA. The mapping  $y \mapsto Y$  is a linear bijection from the vector space of all solutions of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^{n}y}{dz^{n}} + a_{1}\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}} + \dots + a_{n-1}\frac{dy}{dz} + a_{n}y = 0$$
1

in  $\Omega$ , onto the space of all solutions of the first order system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in  $\Omega$ .

Therefore instead of studying the space of all solutions of the differential equation, we can study a more general problem of studying the solutions of the first order system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

where  $A: \Omega \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is an arbitrary holomorphic map.

The main result we want to prove is the following theorem.

1.2. THEOREM. Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected region in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and  $A : \Omega \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  a holomorphic map. For any  $Y_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  there exists a unique holomorphic function  $Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in  $\Omega$ , and

$$Y(z_0) = Y_0.$$

Therefore, the linear mapping  $Y \mapsto Y(z_0)$  is an isomorphism of the linear space of all solutions of this system in  $\Omega$  onto  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular we have the following consequence.

1.3. COROLLARY. The linear space of all solutions of the system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in a simply connected domain  $\Omega$  is n-dimensional.

By 1, these results have their analogues for  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order differential equations.

1.4. THEOREM. Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected region in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $z_0 \in \Omega$ . For any complex numbers  $y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n$  there exists a unique holomorphic function  $y \in H(\Omega)$  such that

$$\frac{d^{n}y}{dz^{n}} + a_{1}\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}} + \ldots + a_{n-1}\frac{dy}{dz} + a_{n}y = 0$$

in  $\Omega$ , and

$$y(z_0) = y_0, \ y'(z_0) = y_1, \dots, y^{(n-1)} = y_{n-1}.$$

1.5. COROLLARY. The linear space of all solutions of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^{n}y}{dz^{n}} + a_{1}\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}} + \dots + a_{n-1}\frac{dy}{dz} + a_{n}y = 0$$

in a simply connected domain  $\Omega$  is n-dimensional.

Now we shall prove 2. Let  $D = D(z_0, R)$  be a disk centered at  $z_0$  and contained in  $\Omega$ . We shall first consider the solutions on D. Since A is holomorphic on D we can represent it by its Taylor series:

$$A(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} B_p (z - z_0)^p$$

where  $B_p \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The solution Y of our system on D should also be represented by its Taylor series

$$Y(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} T_p (z - z_0)^p$$

with  $T_p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The differential equation

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

now leads to

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} pT_p (z-z_0)^{p-1} = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} B_r (z-z_0)^r\right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} T_s (z-z_0)^s\right)$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} B_r T_s (z-z_0)^{r+s} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^m B_{m-k} T_k\right) (z-z_0)^m$$

on D. By changing the index in the first sum we get

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1)T_{m+1}(z-z_0)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^m B_{m-k}T_k\right)(z-z_0)^m$$

on D, which implies that

$$(m+1)T_{m+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} B_{m-k}T_k$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Therefore,

$$T_{m+1} = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} B_{m-k} T_k$$

are the recursion relations for the coefficients. Since  $T_0 = Y(z_0) = Y_0$ , and each  $T_{m+1}$  is expressed by these formulas in terms of  $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_m$ , we see that  $Y_0$  uniquely determines the coefficients in the expansion. Therefore, the solution Y on D is uniquely determined by its value at  $z_0$ . This in turn implies the same assertion for solutions in  $\Omega$ . This completes the uniqueness part of the proof.

To show the existence on D, it is enough to show that the formal series

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} T_p (z-z_0)^p$$

converges on D, for any initial condition  $T_0 = Y_0$ . We shall prove this by Cauchy's *majorization method*. For any matrix C we denote by ||C|| the maximum of absolute values of its matrix coefficients. Assume that

$$\|B_p\| \le b_p,$$

for some  $b_p \ge 0$ , for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Consider the power series

$$a(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p (z - z_0)^p$$

and assume that it converges on some  $D' = D(z_0, r)$  with  $r \leq R$ . Then we can consider the first order differential equation

$$\frac{dy(z)}{dz} = na(z)y(z)$$

on D'. For any  $z \in D'$  denote by  $[z_0, z]$  the oriented segment connecting  $z_0$  with z. Then

$$F: z \longmapsto \int_{[z_0, z]} a(w) \, dw$$

is a holomorphic function in D' and

$$\frac{dF}{dz} = a(z)$$

for  $z \in D'$ . This implies that the function

$$y = \|Y_0\| e^{n \int_{[z_0, z]} a(w) \, dw}$$

is holomorphic in D',

$$y(z_0) = ||Y_0||$$

and

$$\frac{dy}{dz} = \|Y_0\| e^{n \int_{[z_0, z]} a(w) \, dw} na(z) = na(z)y.$$

Therefore, y is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{dy(z)}{dz} = na(z)y(z), \ y(z_0) = ||Y_0||.$$

Assume that

$$y(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} t_p (z - z_0)^p$$

is the Taylor series of y. Then we get the recursion relations

$$t_{m+1} = \frac{n}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_{m-k} t_k$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Since all  $b_p$  are non-negative,  $t_0 \ge 0$  implies by induction in m that  $t_m \ge 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . On the other hand, we see by induction that

 $||T_p|| \le t_p$ 

for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . First, by definition this is true for m = 0. If  $p \ge 0$ , we have

$$\|T_{p+1}\| = \frac{1}{p+1} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{p} B_{p-k} T_k \right\| \le \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{p} \|B_{p-k} T_k\| \le \frac{n}{p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{p} \|B_{p-k}\| \|T_k\| \le \frac{n}{p+1} \sum_{k=0}^{p} b_{p-k} t_k = t_{p+1}$$

what completes the argument.

This estimate implies that the radius of convergence of the power series

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} T_p (z - z_0)^p$$

is at least equal to r. Therefore, it converges in D'.

Hence, to show the existence of solutions on a disk around  $z_0$  it is enough to find a "good" majorization. For example, for any r < R, the function  $z \mapsto ||A(z)||$  is bounded on D'. Fix r < R and M > 0 such that  $||A(z)|| \le M$ . By the Cauchy estimates, we have

$$\|B_p\| \le \frac{M}{r^p}$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence, we can take  $b_p = \frac{M}{r^p}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$$a(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p (z - z_0)^p = M \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z - z_0}{r}\right)^p = \frac{M}{1 - \frac{z - z_0}{r}} = \frac{Mr}{r - (z - z_0)},$$

for  $z \in D'$ . Therefore, the power series

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} T_p (z-z_0)^p$$

converges in D'. Since r < R was arbitrary, we finally conclude that this power series converges in D. This completes the proof of the theorem for D.

It remains to prove the existence for  $\Omega$ . This follows from the monodromy theorem. Let  $z \in \Omega$  be arbitrary and let  $\gamma : [a, b] \to \Omega$  be a path connecting  $z_0$  with z. Since  $\gamma^*$  is compact, there exists R > 0 such that all open disks of radius R with center in  $\gamma^*$  lie in  $\Omega$ . Also, we can find a finite family  $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n$  of disks of radius  $\frac{R}{2}$ , such that the center  $z_j$  of  $D_j$  is in  $D_{j-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , and  $z_n = z$ . Since the disk of radius R centered at  $z_j$  contains  $D_{j-1}$ , by the previous result, we can find find solutions  $Z_0, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n$  of our system on disks  $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n$  such that

- (i)  $Z_0(z_0) = Y_0;$
- (ii) the function element  $(Z_j, D_j)$  is a direct continuation of the element  $(Z_{j-1}, D_{j-1})$  for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Therefore  $(Z_0, D_0)$  allows analytic continuation along  $\gamma$ . Since  $\Omega$  is simply connected, by the monodromy theorem  $Z_0$  extends to a holomorphic map from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Also, it is evident that this map is a solution of our system. This completes the proof of 2.

**2. Fundamental matrix.** Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $A : \Omega \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  a holomorphic map and

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

a first order system in  $\Omega$ . Fix a base point  $z_0$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i. e.

$$e_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ e_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \dots, e_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\\vdots\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ e_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\\vdots\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, by 2, we can find solutions  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{n-1}, S_n$  of our system in  $\Omega$  satisfying the following initial conditions

$$S_1(z_0) = e_1, \ S_2(z_0) = e_2, \ \dots, \ S_{n-1}(z_0) = e_{n-1}, \ S_n(z_0) = e_n.$$

Let  $S : \Omega \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the holomorphic function such that its columns are  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ . Then S satisfies the differential equation

 $\frac{dS}{dz} = AS$ 

in  $\Omega$ , and

$$S(z_0) = I,$$

where  $I \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the identity matrix. Clearly, by 1.2, S is uniquely determined by these properties. We call S the *fundamental matrix* of the system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in  $\Omega$  for the base point  $z_0$ .

Evidently, the solution Y of our system for the initial condition  $Y(z_0) = Y_0$  is given by

 $Y(z) = S(z)Y_0$ 

for  $z \in \Omega$ . The columns  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$  of S are linearly independent solutions of our system. Hence, by 1.3, they form a basis of the vector space of all solutions in  $\Omega$ . By 1.2, their evaluations  $S_1(z), S_2(z), \ldots, S_n(z)$  are linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ . In other words, we have the following result.

2.1. PROPOSITION. Let S be the fundamental matrix of the system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

in  $\Omega$ . Then  $S(z) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ .

Actually, we can calculate the determinant of the fundamental matrix S. Let

$$\Delta(z) = \det S(z)$$

for  $z \in \Omega$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$  and  $\Delta(z_0) = 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the permutation group of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , and  $\epsilon : \mathfrak{S}_n \to \{-1, 1\}$  the parity homomorphism. Then

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) S_{2\sigma(2)}(z) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z)$$

for any  $z \in \Omega$ . Hence, we have

$$\frac{d\Delta(z)}{dz} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) \frac{d}{dz} \left( S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) S_{2\sigma(2)}(z) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z) \right) 
= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) \dots S_{i-1\sigma(i-1)}(z) \frac{dS_{i\sigma(i)}(z)}{dz} S_{i+1\sigma(i+1)}(z) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z) \right) 
= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) \dots S_{i-1\sigma(i-1)}(z) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}(z) S_{k\sigma(i)}(z) \right) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z) \right) 
= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}(z) \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) \dots S_{i-1\sigma(i-1)}(z) S_{k\sigma(i)}(z) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z) \right).$$

If  $k \neq i$  the inner sum represents the expression for the determinant with equal  $i^{\text{th}}$  and  $k^{\text{th}}$  rows. Therefore, these terms vanish and we get

$$\frac{d\Delta(z)}{dz} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}(z) \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) S_{1\sigma(1)}(z) \dots S_{i-1\sigma(i-1)}(z) S_{i\sigma(i)}(z) S_{i+1\sigma(i+1)}(z) \dots S_{n\sigma(n)}(z) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}(z) \det S(z) = \operatorname{Tr} A(z) \Delta(z).$$

2.2. Lemma. The determinant  $\Delta$  of the fundamental matrix S of the system

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dz} = \operatorname{Tr} A \,\Delta$$

in  $\Omega$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is simply connected, the integral along a path  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  connecting  $z_0$  to z

$$\int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Tr} A(w) \, dw$$

doesn't depend on the choice of  $\gamma$ . Hence we can put

$$\int_{z_0}^{z} \operatorname{Tr} A(w) \, dw = \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Tr} A(w) \, dw.$$

This integral is a holomorphic function of z, and

$$\frac{d}{dz} \int_{z_0}^z \operatorname{Tr} A(w) \, dw = \operatorname{Tr} A(z)$$

for  $z \in \Omega$ . Therefore,

$$\Delta(z) = e^{\int_{z_0}^z \operatorname{Tr} A(w) \, dw}$$

for  $z \in \Omega$ .

#### II. Systems with regular singularities

1. Functions of moderate growth. Let  $D = D(0, R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < R\}$  be the disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  of radius R centered at 0. Denote by  $D^* = D - \{0\}$  the corresponding punctured disk. Let  $\tilde{D}^*$  be the universal cover of  $D^*$  and  $p: \tilde{D}^* \to D^*$  the corresponding projection. We can realize  $\tilde{D}^*$  as the half-plane  $\{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } t < \log R\}$  and  $p(t) = e^t$ . Fix a base point  $z_0$ in  $D^*$  and  $t_0 \in \tilde{D}^*$  such that  $p(t_0) = z_0$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the map  $T_m: \tilde{D}^* \to \tilde{D}^*$ by  $T_m(t) = t + 2\pi i m$  for  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Then  $p(T_m(t)) = p(t)$  for any  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ , and  $m \mapsto T_m$  is the map of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(D^*) = \mathbb{Z}$  into the group of deck transformations of  $\tilde{D}^*$ .

By abuse of language, we call holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{D}^*$  "multivalued" holomorphic functions on  $D^*$ . Holomorphic functions f on  $D^*$  correspond in this identification to functions of the form  $\tilde{f} = f \circ p$ .

Let  $C = \{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r < R, \ \theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_1\}$  be a sector of  $D^*$  for some  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta_1 - \theta_0 < 2\pi$ . We say that a function f on C has moderate growth at 0 if there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ , c > 0 and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$|f(z)| \le c \frac{1}{|z|^k}$$

for  $z \in C$  and  $|z| \leq \epsilon$ . A holomorphic function on  $D^*$  has moderate growth at 0 if and only if it has at most a pole at 0.

The strip  $\tilde{C} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} t < \log R, \theta_0 \leq \operatorname{Im} t \leq \theta_1\} \subset \tilde{D}^*$  evenly covers C. We say that a "multivalued" holomorphic function f on  $D^*$  has moderate growth at 0 if all its restrictions to such strips  $\tilde{C}$  are pulbacks of functions of moderate growth on sectors C. Examples of such functions are:  $z^{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  — it is actually the function  $e^{\alpha t}$  on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^* = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\log z$  — it is actually the function t on  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^* = \mathbb{C}$ .

The following result is evident.

1.1. LEMMA. All "multivalued" holomorphic functions of moderate growth on  $D^*$  form a ring.

Since  $\tilde{D}^*$  is simply connected, any holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}^*$  is derivative of some other holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}^*$ . This implies that for any "multivalued" holomorphic function f on  $D^*$  there exists a "multivalued" holomorphic function g on  $D^*$  such that  $z \frac{dg}{dz} = f$ .

1.2. LEMMA. Let f be a "multivalued" holomorphic function on  $D^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) f has moderate growth at 0;
- (ii)  $z \frac{df}{dz}$  has moderate growth at 0.

PROOF. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) If f has moderate growth at 0, this means that the corresponding function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\tilde{D}^*$  satisfies

$$|\tilde{f}(t)| \le ce^{-k\operatorname{Re}t}$$

on each strip  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be small and  $\tilde{C}'$  the strip corresponding to the sector  $C' = \{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r < e^{-\epsilon}R, \ \theta_0 + \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \theta_1 - \epsilon\}$ . By Cauchy estimates applied to the circle of

radius  $\epsilon$  around  $t\in \tilde{C}'$  we see that

$$\left|\frac{d\tilde{f}}{dt}\right| \le \frac{c}{\epsilon} e^{-k(\operatorname{Re} t+\epsilon)} \le c' e^{-k\operatorname{Re} t},$$

hence

$$\left|z\frac{df}{dz}\right| \le c'\frac{1}{|z|^k}$$

on C'. Since C and  $\epsilon$  were arbitrary,  $z \frac{df}{dz}$  has moderate growth at 0. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) In this case, we have  $z \frac{df}{dz}$  has moderate growth at 0, i. e.

$$\left|\frac{d\tilde{f}}{dt}\right| \le ce^{-k\operatorname{Re} t}$$

on  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $t_0, t_1 \in \tilde{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} t_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} t_1$  and  $\operatorname{Im} t_0 = \operatorname{Im} t_1$ . Integrating along the line  $\gamma$  connecting  $t_0$  with  $t_1$  we get

$$\left|\tilde{f}(t_1) - \tilde{f}(t_0)\right| \le \left|\int_{\gamma} \frac{d\tilde{f}}{dt} dt\right| \le c \int_{\operatorname{Re} t_0}^{\operatorname{Re} t_1} e^{-ks} ds = \frac{c}{k} \left(e^{-k\operatorname{Re} t_0} - e^{-k\operatorname{Re} t_1}\right)\right|$$

By leaving  $\operatorname{Re} t_1$  fixed we get

$$|\tilde{f}(t_0)| \le c e^{-k \operatorname{Re} t_0}$$

for sufficiently large c > 0 and  $t_0 \in \tilde{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} t_0$  sufficiently negative. This implies that f has moderate growth at 0.  $\Box$ 

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We define

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k.$$

Then,  $t \mapsto e^{tA}$  is a holomorphic map from  $\mathbb{C}$  into  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Clearly,

$$\frac{de^{tA}}{dt} = Ae^{tA} = e^{tA}A$$

Moreover, if  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is another matrix commuting with A, we have

$$e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$$

Let  $N \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a nilpotent matrix such that  $N^n = 0$  and  $N^{n-1} \neq 0$ . Then N is equivalent to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i. e. the matrix  $\lambda I + N$  is equivalent to the Jordan cell matrix with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Now

$$e^{t(\lambda I+N)} = e^{\lambda t} e^{tN} = e^{\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} t^k N^k = e^{\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} t^k N^k,$$

i. e. the matrix coefficients of this matrix are linear combinations of functions of the form  $t^k e^{\lambda t}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Since every matrix is equivalent to a direct sum of Jordan cell matrices, we conclude that the matrix coefficients of  $e^{tA}$  are linear combinations of functions of the form  $t^k e^{\lambda t}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A.

We can view  $e^{tA}$  as a "multivalued" holomorphic map  $z^A$  from  $\mathbb{C}^*$  into  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Its matrix coefficients are linear combinations of "multivalued" holomorphic functions  $z^{\lambda} \log^k z$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A. This immediately implies that  $z^A$  has moderate growth at 0.

2. First order systems on a punctured disk. Let  $A : D^* \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a holomorphic map. We consider the system of first order differential equations

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU \tag{1}$$

on  $D^*$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected open neighborhood of  $z_0$  in  $D^*$ , and let  $\Omega$  be the simply connected neighbourhood of  $t_0$  which evenly covers  $\Omega$ . Then any local solution Y of (1) in  $\Omega$  lifts to the holomorphis function  $\tilde{Y} = Y \circ p$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Since Y can be analytically continued along any path in  $D^*$ , the monodromy theorem implies that  $\tilde{Y}$  extends to a holomorphic function in  $\tilde{D}^*$ . In particular, this implies that the lifting  $\tilde{S}$  of the fundamental matrix Sof (1) in  $\Omega$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\tilde{D}^*$ . We denote it by the same letter. Therefore, the restrictions of function  $t \mapsto (\tilde{S} \circ T_1)(t) = \tilde{S}(t + 2\pi i)$  to  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is a lifting of a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$  which satisfies the same differential equation as S. Hence,  $t \mapsto \tilde{S}(t + 2\pi i)\tilde{S}(t_0 + 2\pi i)^{-1}$  is the lifting of a function satisfying the same differential equation as S and also has the value  $\tilde{S}(t_0 + 2\pi i)\tilde{S}(t_0 + 2\pi i)^{-1} = I$  at  $t_0$ . Therefore, it is the lifting to  $\tilde{\Omega}$  of S on  $\Omega$ . This implies that

$$\tilde{S}(t) = \tilde{S}(t + 2\pi i)\tilde{S}(t_0 + 2\pi i)^{-1}$$

for any  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{S}(t+2\pi i) = \tilde{S}(t)\tilde{S}(t_0+2\pi i)$$

for any  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Let  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be such that

$$M = \tilde{S}(t_0 + 2\pi i) = e^{2\pi i R}$$

The matrix M is called the *monodromy* of (1). Then, consider the function  $t \mapsto \tilde{S}(t)e^{-tR}$ . Then  $\tilde{S}(t+2\pi i)e^{-(t+2\pi i)R} = \tilde{S}(t)\tilde{S}(t+2\pi i)e^{-2\pi iR}e^{-tR} = \tilde{S}(t)e^{-tR}$ 

$$\tilde{S}(t+2\pi i)e^{-(t+2\pi i)R} = \tilde{S}(t)\tilde{S}(t_0+2\pi i)e^{-2\pi iR}e^{-tR} = \tilde{S}(t)e^{-tR}$$

for all  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Therefore, this function is invariant under deck transformations. It follows that there exists a holomorphic map  $P: D^* \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\tilde{S}(t)e^{-tR} = P(e^t)$$

for all  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Since the fundamental matrix is always a regular matrix, P is actually taking values in  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Hence,

$$\tilde{S}(t) = P(e^t)e^{tR}$$

for all  $t \in \tilde{D}^*$ . Formally we write that the "multivalued" function S on  $D^*$  is given as

$$S(z) = P(z)z^R.$$

Therefore we proved the following result.

2.1. PROPOSITION. Let M be the monodromy of the system (1). Then for any  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $M = e^{2\pi i R}$ , there exists a holomorphic map  $P : D^* \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$S(z) = P(z)z^R.$$

This result has the following consequence.

2.2. COROLLARY. There exists a "multivalued" solution of the system (1) of the form  $z^{\alpha}F(z)$  where  $F: D^* \to \mathbb{C}^n$  is a holomorphic map and  $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$  is an eigenvalue of the monodromy matrix M.

PROOF. Let  $M = e^{2\pi i R}$  for some  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let v be an eigenvector of R and denote by  $\alpha$  its eigenvalue. Then  $z^R v = z^{\alpha} v$ , hence

$$S(z)v = P(z)z^R v = z^{\alpha}P(z)v = z^{\alpha}F(z). \quad \Box$$

Now we study an example which will play a critical role later. Let  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Consider

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}V.$$
(2)

on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

2.3. Lemma.

(i) The fundamental matrix of (2) is given by

$$S(z) = C_0 z^R$$

where  $C_0$  is a constant regular matrix.

(ii) The monodromy of (2) is given by

$$M = e^{2\pi i R}.$$

PROOF. (i) Clearly,

$$\frac{dC_0 z^R}{dz} = C_0 \frac{dz^R}{dz} = C_0 \frac{R}{z} z^R$$

If we put  $C_0 = z_0^{-R} = e^{-t_0 R}$ ,  $C_0$  commutes with R. Hence, we have

$$\frac{dS}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}C_0 z^R = \frac{R}{z}S$$

and

$$S(z_0) = C_0 z_0^R = I.$$

(ii) We have

$$S(z_0 e^{2\pi i}) = C_0 z_0^R e^{2\pi i R} = e^{2\pi i R},$$

which implies that  $M = e^{2\pi i R}$  is the monodromy of (2).  $\Box$ 

Let R' be another matrix such that  $M = e^{2\pi i R'}$ . Then 1. implies that the fundamental matrix of (2) can be written as  $P(z)z^{R'}$ . This implies that there exists a holomorphic function  $Q: \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$z^R = Q(z)z^{R'}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Since the matrix coefficients of  $z^R$  and  $z^{R'}$  are functions of moderate growth at 0 we conclude that Q is of moderate growth at 0, i. e. it has at most a pole at 0. This implies that

$$z^{-R} = Q\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)z^{-R'}$$

and again  $z \mapsto Q(\frac{1}{z})$  is of moderate growth at 0. Therefore, it has at most a pole at 0. It follows that the matrix coefficients of Q are rational functions with possible poles at 0, i. e. they are linear combinations of powers of z.

If we differentiate the equality

$$Q(z) = z^R z^{-R'},$$

we get

$$\frac{dQ}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}Q - Q\frac{R'}{z}$$

Hence, we have the following result.

2.4. LEMMA. Let  $R, R' \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $e^{2\pi i R} = e^{2\pi i R'}$ . Then there exists a map  $Q: \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  with the following properties:

(i) the matrix coefficients of Q are linear combinations of powers of z;

(ii)

$$\frac{dQ}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}Q - Q\frac{R'}{z}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

**3.** Systems with regular singularities. We consider the system of differential equations (1) on  $D^*$ . We say that this system is *equivalent* to the system

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = BV,\tag{3}$$

where  $B: D^* \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is holomorphic, if there is a holomorphic map  $\Phi: D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with at most a pole at 0 satisfying the differential equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dz} = B\Phi - \Phi A$$

on  $D^*$ .

We claim that this relation is an equivalence relation. First we remark that the formula for inverse of a matrix implies that  $\Phi^{-1} : z \mapsto \Phi(z)^{-1}$  is a holomorphic map from  $D^*$  into  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and that it has at most a pole at 0. Also, by differentiating the relation  $\Phi(z)\Phi(z)^{-1} = I$  we get that

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dz}\Phi^{-1} = -\Phi\frac{d\Phi^{-1}}{dz}$$

which implies that

$$\Phi \frac{d\Phi^{-1}}{dz} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dz} \Phi^{-1} = -B + \Phi A \Phi^{-1} = \Phi (A \Phi^{-1} - \Phi^{-1} B),$$

and

$$\frac{d\Phi^{-1}}{dz} = A\Phi^{-1} - \Phi^{-1}B$$

on  $D^*$ . This implies that our relation is symmetric.

Assume that  $C: D^* \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a holomorphic map and consider the system

$$\frac{dW}{dz} = CW.$$
(4)

Assume that it is equivalent to the second system, i. e. that there exists a a holomorphic map  $\Psi: D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  with at most a pole at 0 satisfying the differential equation

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = C\Psi - \Psi B$$

on  $D^*$ . Then the map  $\Psi \Phi: D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  has at most a pole at 0 and

$$\frac{d\Psi\Phi}{dz} = \frac{d\Psi}{dz}\Phi + \Psi\frac{d\Phi}{dz} = (C\Psi - \Psi B)\Phi + \Psi(B\Phi - \Phi A) = C\Psi\Phi - \Psi\Phi A.$$

Therefore, our relation is also transitive.

To se the actual meaning of this equivalence relation, assume that Y is a solution of the first system on an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $D^*$ , i. e.

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = AY$$

on U. Then

$$\frac{d\Phi Y}{dz} = \frac{d\Phi}{dz}Y + \Phi\frac{dY}{dz} = (B\Phi - \Phi A)Y + \Phi AY = B\Phi Y$$

i. e.  $\Phi Y$  is a solution of the second system on  $\Omega$ . Therefore, the systems are equivalent if there exists a holomorphic map  $\Phi : D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  with at most pole at 0 which maps solutions of one system into the solutions of the other system.

Now we can reformulate the result of 2.3. and 2.4.

3.1. LEMMA. Let  $R, R' \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $e^{2\pi i R} = e^{2\pi i R'}$ . Then the systems

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}U$$

and

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R'}{z}V$$

on  $D^*$  are equivalent, and their monodromy is

$$M = e^{2\pi i R} = e^{2\pi i R'}.$$

Consider now two equivalent systems

4

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU$$

and

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = BV$$

on  $D^*$ . Assume that  $\Phi: D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  gives the equivalence. If  $S_A$  is the fundamental matrix of the first system,

$$S_B(z) = \Phi(z)S_A(z)\Phi(z_0)^{-1}$$

is the fundamental matrix of the second system. Really,

$$S_B(z_0) = \Phi(z_0)S_A(z_0)\Phi(z_0)^{-1} = \Phi(z_0)\Phi(z_0)^{-1} = I$$

and

$$\frac{dS_B(z)}{dz} = \frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz} S_A(z)\Phi(z_0)^{-1} + \Phi(z)\frac{dS_A(z)}{dz}\Phi(z_0)^{-1}$$
  
=  $(B(z)\Phi(z) - \Phi(z)A(z))S_A(z)\Phi(z_0)^{-1} + \Phi(z)A(z)S_A(z)\Phi(z_0)^{-1}$   
=  $B(z)\Phi(z)S_A(z)\Phi(z_0)^{-1} = B(z)S_B(z),$ 

what proves our assertion. This implies that the monodromy  $M_B$  of the second system is equal to

$$M_B = S_B(z_0 e^{2\pi i}) = \Phi(z_0) S_A(z_0 e^{2\pi i}) \Phi(z_0)^{-1} = \Phi(z_0) M_A \Phi(z_0)^{-1}$$

where  $M_A$  is the monodromy of the first system. Therefore, we proved the following result.

### 3.2. PROPOSITION. Equivalent systems on $D^*$ have equivalent monodromies.

Therefore, there is a well-defined map, given by the monodromy map, from the equivalence classes of first order systems of rank n on  $D^*$  into conjugacy classes in  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

We say that a system

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU,$$

where  $A: D^* \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a holomorphic map, has a *regular singularity* at 0 if all its "multivalued" solutions have moderate growth at 0. For example, by 2.3. the system

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}V$$

has a regular singularity at 0.

3.3. LEMMA. Let

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU$$

be a system on  $D^*$  with regular singularity at 0. Then any system equivalent to it also has a regular singularity at 0.

PROOF. Let

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = BV$$

be a system equivalent to the first one. Then there exists a function  $\Phi: D^* \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with at most a pole at 0 such that all solutions of the second system have the form  $\Phi U$ , for a solution U of the first system. Since  $\Phi$  has moderate growth at 0, this implies that all solutions of the second systems have moderate growth at 0.  $\Box$ 

Therefore, having regular singularity at 0 is a property which depends on the equivalence class only.

3.4. THEOREM. Let

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU$$

be a system on  $D^*$  with a regular singularity at 0. Let M be its monodromy and  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that  $M = e^{2\pi i R}$ . Then this system is equivalent to the system

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}V$$

PROOF. Let S be the fundamental matrix of this system. By 2.1. it has the form  $S(z) = P(z)z^R$ . Since our system has regular singularity at 0, its fundamental matrix has moderate growth at 0. Hence,  $P(z) = S(z)z^{-R}$  has at most a pole at 0. Also

$$\frac{dP(z)}{dz} = \frac{dS(z)}{dz}z^{-R} + S(z)\frac{dz^{-R}}{dz} = A(z)S(z)z^{-R} - S(z)\frac{R}{z}z^{-R} = A(z)P(z) - P(z)\frac{R}{z}z^{-R}$$

and our systems are equivalent.  $\Box$ 

An immediate consequence is the following fundamental result.

3.5. THEOREM. The monodromy map defines a bijection between equivalence classes of systems of rank n on  $D^*$  with regular singularity at 0 and the conjugacy classes in  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

PROOF. Let  $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $e^{2\pi i R} = M$ . By a previous remark the system

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}V$$

has a regular singularity at 0. By 2.3. its monodromy is equal to M. Therefore, the map is surjective.

By the preceding theorem and 2.3, every system of rank n on  $D^*$  with a regular singularity at 0 is equivalent to a system of this form with the same monodromy. Therefore it is enough to show that the systems

$$\frac{dV}{dz} = \frac{R}{z}V$$

and

$$\frac{dW}{dz} = \frac{R'}{z}W,$$

such that their monodromies  $M = e^{2\pi i R}$  and  $M' = e^{2\pi i R'}$  belong to the same conjugacy class in  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , are equivalent. Assume that  $M' = TMT^{-1}$  with  $T \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then the second system is equivalent to the system

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = \frac{T^{-1}R'T}{z}U$$

with monodromy  $e^{2\pi T^{-1}R'T} = T^{-1}e^{2\pi i R'}T = T^{-1}M'T = M$ . By 3.1. it follows that this system is equivalent to the first one.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we want to prove the following useful criterion for a system to have a regular singularity at 0.

3.6. THEOREM. Let

$$z\frac{dU}{dz} = AU$$

be a system on  $D^*$  with a holomorphic map  $A : D \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then this system has a regular singularity at 0.

**PROOF.** By shrinking D a bit we can assume that ||A(z)|| is bounded on D.

Let U be a solution of this system in a sector defined by  $C = \{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < r < R, \theta_0 \le \theta \le \theta_1\}$  for some  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta_1 - \theta_0 < 2\pi$ . Then  $\tilde{C} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re } t < \log R, \theta_0 \le \text{Im } t \le \theta_1\} \subset \tilde{D}^*$  evenly covers C. Therefore we can pull U to a holomorphic function  $U \circ p$  with walues in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $U_j$  be the  $j^{\text{th}}$  component of U. Then, if we put s = Re t, we have

$$\frac{\partial(U_j \circ p)}{\partial s} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{d(U_j \circ p)}{dt} \bigg| = \bigg| \frac{dU_j}{dz} e^t \bigg| = \bigg| z \frac{dU_j}{dz} \bigg| = \bigg| \sum_{k=1}^n A_{jk}(z) U_k(z) \bigg| \le M \|U(z)\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\frac{\partial |U_j \circ p|^2}{\partial s}\right| = \left|\frac{\partial (U_j \circ p)}{\partial s}\overline{(U_j \circ p)} + (U_j \circ p)\frac{\partial \overline{(U_j \circ p)}}{\partial s}\right|$$
$$= 2\left|\frac{\partial (U_j \circ p)}{\partial s}\right| \cdot |U_j \circ p| \le M ||U(z)||^2 \le M \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |U_j \circ p|^2\right).$$

If we put

$$F = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |U_j \circ p|^2$$

we get

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}\right| \le nMF$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial \log F}{\partial s} \right| \le nM.$$

This implies that

$$-nM \le \frac{\partial \log F}{\partial s} \le nM,$$

and by integration from  $s_0$  to  $s_1, s_0 \leq s_1$ , we get

$$-nM(s_1 - s_0) \le \log F(s_1 + i\theta) - \log F(s_0 + i\theta) \le nM(s_1 - s_0),$$

i. e.

$$\left|\log F(s_1 + i\theta) - \log F(s_0 + i\theta)\right| \le nM|s_1 - s_0|$$

for all  $s_0 + i\theta, s_1 + i\theta \in \tilde{C}$ . Hence, if we fix  $s_1$  we get

$$\left|\log F(s_0 + i\theta)\right| \le nM|s_0| + M',$$

uniformly in  $\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_1$ , for sufficiently large M' > 0. This implies that

$$\log F(t) \le -nM \operatorname{Re} t + M'$$

for  $t \in \tilde{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} t \leq 0$ . For some sufficiently large c > 0, we finally have

$$0 \le F(t) \le c \left| e^{-nMt} \right|$$

for all  $t \in \tilde{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} t \leq 0$ . Hence, near 0 in C we have

$$\|U(z)\| \le d\frac{1}{|z|^k}$$

for some sufficiently large d > 0 and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . This implies that U is of moderate growth at 0.  $\Box$ 

4. Fuchs' theorem. Now we want the following remarkable theorem due to Fuchs.

4.1. THEOREM. Let

$$P = a_0 \frac{d^n}{dz^n} + a_1 \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} + \dots + a_{n-1} \frac{d}{dz} + a_n$$

be a differential operator with holomorphic coefficients on D. Assume that  $a_0$  has no zeros in D except maybe at 0. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) all "multivalued" solutions of the differential equation Py = 0 on  $D^*$  have moderate growth at 0;
- (ii) the functions  $\frac{a_k}{a_0}$  have at most a pole of order k at 0 for k = 1, 2, ..., n.

We start the proof with the following remark.

4.2. LEMMA. Let  $D = z \frac{d}{dz}$ . Then (i)

$$D^{n} = z^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dz^{n}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} z^{n-i} \frac{d^{n-i}}{dz^{n-i}}$$

(ii) with  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$z^n \frac{d^n}{dz^n} = D^n + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j D^{n-j}$$

with  $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF. (i) Clearly, the assertion is true for n = 1. Also,  $D(z^k) = kz^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Therefore,

$$D\left(z^k\frac{d^k}{dz^k}\right) = z^{k+1}\frac{d^{k+1}}{dz^{k+1}} + kz^k\frac{d^k}{dz^k}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence, if we assume that the assertion holds for n-1, we get

$$D^{n} = DD^{n-1} = D\left(z^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}c_{i}\frac{d^{n-1-i}}{dz^{n-1-i}}\right)$$
$$= D\left(z^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}c_{i}D\left(\frac{d^{n-1-i}}{dz^{n-1-i}}\right),$$

and the relation follows from the previous formula.

(ii) follows immediately from (i).  $\Box$ 

Therefore, by dividing the differential equation Py = 0 with  $a_0$  and multiplying by  $z^n$ , we get the differential equation

$$z^{n}\frac{d^{n}y}{dz^{n}} + \left(z\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)z^{n-1}\frac{d^{n-1}y}{dz^{n-1}} + \ldots + \left(z^{n-1}\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{0}}\right)z\frac{dy}{dz} + \left(z^{n}\frac{a_{n}}{a_{0}}\right)y = 0.$$

The condition (ii) in 1. is equivalent with the condition that all coefficients  $z^k \frac{a_k}{a_0}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , have removable singularities at 0.

Therefore, 2. implies that if the condition (ii) holds the equation Py = 0 can be written as

$$D^{n}y + b_{1}D^{n-1}y + \ldots + b_{n-1}Dy + b_{n}y = 0$$

where  $b_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, are holomorphic on D. Applying 2. in the opposite direction, we see that if the equaton can be written in this for with holomorphic  $b_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, P satisfies the condition (ii).

Define

$$Y_1 = y, Y_2 = Dy, \dots, Y_n = D^{n-1}y_2$$

and Y as the column vector with components  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ . Then

 $DY_1 = Y_2, DY_2 = Y_3, \dots, DY_{n-1} = Y_n, DY_n = -b_1Y_n - b_2Y_{n-1} - \dots - b_{n-1}Y_2 - b_nY_1,$ i. e.

 $z\frac{dY}{dz} = BY$ 

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -b_n & -b_{n-1} & -b_{n-2} & \dots & -b_2 & -b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By 3.6. this system on  $D^*$  has a regular singularity at 0. Hence, its solutions have moderate growth at 0. This proves that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in 1.

Now we want to prove the converse. The proof is by induction in degree of P. Assume that all solutions of Py = 0 have moderate growth at 0. By 2.2. there exists a "multivalued" solution  $u(z) = z^{\alpha}f(z)$  where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and f is holomorphic on  $D^*$ . Since y has moderate growth at 0, f has at most a pole at 0 and by changing  $\alpha$  we can actually assume that f is holomorphic on D and  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Also, by shrinking D if necessary we can assume in addition that f has no zeros in D.

Assume first that the degree of P is 1. In this case,  $P = D + b_1$ . Therefore,

$$0 = P(u) = D(z^{\alpha}f) + b_1 z^{\alpha}f = \alpha z^{\alpha}D(f) + b_1 z^{\alpha}f = z^{\alpha}(\alpha D(f) + b_1 f).$$

Therefore,

$$b_1 = \alpha \frac{D(f)}{f}$$

and it is holomorphic in D. This proves the assertion in this case.

Consider the differential equation P(uv) = 0 with deg P > 1. Clearly,

$$D(uv) = D(u)v + uD(v),$$

hence by induction

$$D^{k}(uv) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} D^{k-j} u D^{j} v$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . This implies that, if we put  $b_0 = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(uv) &= D^{n}(uv) + b_{1}D^{n-1}(uv) + \ldots + b_{n-1}D(uv) + b_{n}(uv) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n-k}D^{k}(uv) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n-k}\sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j}D^{k-j}u D^{j}v + b_{n}uv = P(u)v + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j}b_{n-k}D^{k-j}u D^{j-1}(Dv) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k+1}{j+1}b_{n-k-1}D^{k-j}u D^{j}(Dv) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \binom{k+1}{j+1}b_{n-k-1}D^{k-j}u\right)D^{j}(Dv) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \binom{n-j-1}{j+1}\binom{p+j+1}{j+1}b_{n-j-1-p}D^{p}u\right)D^{j}(Dv) \end{aligned}$$

after relabeling the indices. Since

$$D(u) = D(z^{\alpha}f) = D(z^{\alpha})f + z^{\alpha}D(f) = \alpha z^{\alpha}f + z^{\alpha}D(f) = z^{\alpha}(\alpha f + D(f))$$

by induction we see that for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we have

$$D^{j}(u) = z^{\alpha}h_{j}$$

where  $h_j$  is holomorphic in D and  $h_0 = f$ . Therefore,

$$P(uv) = z^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-j-1} \binom{p+j+1}{p} b_{n-j-1-p} h_p \right) D^j(Dv) \right)$$

and P(uv) = 0 is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_{n-1-j} D^j (Dv) = 0$$

with  $d_0 = 1$  and

$$d_{k} = \sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{p+n-k}{p}} b_{k-p}h_{p}$$
$$= b_{k}h_{0} + \sum_{p=1}^{k} {\binom{p+n-k}{p}} b_{k-p}h_{p} = b_{k}f + \sum_{p=1}^{k} {\binom{p+n-k}{p}} b_{k-p}h_{p}$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n-1. Therefore, all solutions v of P(uv) = 0 have the form  $z^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{f} y$  where y is a solution of P(y) = 0. By our assumption, all solutions of P(y) = 0 have moderate growth at 0. Therefore, all solutions v of P(uv) = 0 have moderate growth at 0. By 1.2. all functions Dv have also moderate growth at 0. Let w be a "multivalued" solution of the equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_j D^j w = 0,$$

then there exists a "multivalued" holomorphic function v such that Dv = w. Hence, w must have moderate growth at 0. By the induction assumption it follows that the coefficients  $d_k$  are holomorphic in D. By induction in k, this implies that all  $b_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, are holomorphic in  $D^*$ . This completes the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

5. Formal solutions. Let  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  be the ring of formal series, i. e. the ring consisting of series

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p$$

where  $a_p \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_p = 0$  for p sufficiently negative. Clearly, the addition

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p z^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (a_p + b_p) z^p$$

and multiplication by a complex number

$$\lambda\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}a_pz^p\right) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\lambda a_pz^p$$

and the multiplication

$$\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p\right) \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} b_q z^q\right) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{s} a_k b_{s-k}\right) z^s$$

are well-defined operations in  $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ .

Let A be the complex vector space with the basis  $\{z^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . Then we can define a multiplication  $A \times A \to A$  via

$$z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} = z^{\alpha+\beta}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . One can check that this defines a commutative ring structure on A.

Let B be the complex vector space with the basis  $\{\log^k z \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ . Then we can define a multiplication  $B \times B \to B$  via

$$\log^k z \, \log^l z = \log^{k+l} z$$

for any  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . One can check that this defines a commutative ring structure on B.

Now,  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  is a commutative ring. Let I be its ideal generated by elements of the form  $z \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes z$ . The ring

$$L = (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z]])/I$$

is called the ring of *formal logarithmic series*. Elements of L are finite sums of the type

$$\Phi = \sum_{\alpha,k} z^{\alpha} \, \log^k z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k}$$

where  $\Phi_{\alpha,k}$  are formal power series. We say that this expression is *reduced* if  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} \neq 0$ and  $\Phi_{\beta,l} \neq 0$  implies that  $\alpha - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Clearly, every  $\Phi$  can be represented by a reduced expression.

5.1. LEMMA. Let  $\Phi \in L$ . If

$$\Phi = \sum_{\alpha,k} z^{\alpha} \, \log^k z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k}$$

is a reduced expression, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\Phi = 0;$ (ii)  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

PROOF. Clearly, (ii) implies (i).

To prove the converse, first define an automorphism  $\psi$  of A by

$$\psi(z^{\alpha}) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} z^{\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . This automorphism defines an automorphism of the ring  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  which acts as identity on the second and third factor. This automorphisms leaves  $z \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes z$ fixed, hence it leaves I invariant. It follows that it defines an automorphism  $\Psi$  of L which satisfies

$$\Psi(z^{\alpha}\log^k z\Phi) = e^{2\pi i\alpha} z^{\alpha} \log^k z\Phi$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and formal series  $\Phi$ .

Therefore

$$0 = \Phi = \sum_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{k} \log^{k} z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k} \right),$$

and each term in the first sum is an eigenvector of  $\Psi$  for the eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ . Since all of these eigenvalues are mutually different by our assumption, we conclude that

$$\sum_{k} \log^{k} z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k} = 0$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Now we can define an automorphism  $\omega$  of B by

$$\omega(\log z) = c\log z$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ , and extend to an automorphism of the ring  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  which acts as identity on the first and third factor. Again, this automorphism acts as identity on  $z \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes z$ , hence it leaves the ideal I invariant. Therefore, it induces an automorphism  $\Omega$  of L given by

$$\Omega(z^{\alpha}\log^{k} z \Phi) = c^{k} z^{\alpha}\log^{k} z \Phi$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and formal series  $\Phi$ .

Therefore, each term in the sum

$$\sum_{k} \log^{k} z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k} = 0$$

is an eigenvector of  $\Omega$  with eigenvalue  $c^k$ . Since c is a positive number, all its powers are mutually different and  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

We can define the action of  $\frac{d}{dz}$  on L by

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left(z^{\alpha}\log^{k}z\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}a_{p}z^{p}\right) = (\alpha z^{\alpha-1}\log^{k}z + kz^{\alpha-1}\log^{k-1}z)\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}a_{p}z^{p} + z^{\alpha}\log^{k}z\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}pa_{p}z^{p-1}z^{p-$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

5.2. LEMMA. Let  $\Phi$  be a formal logarithmic series such that  $\frac{d\Phi}{dz} = 0$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a constant.

PROOF. Let

$$\Phi = \sum_{\alpha,k} z^{\alpha} \, \log^k z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k}$$

be a reduced expression of  $\Phi$ . In this case,

$$0 = \frac{d\Phi}{dz} = \sum_{\alpha} z^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k} \left( (\alpha \log^{k} z + k \log^{k-1} z) \Phi_{\alpha,k} + z \log^{k} z \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,k}}{dz} \right).$$

By 1, this immediately implies that for each  $\alpha$  we have

$$0 = \sum_{k} \left( (\alpha \log^{k} z + k \log^{k-1} z) \Phi_{\alpha,k} + z \log^{k} z \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,k}}{dz} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k} \log^{k} z \left( \alpha \Phi_{\alpha,k} + (k+1) \Phi_{\alpha,k+1} + z \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,k}}{dz} \right).$$

For a fixed  $\alpha$ , take the largest k with  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} \neq 0$ . Then  $\Phi_{\alpha,k+1} = 0$ , hence

$$\alpha \Phi_{\alpha,k} + z \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,k}}{dz} = 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p$  we have

$$\alpha \Phi_{\alpha,k} + z \frac{d\Phi_{\alpha,k}}{dz} = 0,$$

and

$$0 = \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} p a_p z^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\alpha + p) a_p z^p$$

Hence  $a_p \neq 0$  implies  $\alpha + p = 0$ . Hence, if  $\alpha \notin -\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} \neq 0$  implies that  $\alpha = -s \in -\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Phi_{-s,k} = az^s$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now,

$$-s\Phi_{-s,k-1} + kaz^{s} + z\frac{d\Phi_{-s,k-1}}{dz} = 0.$$

Therefore, if  $\Phi_{-s,k-1} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p z^p$ , we get

$$0 = -s\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_p z^p + kaz^s + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} pb_p z^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (p-s)b_p z^p + kaz^s.$$

This implies that  $(p-s)b_p = 0$  for  $p \neq s$ , i. e.  $b_p = 0$  in this case. Also, ka = 0. Therefore, k = 0. It follows finally that  $\Phi_{\alpha,k} \neq 0$  implies that  $\alpha = -s \in -\mathbb{Z}$ , k = 0 and  $\Phi_{-s,0} = az^s$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence,  $\Phi = z^{-s}\Phi_{-s,0} = a$ .  $\Box$ 

We say that a formal logarithmic series  $\Phi$  is *convergent* if there exists a reduced expression

$$\Phi = \sum_{\alpha,k} z^{\alpha} \, \log^k z \, \Phi_{\alpha,k}$$

such that the formal power series  $\Phi_{\alpha,k}$  converge in some disk D around 0. Clearly, if one reduced expression of  $\Phi$  has this property all other reduced expressions have it too.

The next result claims that in the case of a regular singularity formal solutions of a first order system are automatically convergent.

5.3. THEOREM. Let

$$\frac{dU}{dz} = AU$$

be a first order system on  $D^*$  with a regular singularity at 0. Let

$$F(z) = \sum_{\alpha,k} z^{\alpha} \log^{k} z \ F_{\alpha,k}$$

be a reduced expression of a formal logarithmic series which is a formal solution of this system. Then formal power series  $F_{\alpha,k}$  converge in D.

PROOF. Let  $S(z) = P(z)z^R$  be the fundamental matrix of our system. Then its inverse is given by

$$S(z)^{-1} = z^{-R} P(z)^{-1},$$

hence its matrix coefficients are formal logarithmic series. This implies that the matrix coefficients of  $S(z)^{-1}F(z)$  are formal logarithmic series. Also,

$$\frac{d(S(z)^{-1}F(z))}{dz} = \frac{dS(z)^{-1}}{dz}F(z) + S(z)^{-1}\frac{dF(z)}{dz} = \frac{dS(z)^{-1}}{dz}F(z) + S(z)^{-1}A(z)F(z).$$

Moreover, by differentiation of  $S(z)^{-1}S(z) = I$ , we get

$$\frac{dS(z)^{-1}}{dz}S(z) = -S(z)^{-1}\frac{dS(z)}{dz} = -S(z)^{-1}A(z)S(z),$$

what leads to

$$\frac{dS(z)^{-1}}{dz} = -S(z)^{-1}A(z),$$

and finally to

$$\frac{d(S(z)^{-1}F(z))}{dz} = -S(z)^{-1}A(z)F(z) + S(z)^{-1}A(z)F(z) = 0.$$

By 1, we conclude that  $S(z)^{-1}F(z) = C_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $F(z) = S(z)C_0$ . Therefore, F is convergent.  $\Box$ 

**6. Frobenius method.** In this section we shall discuss a method for solving differential equations near regular singular points due to Frobenius. We shall restrict ourselves to the treatment of a second order differential equation

$$P(y) = \frac{d^2y}{dz^2} + p(z)\frac{dy}{dz} + q(z)y = 0$$

on  $D^*$ . By Fuchs' theorem, p has at most a pole of order 1 at 0, and q at most a pole of order 2 at 0. Let

$$zp(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r z^r$$

and

$$z^2 q(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_s z^s$$

be the corresponding Taylor series in D. We want to find a formal solution y of the equation of the form

$$y(z) = y(\lambda, z) = z^{\lambda} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t z^t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t z^{t+\lambda}.$$

We have

$$z^{2}y'' + zp(z)zy' + z^{2}q(z)y$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (t+\lambda)(t+\lambda-1)c_{t}z^{t+\lambda} + \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_{r}z^{r}\right) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (t+\lambda)c_{t}z^{t+\lambda}\right) + \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{s}z^{s}\right) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{t}z^{t+\lambda}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (t+\lambda)(t+\lambda-1)c_{t}z^{t+\lambda} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t} (t-k+\lambda)a_{k}c_{t-k}\right) z^{t+\lambda} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{t} b_{l}c_{t-l}\right) z^{t+\lambda}$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left((t+\lambda)(t+\lambda-1)c_{t} + \sum_{k=0}^{t} ((t-k+\lambda)a_{k}+b_{k})c_{t-k}\right) z^{t+\lambda}.$$

Denote by

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) + \lambda a_0 + b_0$$

the *indicial polynomial* of our equation at 0. Assume that  $c_t$  are rational functions in  $\lambda$  satisfying

$$0 = (t+\lambda)(t+\lambda-1)c_t + \sum_{k=0}^{t} ((t-k+\lambda)a_k + b_k)c_{t-k}$$
  
=  $((t+\lambda)(t+\lambda-1) + (t+\lambda)a_0 + b_0)c_t + \sum_{k=1}^{t} ((t-k+\lambda)a_k + b_k)c_{t-k}$ 

for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$c_t = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t} ((t-k+\lambda)a_k + b_k)c_{t-k}}{f(\lambda+t)}$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , and all coefficients are uniquely determined by  $c_0$  using these recursion relations. Also, in this case we get

$$P(y) = z^2 y'' + zp(z)zy' + z^2q(z)y = f(\lambda)c_0 z^{\lambda}.$$

The equation

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) + \lambda a_0 + b_0 = 0$$

If r is a root of the indicial equation such that  $r + \mathbb{N}$  doesn't contain any other root,  $\lambda = r$  is a regular point of all  $c_t$  if it is a regular point of  $c_0$ . Therefore, if we put  $c_0 = 1$ , we see that y(r, z) is a formal solution of our equation.

There are two possibilities for the roots of the indicial equation.

(A) The difference of the roots r and s of the indicial equation is not an integer. In this case we immediately see that by putting  $c_0 = 1$  and

$$y_1(z) = y(r, z) = z^r f_1(z), \ y_2(z) = y(s, z) = z^s f_2(z)$$

we get two formal solutions of our differential equation with formal power series  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . By 5.3, we see that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  converge in D and these solutions are actual solutions of our equation in  $D^*$ . Also, they are clearly linearly independent since  $r - s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

(B) The difference of the roots  $r - s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . In this case we can get one solution corresponding to the root r by putting  $c_0 = 1$ :

$$y_1(z) = y(r, z) = z^r f_1(z)$$

and as before we conclude that  $f_1$  is a convergent power series in D. It remains to determine another, linearly independent solution. There are two slightly different cases:

(B1) Assume in addition that r = s. Then  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda - r)^2$ . Hence if we put  $c_0 = 1$  and

$$P\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda}\right) = \frac{\partial P(y)}{\partial \lambda} = f'(\lambda)z^{\lambda} + f(\lambda)z^{\lambda}\log z = (\lambda - r)(2z^{\lambda} + (\lambda - r)z^{\lambda}\log z).$$

Hence

$$y_2(z) = \left. \frac{dy(\lambda, z)}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0}$$

is also a formal solution of this equation. To find its form we remark that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} = z^{\lambda} \log z \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t z^t + z^{\lambda} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial \lambda} z^t,$$

hence

$$y_2(z) = \log z \, y_1(z) + z^r f_2(z)$$

where  $f_2$  is a formal power series. As before, we conclude that it converges in D. Clearly, this solution is linearly independent from  $y_1$ .

(B2) Assume that  $r \neq s$ . Then  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda - r)(\lambda - s)$  and  $t_0 = r - s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $f(s+t_0) = 0$  and if we solve the recursion relations with  $c_0 = 1$  we see that  $c_{t_0}$  can have a pole at s, and we cannot get a formal solution by evaluating  $y(\lambda, z)$  at  $\lambda = s$ . To eliminate this problem we put  $c_0 = \lambda - s$ . In this case  $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{t_0-1}$  contain  $\lambda - s$  as a factor. Since they all have a zero at s,  $c_{t_0}$  is regular at s and all  $c_t, t > t_0$ , are regular at s. By evaluating  $y(\lambda, z)$  at s we would get a formal solution

$$Y(z) = z^s \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t(s) z^t = z^s \sum_{t=t_0}^{\infty} c_t(s) z^t = z^r \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{t+t_0}(s) z^t$$

since all coefficients  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{t_0-1}$  would vanish. By 5.3, it is a converges. On the other hand,

$$P\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda}\right) = \frac{\partial P(y)}{\partial \lambda} = f'(\lambda)c_0 z^{\lambda} + f(\lambda)c'_0 z^{\lambda} + f(\lambda)c_0 z^{\lambda}\log z$$
$$= f'(\lambda)(\lambda - s)z^{\lambda} + f(\lambda)z^{\lambda} + f(\lambda)(\lambda - s)z^{\lambda}\log z,$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} = z^{\lambda} \log z \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_t z^t + z^{\lambda} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial c_t}{\partial \lambda} z^t,$$

evaluated at  $\lambda = s$  is also a formal solution. Since  $\frac{\partial c_0}{\partial \lambda} = 1$  we see that this solution has the form

$$y_2(z) = \log z \ Y(z) + z^s f_2(z)$$

where  $f_2$  is a convergent series in D with  $f_2(0) = 1$ , hence it is not proportional to  $y_1$ . Therefore, every solution is a linear combination of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . In particular,

$$z^{r} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} c_{t+t_{0}}(s) z^{t} = Y = c_{1}y_{1} + c_{2}y_{2} = c_{1}z^{r}f_{1}(z) + c_{2}z^{s}f_{2}(z) + c_{2}\log zY(z).$$

Since there are no terms involving  $\log z$  on the left side this implies that  $c_2 = 0$ , and Y is proportional to  $y_1$ . Therefore,

$$y_2(z) = a \log z y_1(z) + z^s f_2(z)$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

REMARK. The eignvalues of the monodromy in the case (A) are  $e^{2\pi i r}$  and  $e^{2\pi i s}$  and correspond to eigenvectors  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Therefore, in this case the monodromy is a semisimple matrix. In case (B) the monodromy has one eigenvalue  $e^{2\pi i r} = e^{2\pi i s}$ . In the case (B1) it is not semisimple, while in the case (B2) it is semisimple if and only if the constant a is zero.

## 6. Bessel equation. As an example, we consider now the Bessel equation

$$z^{2}y'' + zy' + (z^{2} - \rho^{2})y = 0$$

where  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ . Clearly, this differential equation has only 0 as a singular point in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and this is a regular singular point. Therefore, we can apply the Frobenius method to find solutions in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Lrt

$$y = y(\lambda, z) = z^{\lambda} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_p z^p$$

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} z^{2}y'' + zy' + (z^{2} - \rho^{2})y \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (p+\lambda)(p+\lambda-1)c_{p}z^{p+\lambda} + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (p+\lambda)c_{p}z^{p+\lambda} + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{p}z^{p+\lambda+2} - \rho^{2}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{p}z^{p+\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left( (p+\lambda)^{2} - \rho^{2} \right)c_{p}z^{p+\lambda} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} c_{q-2}z^{q+\lambda} \\ &= (\lambda^{2} - \rho^{2})c_{0}z^{\lambda} + \left( (\lambda+1)^{2} - \rho^{2} \right)c_{1}z^{\lambda+1} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \left( \left( (p+\lambda)^{2} - \rho^{2} \right)c_{p} + c_{p-2} \right) z^{p+\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $c_1 = 0$  and that

$$((p+\lambda)^2 - \rho^2)c_p + c_{p-2} = 0$$

for all  $p \geq 2$ . Then we have

$$c_{2p+1} = 0$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and

$$c_p = -\frac{c_{p-2}}{(p+\lambda)^2 - \rho^2}$$

for  $p \geq 2$ , and

$$z^{2}y'' + zy' + (z^{2} - \rho^{2})y = (\lambda^{2} - \rho^{2})c_{0}z^{\lambda}.$$

It remains to find even coefficients  $c_{2p}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We have

$$c_{2p} = -\frac{c_{2(p-1)}}{(2p+\lambda)^2 - \rho^2} = -\frac{c_{2(p-1)}}{(2p+\lambda-\rho)(2p+\lambda+\rho)} = -\frac{c_{2(p-1)}}{2^2\left(p+\frac{\lambda-\rho}{2}\right)\left(p+\frac{\lambda+\rho}{2}\right)}.$$

By induction we see that

$$c_{2p} = \frac{(-1)^p}{2^{2p}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-\rho}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+\rho}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-\rho}{2}+p+1)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+\rho}{2}+p+1)} c_0$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

Assume now that  $\operatorname{Re} \rho \geq 0$ . The indicial equation is  $\lambda^2 = \rho^2$ , so its roots are  $\rho$  and  $-\rho$ . This implies that one solution of the equation is

$$z^{\rho} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{2p}(\rho) z^{2p} = z^{\rho} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} \frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)}{\Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(\rho+p+1)} c_{0} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p} = z^{\rho} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} \frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)}{p! \Gamma(\rho+p+1)} c_{0} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}.$$

If we put

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2^{\rho} \Gamma(\rho + 1)}$$

we get that one solution is given by

$$J_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{1}{p! \, \Gamma(\rho+p+1)} \, \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\rho+2p}.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$  is an entire function, this defines a formal series for arbitrary  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ . This formal series is always a formal solution of the Bessel equation, hence by 5.3. it is convergent. The function  $J_{\rho}$  is called the  $\rho^{\text{th}}$  Bessel function. If  $\rho \notin -\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho+1)} \neq 0$ , hence the leading coefficients of  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{-\rho}$  are nonzero. This implies that the solutions  $J_{\rho}$  and  $J_{-\rho}$  of the Bessel differential equation are not proportional for for  $\rho \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$ , i. e. the arbitrary solution of this equation has the form

$$y = C_1 \, J_\rho + C_2 \, J_{-\rho}$$

The functions  $\Gamma(\rho + p + 1)$  have a first order pole for p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, at  $\rho = -n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, the corresponding coefficients are all zero. It follows that

$$J_{-n}(z) = \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{1}{p! \,\Gamma(-n+p+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-n+2p}$$
  
=  $(-1)^n \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \frac{1}{(q+n)! \,q!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n+2q} = (-1)^n \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \frac{1}{q! \,\Gamma(n+q+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n+2p},$ 

i. e.

$$J_{-n} = (-1)^n J_n$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Therefore, we have to determine another linearly independent solution of Bessel equation for integral  $\rho = n$ .

Assume first that  $\rho = 0$ . Then, if we put  $c_0 = 1$ , we get

$$y = z^{\lambda} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+1)^2}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+p+1)^2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}$$

Let

$$d_p = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+1)^2}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+p+1)^2}.$$

Then  $d_0 = 1$  and

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial d_p}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} &= 2 \frac{1}{p!} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{2}+p+1)} \right) \right|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= 2 \frac{1}{p!} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda}{2}+1)(\frac{\lambda}{2}+2)\dots(\frac{\lambda}{2}+p)} \right) \right|_{\lambda=0} = -\frac{1}{p!^2} \sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q}, \end{split}$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} &= \log z \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{1}{\Gamma(p+1)^2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p} - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{1}{p!^2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p} \\ &= \log z J_0(z) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{p+1} \frac{1}{p!^2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that a solution of the Bessel equation linearly independent from  $J_0$  for  $\rho = 0$  is given by

$$\log z \ J_0(z) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{p!^2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}.$$

It remains to treat the case  $\rho = n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As we remarked

$$y(\lambda, z) = z^{\lambda} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-n}{2}+1) \Gamma(\frac{\lambda+n}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-n}{2}+p+1) \Gamma(\frac{\lambda+n}{2}+p+1)} c_0 \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}.$$

Denote

$$d_p = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-n}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+n}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda-n}{2}+p+1)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda+n}{2}+p+1)}c_0$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$$d_p = \frac{c_0}{(\frac{\lambda - n}{2} + 1)(\frac{\lambda - n}{2} + 2)\dots(\frac{\lambda - n}{2} + p)(\frac{\lambda + n}{2} + 1)(\frac{\lambda + n}{2} + 2)\dots(\frac{\lambda + n}{2} + p)},$$

hence, if  $p \ge n$  the first factor has a first order pole at  $\lambda = -n$ . If we put

$$c_0 = -2^{n-1} (n-1)! (\lambda + n),$$

we eliminate this pole. Also, we get  $d_p(-n) = 0$  for p < n. On the other hand, for  $p \ge n$  we get

$$d_p(-n) = -\frac{2^n (n-1)!}{p!} \frac{1}{(-n+1)(-n+2)\dots(-2)\cdot(-1)\cdot 1\cdot 2\dots(p-n)}$$
$$= 2^n (-1)^n \frac{1}{p!(p-n)!}.$$

Therefore,

$$y_{2}(z) = 2^{n} \log z \ z^{-n} \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{p+n} \frac{1}{p!(p-n)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p} + z^{-n} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} \frac{\partial d_{p}}{\partial \lambda} (-n) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p}$$
$$= \log z \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{q} \frac{1}{(q+n)!q!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2q+n} + 2^{-n} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} \frac{\partial d_{p}}{\partial \lambda} (-n) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p-n}$$
$$= \log z J_{n}(z) + 2^{-n} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} \frac{\partial d_{p}}{\partial \lambda} (-n) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p-n}$$

Now, for  $0 \le p \le n-1$ ,

$$\frac{\partial d_p}{\partial \lambda}(-n) = -\frac{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}{p!} \frac{1}{(-n+1)(-n+2)\dots(-n+p)} = (-1)^{p-1} \frac{2^{n-1}(n-p-1)!}{p!}.$$

For p = n, we have

$$\frac{\partial d_p}{\partial \lambda}(-n) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!} \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{1}{q};$$

and for p > n, we have

$$\frac{\partial d_p}{\partial \lambda}(-n) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{2^{n-1}}{p!(p-n)!} \left( \sum_{q=1}^p \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q=1}^{p-n} \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

This finally leads to

$$y_{2}(z) = \log z J_{n}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-p-1)!}{p!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p-n}$$
  
$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{p+n} \frac{1}{p!(p-n)!} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{p} \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q=1}^{p-n} \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p-n}$$
  
$$= \log z J_{n}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-p-1)!}{p!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p-n}$$
  
$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p}}{p!(p+n)!} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{p+n} \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{q=1}^{p} \frac{1}{q}\right) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2p+n}$$

Therefore, for  $\rho \notin \mathbb{Z}$  the monodromy of the Bessel equation is semisimple with eigenvalues  $e^{\pm 2\pi i\rho}$ , and for  $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$  the monodromy is not semisimple and its eigenvalue is  $e^{2\pi i\rho}$ .

•