

KAZHDAN-LUSZTIG POLYNOMIALS

DAN CIUBOTARU

1. CLASSICAL SETTING

Let G be a complex semisimple algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, B a fixed Borel subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ a Cartan subalgebra, $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$ the positive roots, respectively roots, and $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$. Let W be the Weyl group. The problem is to describe the multiplicities of the composition factors in *Verma modules*.

Let M_w be the Verma module

$$M_w = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{w\rho - \rho},$$

and L_w the unique irreducible quotient. It is known that all subquotients of M_w are of the form L_v for some $v \in W$.

Let $X = G/B$ be the *flag variety*. It has a *Bruhat decomposition*

$$X = \sqcup_{w \in W} X_w,$$

where X_w are the *Bruhat cells*. It is known that the *Schubert variety*

$$\overline{X}_w = \sqcup_{v \leq w} X_v,$$

where the ordering is the *Bruhat order* in W .

Definition. *The Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials are*

$$P_{v,w}(q) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim \mathcal{H}^{2i} IC(\overline{X}_w) |_{X_v} \cdot q^i.$$

The odd cohomology vanishes, moreover $P_{v,w} = 0$ unless $v \leq w$. There is an explicit algorithm for computing $P_{v,w}$.

Theorem (Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture). *The multiplicity of L_y in M_x , $x, y \in W$ is*

$$[M_x : L_y] = P_{w_0x, w_0y}(1).$$

Similar results were obtained for real reductive groups by Lusztig-Vogan (1985). If G_0 is a real form of some complex G , and $K \subset G_0$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 , then the geometry is in terms of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbits on the complex flag variety G/B .

For a general p -adic group, the Langlands classification is still incomplete. For $GL(n)$, Zelevinsky determined a classification of irreducible representations and formulated the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures in that case (1981).

Date: January 23, 2007.

Moreover, Zelevinsky proved that the KL polynomials for p -adic $GL(n)$ are a subset of the Verma modules case. For other p -adic groups, the situation is more complicated and the KL conjectures need to be refined.

2. KL CONJECTURE FOR THE HECKE ALGEBRA

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the graded Hecke algebra, which is a vector space $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ with a nontrivial commutation relation between W and $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let us assume that $\mathbb{H}$ has *equal parameters*. It is known that the center of $\mathbb{H}$ is $\mathbb{A}^W$, where $A = S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$. Therefore, the *central characters* χ are parameterized by $\mathfrak{h}/W$.

Let $\text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$ be the category of finite dimensional modules of $\mathbb{H}$ with central character χ . The Langlands classification exists in this case, and we have standard modules X and irreducible quotients L .

Denote

$$G(\chi) = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}(g)\chi = \chi\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_n(\chi) = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [\chi, y] = ny\}.$$

Theorem (Lusztig). *The standard and irreducible objects in $\text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$ are parameterized by pairs $\xi = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$, where*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}$ is a $G(\chi)$ -orbit on $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{L}$ is a certain $G(\chi)$ -equivariant local system on $\mathcal{O}$. More precisely, choose some $e \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to a representation ϕ of the component group $G(e, \chi)/G(e, \chi)^0$. The representations ϕ which are allowed are those of Springer type, that is, it must be in the restriction $G(e, \chi)/G(e, \chi)^0 \subset G(e)/G(e)^0$ of a representation which appears in the Springer correspondence.

In this setting, the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjectures take the following form:

Theorem (Lusztig, Ginzburg). *In (the Grothendieck group of) $\text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$:*

$$X_{\xi'} = \sum_{\xi} P_{\xi, \xi'}(1) \cdot L_{\xi},$$

where

$$P_{\xi, \xi'}(q) = \sum_{i \geq 0} [\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}^{2i} IC(\overline{\mathcal{O}'}, \mathcal{L}') |_{\mathcal{O}}] \cdot q^i.$$

Note that, in particular, $P_{\xi, \xi} = 1$, and if $\xi \neq \xi'$, then $P_{\xi, \xi'} = 0$, unless $\mathcal{O}' \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

3. ORBITS

Let $\text{Orb}_n(\chi)$ denote the set of $G(\chi)$ orbits on $\mathfrak{g}_n(\chi)$. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Here are some properties of $\text{Orb}_n(\chi)$:

- (1) $\text{Orb}_n(\chi)$ is finite.
- (2) For every $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Orb}_n(\chi)$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ is the union of some orbits $\mathcal{O}'$ with $\dim \mathcal{O}' < \dim \mathcal{O}$.
- (3) There is a unique open (dense) orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\text{Orb}_n(\chi)$.

Example. The simplest example is when $\chi = \check{\rho}$ (the regular central character case). Let Π denote the simple roots, and fix root vectors X_α . In this case

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_2(\check{\rho}) &= \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [\check{\rho}, y] = 2y\} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbb{C} \cdot X_\alpha, \\ G(\check{\rho}) &= \text{Cartan subgroup.}\end{aligned}$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence

$$Orb_2(\check{\rho}) \leftrightarrow 2^\Pi,$$

where to every $\Pi_P \subset \Pi$ we associate the orbit $\mathcal{O}_P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_P} \mathbb{C}^* \cdot X_\alpha$. In other words, the orbits are parametrized by standard parabolic subalgebras. There are $2^{|\Pi|}$ orbits in this case, and all have smooth closure. The closure ordering is given by inclusion of subsets. Only trivial local systems appear, and therefore the KL polynomials are either 1 or 0 depending on the closure ordering.

3.1. Parametrization. Let e be a representative of an orbit $\mathcal{O}_e$ in $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$. We recall the construction of the *Kazhdan-Lusztig parabolic* associated to e .

By a graded version of the Jacobson-Morozov triple, e can be embedded into a Lie triple $\{e, h, f\}$, such that $e \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, and $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Define a gradation with respect to h as well, $\mathfrak{g}^r = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, y] = ry\}$, and set $\mathfrak{g}_t^r = \mathfrak{g}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}^r$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{t, r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_t^r.$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{t=r} \mathfrak{g}_t^r, \quad \mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{t < r} \mathfrak{g}_t^r, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}. \quad (3.1.1)$$

Properties(Lusztig):

- (1) $\mathfrak{p}$ depends only on e and not on the whole triple $\{e, h, f\}$.
- (2) χ is *rigid* for $\mathfrak{m}$. (By definition, this means that χ is congruent modulo $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})$ to a middle element of a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{m}$.)
- (3) e is in the open $M(\chi)$ -orbit in $\mathfrak{m}_2(\chi)$.
- (4) $M(\chi, e) \subset G(\chi, e) (\subset P)$ induces an isomorphism of the component groups.
- (5) The $P(\chi)$ -orbit of e in $\mathfrak{p}_2$ is open, dense in $\mathfrak{p}_2$.

An immediate corollary of (5) is a dimension formula for $\mathcal{O}_e = G(\chi) \cdot e$.

Proposition. $\dim \mathcal{O}_e = \dim \mathfrak{p}_2 - \dim \mathfrak{p}_0 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Definition. A parabolic subgroup P (or subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$) is called *good* for χ if it satisfies conditions (3.1.1) and (2) above.

Let $\mathcal{P}_2(\chi)$ denote the set of good parabolic subgroups for χ .

Theorem (Lusztig). There is a bijection between $Orb_2(\chi)$ and $G(\chi)$ -conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{P}_2(\chi)$.

Proof. The inverse map is given as follows. Let $P = MN$ be a good parabolic for χ . Then there exists s a middle element of a Lie triple in $\mathfrak{m}$, such that $\chi \equiv s \pmod{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})}$. Moreover, the decomposition (3.1.1) must hold with respect to χ and s . Let $G' \subset G(\chi)$ be the reductive subgroup whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_0^0$. Then G' acts on $\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\chi)$ and there is a unique open orbit of this action. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the unique $G(\chi)$ -orbit on $\mathfrak{g}_2$ containing it. The inverse map associates $\mathcal{O}$ to P . □

3.2. An application: reducibility. The category $\text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$ has also a “classical” Langlands classification (Evens). For every standard parabolic $P = MN$, one can define a subalgebra $\mathbb{H}_M \subset \mathbb{H}_P \subset \mathbb{H}$, and form induced standard modules $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$, where σ is a *tempered* module of $\mathbb{H}_M$, and ν is a dominant character. The tempered modules are defined by a Casselman criterion in terms of the weights under the action of the abelian part of the Hecke algebra. In the geometric classification, σ is tempered for $\mathbb{H}_M$, if χ is rigid for M and the corresponding $M(\chi)$ -orbit on $\mathfrak{m}_2(\chi)$ is the open orbit.

Lemma. *Let σ be a tempered module for $\mathbb{H}_M$ parametrized by (the open orbit of $M(\chi)$ on $\mathfrak{m}_2(\chi)$) and a representation ψ of the component group $A_M(\chi, e)$. Then the $G(\chi)$ -orbit on $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$ of the Langlands quotient $L(P, \sigma, \nu)$ is parametrized by P and ψ viewed now as a representation of the component group $A_G(\chi, e)$.*

Proposition. *The reducibility points of the standard module $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$ (with ν strictly dominant) are necessarily a subset of the zeros of the rational function*

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{n}^-)} \frac{2 - \langle \alpha, \chi \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \chi \rangle}.$$

If in addition σ is generic (parametrized by the trivial local system), then the reducibility points are precisely the set of zeros.

The first assertion follows immediately from the dimension formula for $\mathcal{O}_P$. The second one follows again by using in addition a result of M. Reeder that the generic modules are parametrized by the trivial local system on the open orbit.

3.3. Combinatorial parametrization. In type A , an explicit combinatorial parametrization of the orbits and irreducible modules (there are only trivial local systems in that case) comes down to *Zelevinsky’s multisegment* (or *strings*): every orbit is described by a set of segments, each segment being a sequence of numbers increasing by 2, such that the support of the strings is χ . The closed orbit corresponds to all segments being singletons, while the open orbit corresponds to the unique *nested* multisegment of support χ . The closure ordering can be described combinatorially.

For other classical types, we developed a combinatorial parametrization which takes into account the local systems as well. Roughly, every local system corresponds to a set of segments and a Young tableau. Incidentally, this answers a conjecture of Opdam and Slooten on the combinatorial parametrization of tempered modules when the Hecke algebra is of geometric type.

4. POLYNOMIALS

Lusztig's algorithm (2006) computes polynomials

$$c_{\xi, \xi'}(v) = \sum_j [\mathcal{L}' : \mathcal{H}^i(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{L}) |_{\mathcal{O}'}] v^{\dim \mathcal{O} - \dim \mathcal{O}' - j}.$$

The relation with KL polynomials is given by the formula

$$c_{\xi, \xi'}(v) = v^{\dim \mathcal{O} - \dim \mathcal{O}'} \cdot P_{\xi, \xi'} \left(\frac{1}{v^2} \right).$$

The algorithm construct *four* bases $\mathcal{U}_{\pm}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\pm}$ inside a space $\mathcal{K}(\chi)/Rad$:

- the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -vector space $\mathcal{K}(\chi)$ has a basis indexed by the cosets $W/W(\chi)$ and

- it has a symmetric bilinear form whose radical is Rad .

- the matrix of polynomials is given by the change of basis from $\mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathcal{U}$.

- the elements of the bases $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ are indexed by the orbits and local systems in $Orb_2(\chi)$.

- to construct $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ one uses induction from KL parabolics and the Fourier-Deligne transform (bijection between simple perverse sheaves on $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and simple perverse sheaves on $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. This is why one needs 4 bases! As a byproduct, the algorithm computes the Fourier-Deligne transform, and therefore (by the result of Evens-Mirković, the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution). As a side remark, in type A , the FDT is the Pyasetsky map.

- one important step which makes the algorithm work is the fact that if $\#Orb_2(\chi) > 1$, then the FDT of the open orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$ is *not* the open orbit in $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}(\chi)$. In representation theoretic terms, this is the statement that the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution of a tempered module cannot be tempered (unless the tempered module is the full spherical principal series).

4.1. An example in $\mathfrak{sp}(6)$. The central character is $\chi = (3, 1, 1)$, the middle element of the nilpotent $(4, 2)$. There are 10 orbits of $G(\chi)$ on $\mathfrak{P}_2$. We list the parametrization of these orbits, the dimensions, the corresponding Levi subalgebras and the basis elements $\mathcal{Z}_{-}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{-}$. The bases $\mathcal{Z}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{+}$ are obtained by multiplication by w_0 .

We encode the cosets $W/W(\chi)$ by the of W action on $(3, 1, 1)$.

s	Levi $\mathfrak{l}_s$	Dim	$\mathcal{Z}_-$
$(0, 0, 0)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3\}$	0	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(1, -1, 0)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2\}$	2	$[1, 3, 1] + v[3, 1, 1]$
$(0, 0, 1)$	$\pm\{2\epsilon_3\}$	2	$[3, 1, -1] + v[3, 1, 1]$
$(1, -1, 1)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_3\}$	3	$[1, 3, -1] + v[1, 3, 1] + v[3, 1, -1] + v^2[3, 1, 1]$
$(0, 1, 1)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_2 \pm \epsilon_3, 2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_3\}$	3	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1] - v[3, 1, -1] - \frac{v}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(0, 1, 1)$		3	$[3, -1, 1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1] - \frac{v}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(2, 0, 2)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3\}$	4	$[-1, 1, 3] + v[1, 3, -1] + v[3, -1, 1] + v^2[3, 1, -1]$
$(3, 1, 0)$	$\pm\{\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_1\}$	4	$[1, -3, -1] + v[1, 1, 3] + v[1, 3, -1] + v^2[1, 3, 1]$
$(3, 1, 1)$	Δ	5	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[-3, -1, -1] - v[-1, 1, 3] - v[1, -3, -1]$ $-\frac{v^2}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1] - v^2[1, 1, 3] - v^2[1, 3, -1]$ $-v^2[3, -1, 1] - v^3[1, 3, 1]$
$(3, 1, 1)$		5	$[-3, -1, 1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[-3, -1, -1] + v[-1, 1, 3] + v[1, 3, 1]$ $-\frac{v^2}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1] + v^2[1, 3, -1] + v^2[3, 1, 1] + v^3[3, 1, -1]$

s	$\mathcal{U}_-$
$(0, 0, 0)$	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(1, -1, 0)$	$[1, 3, 1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(0, 0, 1)$	$[3, 1, -1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, 1, 1]$
$(1, -1, 1)$	$[1, 3, -1]$
$(0, 1, 1)$	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1]$
$(0, 1, 1)$	$[3, -1, 1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[3, -1, -1]$
$(2, 0, 2)$	$[-1, 1, 3]$
$(3, 1, 0)$	$[1, -3, -1] + v[1, 1, 3] + v^2[1, 3, -1] + \frac{v^2}{v+v^{-1}}[1, 3, 1]$
$(3, 1, 1)$	$\frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[-3, -1, -1]$
$(3, 1, 1)$	$[-3, -1, 1] + \frac{1}{v+v^{-1}}[-3, -1, -1]$

The basis elements satisfy the conditions:

- (1) $(\xi : \xi') = 0$, when $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{Z}$ correspond to distinct orbits.
- (2) $(\xi : \xi') = 1 + v\mathbb{Z}[v]$, when $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{Z}$ correspond to the same orbit.
- (3) the change of basis matrix is upper triangular (see below).
- (4) $\bar{\mu} - \mu$ is an element of the radical of the bilinear form.
- (5) $\mathcal{Z}$ (similarly $\mathcal{U}$) is a basis for $\mathcal{K}/Rad$.
- (6) The elements in $\mathcal{U}_+$ which give the two tempered basis elements in $\mathcal{U}_-$ correspond to the orbits $(0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$.

The Lusztig polynomials $c_{\xi, \xi'}$ (coming from the change of basis matrix from $\mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathcal{U}$) are:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc|cc} 1 & v^2 & v^2 & (v+v^3) & v^3 & v & (v^2+v^4) & v^4 & v^5 & v^3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & v & 0 & 0 & v^2 & v^2 & v^3 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v & v & 0 & v^2 & v^2 & v^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & v & v & v^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & v & 0 & v^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & v & 0 & 0 & v^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & v & v \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & v & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Remark. (Jantzen conjecture) It is natural to conjecture that the polynomials $c_{\xi, \xi'}(v)$ give precisely the levels of the Jantzen filtration.

Note that this would imply the *standard injectivity conjecture* in this case: the generic subquotient must be a submodule of the standard module.

It is known that the generic subquotient is not the *unique* submodule. One can see Tadić's example in the above table (row 7): the induced from the Steinberg on the Siegel parabolic of $SO(7)$ has two submodules at the above χ . (In the Hecke algebra, this is the induced from the Steinberg on a type A_2 subalgebra of the type C_3 Hecke algebra).