1. Unipotent representations for p-adic SO(5)

Let **G** be the group SO(5) defined over \mathbb{Q}_p , and let $G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ denote the split form. There is another form, nonsplit, G' in the same inner class with G. In the notation of [Ti], this is $G' = {}^2C_2$ (index $C_{2,1}^{(2)}$), a special unitary group of a quaternionic hermitian form in 2 variables.

The complex dual is $\check{G} = Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$, and let triv and sgn denote the trivial and the sign character respectively of the center $Z(\check{G})$ of \check{G} .

The DLL correspondence for unipotent representations is realized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Unip}(G) & \leftrightarrow \{(s,e,\psi): s \in \check{G} \text{ semisimple}, e \in \check{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ nilpotent}, \ Ad(s)e = pe, \ \psi \in \widehat{A_{\check{G}}(s,e)}, \ \psi|_{Z(\check{G})} = triv \} \\ \operatorname{Unip}(G') & \leftrightarrow \{(s,e,\psi): s \in \check{G} \text{ semisimple}, e \in \check{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ nilpotent}, \ Ad(s)e = pe, \ \psi \in \widehat{A_{\check{G}}(s,e)}, \ \psi|_{Z(\check{G})} = sgn \}. \\ & (1.0.1) \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, via affine Hecke algebras ([Lu]):

- (1) The Iwahori-spherical representations of G are parameterized by the affine Hecke algebra of type \tilde{B}_2 with equal parameters: 1 =>= 1 =<= 1. Geometrically, this Hecke algebra is attached to the trivial local system on the torus of \check{G} .
- (2) The supercuspidal unipotent representation of G (the so-called θ_{10}) is parameterized by an "empty" Hecke algebra. Geometrically, this Hecke algebra is attached to the sign local system on the principal nilpotent in $Sp(2) \times Sp(2) \subset Sp(4)$. Note that this is not a Levi, but a maximal quasi-Levi (or elliptic) subgroup. This is a general fact: the unipotent supercuspidal representations are parameterized by cuspidal local system on maximal quasi-Levi subgroups (the quasi-Levi is allowed to be \check{G} itself).
- (3) The unipotent representations (actually Iwahori-spherical) of G' are parameterized by a Hecke algebra which is the direct sum of two copies of the affine Hecke algebra of type \tilde{A}_1 with unequal parameters: $2 \infty 1$. Geometrically, these two copies are realized from the two Sp(2) inside (the affine Dynkin diagram of) Sp(4), with the cuspidal local system on the regular orbit of Sp(2) as before.

Now, if one restricts to "real infinitesimal character", i.e., s is hyperbolic in (1.0.1), then the correspondences (1) and (3) descend to the graded Hecke algebra. The supercuspidal in (2) doesn't have real infinitesimal character, because it corresponds to a maximal quasi-Levi which is not \check{G} itself, so it is the centralizer of an elliptic nontrivial semisimple element. I think this element has to be $s_e = \mathrm{diag}(i,i,-i,-i)$. (In the reduction to real infinitesimal character, this case belongs to an empty graded Hecke algebra.)

The graded Hecke algebra from (1) is the usual type C_2 one with equal parameters 2 = <= 2. The graded Hecke algebra from (3) is one of type C_1 , with "unequal" parameter 3. Here, one sees the correspondence with the geometry clearly. There are 4 nilpotent Sp(4)-orbits in sp(4). Separate their local systems with respect to the character of the center:

1

```
triv: ((4), triv), ((22), triv, sgn), ((211), triv), ((1^4), triv);

sgn: ((4), sgn), ((211), sgn). (1.0.2)
```

The first set controls the geometry of the B_2 graded Hecke algebra, while the second set, that of the A_1 unequal parameter.

2. Unipotent representations of p-adic F_4

The group G of type F_4 is both adjoint and simply-connected. Let G denote the split group. The correspondence via affine Hecke algebras is realized in [Lu] as follows. First, there are seven supercuspidal unipotent representations of G. They are parameterized geometrically by quasi-Levis as follows:

- (1) one attached to the unique cuspidal local system on $F_4(a_3)$ in F_4 . This is the only one with real infinitesimal character.
- (2) one attached to $C_3 \times A_1$ inside F_4 . Note that Sp(6) has a unique cuspidal local system suported on the nilpotent (42), and SL(2) has the sign cuspidal local system supported on the principal orbit.
- (3) two attached to $A_2 \times A_2$ inside F_4 . Note that the group SL(3) has two cuspidal local systems on the principal orbit. (In general, the cuspidal local systems in SL(n) are in one to one correspondence with the relatively prime to n numbers less than n.)
- (4) two attached to $A_3 \times A_1$ inside F_4 . The group SL(4) has two cuspidal local systems.
- (5) one attached to B_4 inside F_4 . The group SO(9) has one cuspidal local system on the orbit (531).

Then there are the unipotent representations which appear in the induced from parahoric $P \neq G$, and a supercuspidal on the reductive quotient \bar{P} of P. There is the Iwahori-spherical case, and one more case corresponding to $\bar{P} = SO(5)$ and the supercuspidal θ_{10} on SO(5).

- (6) The Iwahori-spherical representations are parameterized by the affine Hecke algebra of type F_4 with equal parameters 1 1 1 = >= 1 1.
- (7) The case when $\bar{P} = SO(5)$ is parameterized by the affine Hecke algebra of type \tilde{B}_2 with unequal parameters 3 =>= 3 = <= 1. Geometrically, this is realized from $A_1 \times A_1$ in F_4 (and the unique cuspidal local systems on the SL(2)'s).

If one assumes s is hyperbolic (in (1.0.1)), then one sees in the geometry of the graded Hecke algebra of F_4 only (6) and (1).

All the semisimple elements s corresponding to (7) will have an elliptic part $s_e = \operatorname{diag}(i, i - i, -i) \in Sp(4)$ coming from θ_{10} . The question is what is the centralizer of s_e in F_4 . I think it should be a group of type B_4 , and then the graded Hecke algebra is the one associated to $A_1 \times A_1$ in B_4 . The reason is that when one constructs the graded version of the affine Hecke algebra in (7), I think one should get type B_2 with parameters 4 =>= 3. This one appears in [Lu1] from $sl(2)^2$ in so(9).

Remark. One can define a block partition for the category of unipotent representations for p-adic forms inner to the split adjoint form as follows: every block is

indexed by a \check{G} -conjugacy class of pairs (\check{L},\mathcal{L}) , where \check{L} is a quasi-Levi subgroup of \check{G} , and \mathcal{L} is a cuspidal \check{L} -equivariant local system supported on a (necessarily distinguished) nilpotent orbit in I. There are two extremes: if \check{L} is maximal, then the block is 1×1 , and it corresponds to a supercuspidal unipotent representation in the inner class of the split group. If L is minimal, i.e., the split torus (and \mathcal{L} is trivial), then we get the block of the Iwahori-spherical representations of the split p-adic group. (This would be the "big block".) As far as character formulas and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials go, no two such blocks interact with each other. Since for an affine Hecke algebra, one has the Harish-Chandra subquotient theorem (and the only principal series is the spherical one), this is the finest possible such block partitioning.

References

[Lu] G. Lusztig, Classification of unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups, IMRN, 1995. [Lu1] _____, Cuspidal local systems I

[Ti] J. Tits, Reductive groups over local fields, Corvallis proceedings, 1979.