

December 22, 2006

Strings for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$

This is a possible Zelevinsky-type parametrization of irreducible modules for the Hecke algebra of type C_n with equal parameters. Equivalently, this is a parametrization of the orbits and the local systems (coming from the Springer correspondence) in Lusztig's classification for $sp(2n)$.

Every irreducible module is parametrized by a multisegment

$$\kappa = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k, \Lambda, -\gamma_k, \dots, -\gamma_2, -\gamma_1),$$

subject to the following conditions:

- 1) a gl -string γ_i is a segment, the entries are decreasing by 1.
- 2) the gl -strings are ordered: if $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_{i+1}$, then the first entry in γ_i is greater than the first entry in γ_{i+1} , or else $length(\gamma_i) > length(\gamma_{i+1})$.
- 3) the unique sp -string Λ , if nonempty, say of length $2m$, $m \leq n$, corresponds to a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ of m , as follows: $\Lambda = \Lambda' \sqcup (-\Lambda')$, where

$$\Lambda' = \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \lambda_2 - \frac{3}{2}}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \underbrace{-\ell + \frac{3}{2}, -\ell + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \lambda_\ell - \ell + \frac{1}{2}}_{\lambda_\ell} \right).$$

For example, if $m = 4$, there are 5 partitions of 4, and the corresponding strings are as follows:

Partition λ	Diagram	Λ
4	$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$	$(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{7}{2})$
3 + 1	$\begin{array}{ c c c } \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{1}{2} & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	$(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2})$
2 + 2	$\begin{array}{ c c } \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$
2 + 1 + 1	$\begin{array}{ c c } \hline \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{1}{2} & \\ \hline -\frac{3}{2} & \\ \hline \end{array}$	$(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$
1 + 1 + 1 + 1	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{3}{2} \\ \hline -\frac{5}{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$	$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$

If κ is a multisegment as above, the union of entries in all the segments of κ , with multiplicities, is called the *support* of κ , and denoted $|\kappa|$. (So the length of $|\kappa|$ is $2n$.)

Conjecture 1. Let χ be a fixed dominant semisimple (diagonal) element in $sp(2n)$.

- 1) The irreducible modules with central character χ are parameterized by all the possible multisegments κ with support $|\kappa| = \chi$. In other words, this is the parametrization of all local systems for the equal parameter case supported by the orbits of $G(\chi)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$.
- 2) Two strings κ_1 and κ_2 correspond to the same orbit on $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$, if one is obtained from the other by a transformations of the form:
 - (i) a permutation of the entries in the sp -string.
 - (ii) one gl -string is identical to its negative, and the two are concatenated to the sp -string. For example: $((\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})) \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, the sp -string in this case corresponding to the partition $(1+1)$ in $sp(4)$.
- 3) The closure ordering should be as in Zelevinsky, except when the relation involves the sp -string Λ , both a γ_j and $-\gamma_j$ must be used.

If one is interested in a parametrization of the orbits only, then a variant of the previous construction should suffice:

Conjecture 2. The orbits of $G(\chi)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_2(\chi)$ are parametrized by multisegments κ of the same form as before, but now Λ has the property that it is obtained from a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_\ell > 0)$ of m , as follows: $\Lambda = \Lambda' \sqcup (-\Lambda')$, where

$$\Lambda' = \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_\ell - \frac{1}{2}}_{\lambda_\ell} \right).$$

For example, if $m = 4$, there are only 2 partitions of 4 with distinct parts, and the corresponding strings are as follows:

Partition λ	Diagram	Λ						
4	<table border="1"><tr><td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td><td>$\frac{3}{2}$</td><td>$\frac{5}{2}$</td><td>$\frac{7}{2}$</td></tr></table>	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}$	$(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{7}{2})$		
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}$					
3 + 1	<table border="1"><tr><td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td><td>$\frac{3}{2}$</td><td>$\frac{5}{2}$</td></tr><tr><td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$			$(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{5}{2})$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$						
$\frac{1}{2}$								

Example. For the central character $\chi = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$ in $sp(6)$, the parametrization is the following:

- 1) $(\frac{3}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{3}{2})$.
- 2) $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$.

- 3) $(\frac{3}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{3}{2})$.
- 4) $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$.
- 5) $(\frac{3}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{3}{2})$.
- 6) $(\frac{3}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (-\frac{3}{2})$.
- 7) $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$.
- 8) $(\frac{1}{2}) (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}) (-\frac{1}{2})$.
- 9) $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$.
- 10) $(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}; \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$.

In this example, 5 and 6, respectively 9 and 10 belong to the same orbit. For the record, the IM involution in this case pairs (1,9), (3,10), (2,5), (4,7) and (6,8).