

FUNCTORS FOR MATCHING
KAZHDAN-LUSZTIG POLYNOMIALS FOR
 $GL(n, \mathbb{F})$

Dan Ciubotaru (joint with Peter E. Trapa)

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah

July 17, 2006

Plan

Plan

- Review the construction of Arakawa and Suzuki for category $\mathcal{O}$ of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Plan

- Review the construction of Arakawa and Suzuki for category $\mathcal{O}$ of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$.
- Define the functor for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

References

- T. Arakawa, T. Suzuki, A. Tsuchiya: *Degenerate double affine Hecke algebras...*, Proceedings Kyoto, 1997.
- T. Arakawa, T. Suzuki: *Duality between $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, J. Algebra 209, 1998.
- T. Suzuki: *Rogawski's conjecture...*, Represent. Theory 2, 1998.

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(gl(n, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n), \text{ and}$$

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(gl(n, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n), \text{ and}$$

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n),$$

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(gl(n, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n), \text{ and}$$

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n),$$

where $\mathbb{H}_n$ is the affine graded Hecke algebra of $gl(n)$, such that:

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(gl(n, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n), \text{ and}$$

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n),$$

where $\mathbb{H}_n$ is the affine graded Hecke algebra of $gl(n)$, such that:

- (1) F_λ takes standard modules to standard modules (or zero).

Functors

If λ is a weight of $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the goal is to define functors:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(gl(n, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n), \text{ and}$$

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow Rep(\mathbb{H}_n),$$

where $\mathbb{H}_n$ is the affine graded Hecke algebra of $gl(n)$, such that:

- (1) F_λ takes standard modules to standard modules (or zero).
- (2) F_λ takes simple modules to simple modules (or zero).

Category $\mathcal{O}$

Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^+$, and Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^+, \mathfrak{b}^-$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ρ are as usual.

Category $\mathcal{O}$

Set $\mathfrak{g} = gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ with decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^+$, and Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^+, \mathfrak{b}^-$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ρ are as usual.

Let P denote the weights and

$P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ the dominant weights.

Category $\mathcal{O}$

Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^+$, and Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^+, \mathfrak{b}^-$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ρ are as usual.

Let P denote the weights and

$P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ the dominant weights.

For a weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, define the Verma module

$$M(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\mu.$$

Category $\mathcal{O}$

Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^+$, and Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^+, \mathfrak{b}^-$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ρ are as usual.

Let P denote the weights and

$P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ the dominant weights.

For a weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, define the Verma module

$$M(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\mu.$$

Let $L(\mu)$ be the μ -highest weight module, the unique irreducible quotient of $M(\mu)$.

Category $\mathcal{O}$

Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}^+$, and Borel subalgebras $\mathfrak{b}^+, \mathfrak{b}^-$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, ρ are as usual.

Let P denote the weights and

$P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ the dominant weights.

For a weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, define the Verma module

$$M(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\mu.$$

Let $L(\mu)$ be the μ -highest weight module, the unique irreducible quotient of $M(\mu)$.

$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})_\mu$ = the full subcategory of modules with the same infinitesimal character as the Verma module $M(\mu)$.

Graded Hecke algebra

Graded Hecke algebra

The affine graded Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$ (of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for our purpose) is an associative algebra with unit, generated by

Graded Hecke algebra

The affine graded Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$ (of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for our purpose) is an associative algebra with unit, generated by

- $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\}$ and

Graded Hecke algebra

The affine graded Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$ (of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for our purpose) is an associative algebra with unit, generated by

- $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\}$ and
- $\{\epsilon : \epsilon \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, subject to

Graded Hecke algebra

The affine graded Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$ (of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for our purpose) is an associative algebra with unit, generated by

- $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\}$ and
- $\{\epsilon : \epsilon \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, subject to
- $s_\alpha \cdot \epsilon - s_\alpha(\epsilon) \cdot s_\alpha = -\langle \alpha, \epsilon \rangle.$

Graded Hecke algebra

The affine graded Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$ (of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for our purpose) is an associative algebra with unit, generated by

- $\{s_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})\}$ and
- $\{\epsilon : \epsilon \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, subject to
- $s_\alpha \cdot \epsilon - s_\alpha(\epsilon) \cdot s_\alpha = -\langle \alpha, \epsilon \rangle.$

$\mathbb{H}$ is a degeneration of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{IH}$ of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (Lusztig, Drinfeld).

Recall some facts about $\mathbb{H}$. Let $Rep(\mathbb{H})$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$ -modules, and similarly define $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

Recall some facts about $\mathbb{H}$. Let $Rep(\mathbb{H})$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$ -modules, and similarly define $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

BE The center of $\mathbb{H}$ is given by the $W = S_n$ invariants in $Sym(\mathfrak{h})$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $Rep(\mathbb{H})_\lambda$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules with central character $W \cdot \lambda$.

Recall some facts about $\mathbb{H}$. Let $Rep(\mathbb{H})$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$ -modules, and similarly define $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

BE The center of $\mathbb{H}$ is given by the $W = S_n$ invariants in $Sym(\mathfrak{h})$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $Rep(\mathbb{H})_\lambda$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules with central character $W \cdot \lambda$.

BO,CA There is an equivalence of categories between the admissible representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ appearing in the unramified principal series and $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

Recall some facts about $\mathbb{H}$. Let $Rep(\mathbb{H})$ denote the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{H}$ -modules, and similarly define $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

BE The center of $\mathbb{H}$ is given by the $W = S_n$ invariants in $Sym(\mathfrak{h})$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $Rep(\mathbb{H})_\lambda$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules with central character $W \cdot \lambda$.

BO,CA There is an equivalence of categories between the admissible representations of $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ appearing in the unramified principal series and $Rep(\mathcal{IH})$.

LU Assume λ is hyperbolic. Then the categories $Rep(\mathcal{IH})_\lambda$ and $Rep(\mathbb{H})_\lambda$ are equivalent.

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Define first the functor:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\},$$

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Define first the functor:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\},$$

$$F_\lambda(X) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})_\lambda.$$

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Define first the functor:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\},$$

$$F_\lambda(X) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})_\lambda.$$

When $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$, this functor is exact.

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Define first the functor:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\},$$

$$F_\lambda(X) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})_\lambda.$$

When $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$, this functor is exact.

If Y is any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, Y) = Y/\mathfrak{n}^-Y$$

is naturally a $\mathfrak{h}$ -module.

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, \cdot)$$

Let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the standard representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Define first the functor:

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\},$$

$$F_\lambda(X) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})_\lambda.$$

When $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$, this functor is exact.

If Y is any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, Y) = Y/\mathfrak{n}^-Y$$

is naturally a $\mathfrak{h}$ -module.

Moreover $Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})_\mu$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the weights of $H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, Y)$ are all of the form

$$w \circ \mu := w(\mu + \rho) - \rho, \text{ for some } w \in W.$$

Now we want to refine the image of F_λ to $Rep(\mathbb{H})$. Define an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Now we want to refine the image of F_λ to $Rep(\mathbb{H})$. Define an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ denote the usual basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\{E_{ji}\}_{i,j}$ are dual bases with respect to the inner product $(x, y) = tr(xy)$.

Now we want to refine the image of F_λ to $Rep(\mathbb{H})$. Define an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ denote the usual basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\{E_{ji}\}_{i,j}$ are dual bases with respect to the inner product $(x, y) = tr(xy)$.

DEFINITION (A-S)

For $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ define the operator $\Omega_{ij} \in \text{End}(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})$ by

Now we want to refine the image of F_λ to $Rep(\mathbb{H})$. Define an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ denote the usual basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\{E_{ji}\}_{i,j}$ are dual bases with respect to the inner product $(x, y) = tr(xy)$.

DEFINITION (A-S)

For $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ define the operator $\Omega_{ij} \in \text{End}(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})$ by

$$\Omega_{i,j} = \sum_{1 \leq k, m \leq n} 1^{\otimes i} \otimes E_{km} \otimes 1^{\otimes j-i-1} \otimes E_{mk} \otimes 1^{\otimes n-j}.$$

Now we want to refine the image of F_λ to $Rep(\mathbb{H})$. Define an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ denote the usual basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\{E_{ji}\}_{i,j}$ are dual bases with respect to the inner product $(x, y) = tr(xy)$.

DEFINITION (A-S)

For $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ define the operator $\Omega_{ij} \in \text{End}(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n})$ by

$$\Omega_{i,j} = \sum_{1 \leq k, m \leq n} 1^{\otimes i} \otimes E_{km} \otimes 1^{\otimes j-i-1} \otimes E_{mk} \otimes 1^{\otimes n-j}.$$

Note that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\Omega_{i,j}$ is the natural permutation of the V_n -factors.

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes Sym(\mathfrak{h}).$$

THEOREM (A-S)

Set

$$\Theta_{aff}(s_i) = \Omega_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\Theta_{aff}(\epsilon_j) = \Omega_{0,j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let X be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then

- (1) Θ_{aff} defines an action of $\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}]$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.
- (2) Θ_{aff} commutes with the usual $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on the tensor product.

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes Sym(\mathfrak{h}).$$

THEOREM (A-S)

Set

$$\Theta_{aff}(s_i) = \Omega_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\Theta_{aff}(\epsilon_j) = \Omega_{0,j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes Sym(\mathfrak{h}).$$

THEOREM (A-S)

Set

$$\Theta_{aff}(s_i) = \Omega_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\Theta_{aff}(\epsilon_j) = \Omega_{0,j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let X be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

THEOREM (A-S)

Set

$$\Theta_{aff}(s_i) = \Omega_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\Theta_{aff}(\epsilon_j) = \Omega_{0,j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let X be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then

(1) Θ_{aff} defines an action of $\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}]$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Consider the group algebra of the affine Weyl group:

$$\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}] = \mathbb{C}[W] \ltimes Sym(\mathfrak{h}).$$

THEOREM (A-S)

Set

$$\Theta_{aff}(s_i) = \Omega_{i,i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\Theta_{aff}(\epsilon_j) = \Omega_{0,j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Let X be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then

- (1) Θ_{aff} defines an action of $\mathbb{C}[W_{aff}]$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.*
- (2) Θ_{aff} commutes with the usual $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on the tensor product.*

Evaluation homomorphism

It is known that for Hecke algebras of type A , there exists a surjective homomorphism:

Evaluation homomorphism

It is known that for Hecke algebras of type A , there exists a surjective homomorphism:

$$\phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W_{aff}],$$

Evaluation homomorphism

It is known that for Hecke algebras of type A , there exists a surjective homomorphism:

$$\phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W_{aff}],$$

- $\phi(s_{\alpha_i}) = s_{i,i+1},$

Evaluation homomorphism

It is known that for Hecke algebras of type A , there exists a surjective homomorphism:

$$\phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W_{aff}],$$

- $\phi(s_{\alpha_i}) = s_{i,i+1},$
- $\phi(\epsilon_j) = \epsilon_j + s_{1,j} + s_{2,j} + \cdots + s_{j-1,j}.$

Evaluation homomorphism

It is known that for Hecke algebras of type A , there exists a surjective homomorphism:

$$\phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[W_{aff}],$$

- $\phi(s_{\alpha_i}) = s_{i,i+1},$
- $\phi(\epsilon_j) = \epsilon_j + s_{1,j} + s_{2,j} + \cdots + s_{j-1,j}.$

Clearly $\phi \circ \Theta_{aff}$ defines a $\mathbb{H}$ -action.

We will need to shift this action by a character:

We will need to shift this action by a character:

$$\Theta = (\phi \circ \Theta_{aff}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

We will need to shift this action by a character:

$$\Theta = (\phi \circ \Theta_{aff}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

COROLLARY

(1) Θ defines an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.

We will need to shift this action by a character:

$$\Theta = (\phi \circ \Theta_{aff}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

COROLLARY

- (1) Θ defines an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.
- (2) The action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ given by Θ commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

We will need to shift this action by a character:

$$\Theta = (\phi \circ \Theta_{aff}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

COROLLARY

- (1) Θ defines an action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$.
- (2) The action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ given by Θ commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

So one sees that the functor F_λ can actually be defined as

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Image of Verma modules

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Then we have:

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Then we have:

$$F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, M(\mu) \otimes Y)_\lambda$$

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Then we have:

$$F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, M(\mu) \otimes Y)_\lambda$$

= λ -highest weight vectors in $M(\mu) \otimes Y$

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Then we have:

$$F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, M(\mu) \otimes Y)_\lambda$$

= λ -highest weight vectors in $M(\mu) \otimes Y$

= λ -highest weight vectors in $\operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}})$

Image of Verma modules

$M(\mu)$ = Verma module with highest weight μ .

If Y is finite dimensional, then

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}).$$

Then we have:

$$F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, M(\mu) \otimes Y)_\lambda$$

= λ -highest weight vectors in $M(\mu) \otimes Y$

= λ -highest weight vectors in $\operatorname{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathbb{C}_\mu \otimes Y|_{\mathfrak{h}})$

= $(\lambda - \mu)$ -weight vectors in $Y|_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module.

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(Y)_{\lambda-\mu} \cong F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)).$$

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(Y)_{\lambda-\mu} \cong F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)).$$

When $Y = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(Y)_{\lambda-\mu} \cong F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)).$$

When $Y = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

In particular,

$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a weight of $V_n^{\otimes n}$.

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(Y)_{\lambda-\mu} \cong F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)).$$

When $Y = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

In particular,

$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a weight of $V_n^{\otimes n}$.

In this case, $\lambda - \mu = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$.

Key lemma

LEMMA (A-S)

Let Y be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. The natural inclusion $Y_{\lambda-\mu} \rightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes Y)_{\lambda}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(Y)_{\lambda-\mu} \cong F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)).$$

When $Y = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

In particular,

$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a weight of $V_n^{\otimes n}$.

In this case, $\lambda - \mu = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$.

Then, $F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_n / (W_{\ell_1} \times \dots \times W_{\ell_n})]$, as a W -representation.

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows:

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows: for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$, consider the subalgebra

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows: for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$, consider the subalgebra

$$H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}.$$

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows: for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$, consider the subalgebra

$$H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}.$$

Form

$$X(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \mathbb{H}_n \otimes_{H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi_n}),$$

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows: for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$, consider the subalgebra

$$H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}.$$

Form

$$X(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \mathbb{H}_n \otimes_{H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi_n}),$$

for every multiset $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ of central characters.

Standard $\mathbb{H}$ -modules

The standard modules for $\mathbb{H}$ are constructed as follows: for every partition $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i = n$, consider the subalgebra

$$H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}.$$

Form

$$X(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) = \mathbb{H}_n \otimes_{H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_n}} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi_n}),$$

for every multiset $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$ of central characters.

Every χ_i can be thought as a *segment* $(a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i)$, with $b_i - a_i + 1 = \ell_i$.

Remarks

- $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a cyclic vector $1_{\underline{\chi}} = 1_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{\chi_n}$.

- $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a cyclic vector $1_{\underline{\chi}} = 1_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{\chi_n}$.
- $X(\underline{\chi}) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_n / (W_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\ell_n})]$ as a W -module.

- $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a cyclic vector $1_{\underline{\chi}} = 1_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{\chi_n}$.
- $X(\underline{\chi}) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_n / (W_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\ell_n})]$ as a W -module.
- If $\underline{\chi}$ is *nested* (in the sense of Zelevinski), then $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a unique simple quotient $\overline{X}(\underline{\chi})$.

- $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a cyclic vector $1_{\underline{\chi}} = 1_{\chi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_{\chi_n}$.
- $X(\underline{\chi}) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_n / (W_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\ell_n})]$ as a W -module.
- If $\underline{\chi}$ is *nested* (in the sense of Zelevinski), then $X(\underline{\chi})$ has a unique simple quotient $\overline{X}(\underline{\chi})$. Call such $X(\underline{\chi})$ a *standard module*.

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\chi_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i), \text{ with } a_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle, \ b_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

We will refer to this $\underline{\chi}$ as $\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$.

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\chi_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i), \text{ with } a_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle, \quad b_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

We will refer to this $\underline{\chi}$ as $\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$.

This choice is given by the *matching* of geometry and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\chi_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i), \text{ with } a_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle, \quad b_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

We will refer to this $\underline{\chi}$ as $\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$.

This choice is given by the *matching* of geometry and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

In this setting, this was realized by Zelevinski (1981).

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\chi_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i), \text{ with } a_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle, \quad b_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

We will refer to this $\underline{\chi}$ as $\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$.

This choice is given by the *matching* of geometry and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

In this setting, this was realized by Zelevinski (1981). (See Peter's talk for this and the explicit combinatorics.)

$$\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$$

It remains only to choose the right $\underline{\chi}$ for the weights λ, μ :

$$\chi_i = (a_i, a_i + 1, \dots, b_i), \text{ with } a_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle, \quad b_i = \langle \lambda + \rho, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

We will refer to this $\underline{\chi}$ as $\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)$.

This choice is given by the *matching* of geometry and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

In this setting, this was realized by Zelevinski (1981). (See Peter's talk for this and the explicit combinatorics.)

If $\lambda + \rho \in P^+$, then the multiset $\underline{\chi}$ is nested.

Theorem

THEOREM (A-S)

Assume λ is dominant. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules:

THEOREM (A-S)

Assume λ is dominant. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules:

$$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) = \begin{cases} X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)), & \text{if } \lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

THEOREM (A-S)

Assume λ is dominant. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules:

$$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) = \begin{cases} X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)), & \text{if } \lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n})$,

Theorem

THEOREM (A-S)

Assume λ is dominant. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ -modules:

$$F_{\lambda}(M(\mu)) = \begin{cases} X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)), & \text{if } \lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n})$, then $F_{\lambda}(L(\mu)) = \overline{X}(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$.

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$.

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$. This is a direct calculation which uses the fact that $\bar{F}_\lambda(M(\mu))$ is a space of highest weight vectors.

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$. This is a direct calculation which uses the fact that $\overline{F}_\lambda(M(\mu))$ is a space of highest weight vectors.

The second part, i.e., the image of simple modules, is implied from the matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$. This is a direct calculation which uses the fact that $\bar{F}_\lambda(M(\mu))$ is a space of highest weight vectors.

The second part, i.e., the image of simple modules, is implied from the matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

An alternate proof (Suzuki) goes as follows:

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$. This is a direct calculation which uses the fact that $\bar{F}_\lambda(M(\mu))$ is a space of highest weight vectors.

The second part, i.e., the image of simple modules, is implied from the matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

An alternate proof (Suzuki) goes as follows: one sees that the Shapovalov form on $M(\mu)$ induces a $\mathbb{H}$ -hermitian bilinear form on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$, and then on $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$.

PROOF.

For the first part, it only remains to see that there exists a vector in $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$, which transforms under $\Theta(\epsilon_i)$ like the cyclic vector of $X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu))$. This is a direct calculation which uses the fact that $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$ is a space of highest weight vectors.

The second part, i.e., the image of simple modules, is implied from the matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

An alternate proof (Suzuki) goes as follows: one sees that the Shapovalov form on $M(\mu)$ induces a $\mathbb{H}$ -hermitian bilinear form on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$, and then on $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$.

In addition, a nondegenerate $\mathfrak{g}$ -form on $L(\mu)$ induces a nondegenerate $\mathbb{H}$ -form on $F_\lambda(L(\mu))$.



Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

① $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

① $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

② $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong (V_n^{\otimes n})_{\lambda-\mu}$

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

① $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

② $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong (V_n^{\otimes n})_{\lambda-\mu} \cong \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(V_n^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\mu, \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ as W -modules.

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

① $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

② $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong (V_n^{\otimes n})_{\lambda-\mu} \cong \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(V_n^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\mu, \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ as W -modules.

③ There is a geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials $(\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

① $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

② $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong (V_n^{\otimes n})_{\lambda-\mu} \cong \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(V_n^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\mu, \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ as W -modules.

③ There is a geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials $(\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

④ If $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n})$, then $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

Review

Assume $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant. The key steps were:

❶ $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}),$

$$F_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^-, X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}), \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$$

is an exact functor.

❷ $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong (V_n^{\otimes n})_{\lambda-\mu} \cong \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(V_n^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\mu, \mathbb{C}_\lambda)$ as W -modules.

❸ There is a geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials $(\lambda, \mu \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

❹ If $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes n})$, then $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = X(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

❺ From (3) and (4), it follows that $F_\lambda(L(\mu)) = \overline{X}(\underline{\chi}(\lambda, \mu)).$

How to do the construction for $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$?

How to do the construction for $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$?

- Peter explained the geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials yesterday. In other words, we have item (3).

How to do the construction for $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$?

- Peter explained the geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials yesterday. In other words, we have item (3).
- First, we present the construction of F_λ , so item (1).

How to do the construction for $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$?

- Peter explained the geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials yesterday. In other words, we have item (3).
- First, we present the construction of F_λ , so item (1).
- We find the analogous statement for (2).

How to do the construction for $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$?

- Peter explained the geometric matching of Kazhdan-Lusztig-Vogan polynomials yesterday. In other words, we have item (3).
- First, we present the construction of F_λ , so item (1).
- We find the analogous statement for (2).
- (Then (3) follows by a calculation similar to that for category $\mathcal{O}$.)

Notation

Notation

θ is the Cartan involution of G and $\mathfrak{g}$;

$H^s = T^s A^s \subset G$ is a θ -stable Cartan subgroup;

Notation

θ is the Cartan involution of G and $\mathfrak{g}$;

$H^s = T^s A^s \subset G$ is a θ -stable Cartan subgroup;

$B^+ = H^s N^{s,+}$ is a Borel subgroup and $B^- = H^s N^{s,-}$ the opposite;

$\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$ are the positive roots with respect to B^+ ;

$\mathfrak{h}^s, \mathfrak{n}^{s,-}$ etc. are the complexified Lie algebras.

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^s$ whose differential is λ .

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^s$ whose differential is λ . Regard $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as a character of H^s by restriction.

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^s$ whose differential is λ . Regard $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as a character of H^s by restriction.

DEFINITION

Define the functor

$$f_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\}, \text{ by}$$

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^s$ whose differential is λ . Regard $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as a character of H^s by restriction.

DEFINITION

Define the functor

$f_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\}$, by

$$f_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{H^s}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^{s,-}, X), \mathbb{C}_\lambda).$$

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H^s_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose differential is λ . Regard $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as a character of H^s by restriction.

DEFINITION

Define the functor

$$f_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\}, \text{ by}$$

$$f_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{H^s}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^{s,-}, X), \mathbb{C}_\lambda).$$

Assume X has an infinitesimal character. Then $f_\lambda(X) \neq 0$ only if the infinitesimal character of X is $\lambda + \rho$ (Casselman-Osborne).

The functor f_λ

Let $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^s)^*$ be a weight such that $\lambda + \rho$ is weakly dominant with respect to $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ be a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^s$ whose differential is λ . Regard $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as a character of H^s by restriction.

DEFINITION

Define the functor

$$f_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G) \rightarrow \{\text{finite dimensional vector spaces}\}, \text{ by}$$

$$f_\lambda(X) = \text{Hom}_{H^s}(H_0(\mathfrak{n}^{s,-}, X), \mathbb{C}_\lambda).$$

Assume X has an infinitesimal character. Then $f_\lambda(X) \neq 0$ only if the infinitesimal character of X is $\lambda + \rho$ (Casselman-Osborne). So one can consider

$$f_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}_{\lambda+\rho} \rightarrow \{\text{vector spaces}\}.$$

Frobenius reciprocity

In this setting (Casselman):

$$f_{\lambda}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K}(X, \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)),$$

where Ind denotes *normalized* induction.

Frobenius reciprocity

In this setting (Casselman):

$$f_{\lambda}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g},K}(X, \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)),$$

where Ind denotes *normalized* induction.

Note. $\lambda + \rho$ was assumed *weakly* regular.

Frobenius reciprocity

In this setting (Casselman):

$$f_{\lambda}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K}(X, \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)),$$

where Ind denotes *normalized* induction.

Note. $\lambda + \rho$ was assumed *weakly* regular.

If $\lambda + \rho$ is regular, $\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)$ has a unique irreducible submodule (Langlands, Miličević).

Frobenius reciprocity

In this setting (Casselman):

$$f_{\lambda}(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}, K}(X, \mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)),$$

where Ind denotes *normalized* induction.

Note. $\lambda + \rho$ was assumed *weakly* regular.

If $\lambda + \rho$ is regular, $\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)$ has a unique irreducible submodule (Langlands, Miličević).

If $\lambda + \rho$ is singular, $\mathrm{Ind}_{B^-}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \otimes e^{\rho} \otimes 1)$ still has a unique irreducible submodule, but this is particular to $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

Q: Is $F_\lambda(X)$ a $\mathbb{H}$ -module?

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

Q: Is $F_\lambda(X)$ a $\mathbb{H}$ -module?

Recall that the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ (via Ω_{ij}) was defined for any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

Q: Is $F_\lambda(X)$ a $\mathbb{H}$ -module?

Recall that the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ (via Ω_{ij}) was defined for any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

Q': Does the diagonal $K = O(n)$ action commute with the $\mathbb{H}$ -action too?

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

Q: Is $F_\lambda(X)$ a $\mathbb{H}$ -module?

Recall that the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ (via Ω_{ij}) was defined for any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

Q': Does the diagonal $K = O(n)$ action commute with the $\mathbb{H}$ -action too?

LEMMA

For every $x \in K$, if $\pi(x)$ denotes the diagonal action on the tensor product $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$, we have

The functor F_λ

As in the category $\mathcal{O}$ case, if X is an object in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$, define

$$F_\lambda(X) = f_\lambda(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}).$$

Q: Is $F_\lambda(X)$ a $\mathbb{H}$ -module?

Recall that the action of $\mathbb{H}$ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$ (via Ω_{ij}) was defined for any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, and commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}$ -action.

Q': Does the diagonal $K = O(n)$ action commute with the $\mathbb{H}$ -action too?

LEMMA

For every $x \in K$, if $\pi(x)$ denotes the diagonal action on the tensor product $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes n}$, we have

$$\pi(x) \circ \Omega_{ij} \circ \pi(x^{-1}) = \Omega_{ij}.$$

In conclusion, the functor F_λ can be regarded as

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}).$$

In conclusion, the functor F_λ can be regarded as

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Now, the main task is the computation of $F_\lambda(X)$ for certain standard modules in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$.

In conclusion, the functor F_λ can be regarded as

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Now, the main task is the computation of $F_\lambda(X)$ for certain standard modules in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$.

Q: What standard modules should we choose?

In conclusion, the functor F_λ can be regarded as

$$F_\lambda : \mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{H}).$$

Now, the main task is the computation of $F_\lambda(X)$ for certain standard modules in $\mathcal{HC}(G)_{\lambda+\rho}$.

Q: What standard modules should we choose?

A: Final limit standard modules (Vogan) with unique simple submodules.

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,
- $P \supset B$, the fixed Borel, so in particular $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^s$.

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,
- $P \supset B$, the fixed Borel, so in particular $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^s$.
- δ is a relative discrete series or a relative limit of discrete series of L , and

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,
- $P \supset B$, the fixed Borel, so in particular $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^s$.
- δ is a relative discrete series or a relative limit of discrete series of L , and
- the character by which δ acts on $\mathfrak{a}$ is weakly antidominant with respect to the roots of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$.

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,
- $P \supset B$, the fixed Borel, so in particular $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^s$.
- δ is a relative discrete series or a relative limit of discrete series of L , and
- the character by which δ acts on $\mathfrak{a}$ is weakly antidominant with respect to the roots of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$.

$X(P, \delta)$ has a unique simple submodule $\overline{X}(P, \delta)$.

Final limit standard modules (Vogan)

$$X(P, \delta) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta \otimes 1),$$

where

- $P = LN$ is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup, attached to a θ -stable Cartan $H = TA$,
- $P \supset B$, the fixed Borel, so in particular $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}^s$.
- δ is a relative discrete series or a relative limit of discrete series of L , and
- the character by which δ acts on $\mathfrak{a}$ is weakly antidominant with respect to the roots of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$.

$X(P, \delta)$ has a unique simple submodule $\overline{X}(P, \delta)$.

Every irreducible module is such a submodule for some P, δ .

Let $P = LN, \delta, H = TA$ be as before.

Let $P = LN, \delta, H = TA$ be as before.

Make a *choice* of positive roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, such that

every root of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ is the restriction of a root in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

This choice also determines $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, the positive imaginary roots.

Let $P = LN, \delta, H = TA$ be as before.

Make a *choice* of positive roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, such that

every root of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ is the restriction of a root in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

This choice also determines $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, the positive imaginary roots.

Via the (unique) isomorphism $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$, the character $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ can be regarded as a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence of H .

Let $P = LN, \delta, H = TA$ be as before.

Make a *choice* of positive roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, such that

every root of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ is the restriction of a root in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

This choice also determines $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, the positive imaginary roots.

Via the (unique) isomorphism $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$, the character $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ can be regarded as a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence of H .

DEFINITION

$LKT^+(\delta)$ is the space of H -highest weight vectors with respect to $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ in the lowest $(L \cap K)$ -type of δ .

Let $P = LN$, δ , $H = TA$ be as before.

Make a *choice* of positive roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, such that

every root of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ is the restriction of a root in $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$.

This choice also determines $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, the positive imaginary roots.

Via the (unique) isomorphism $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^s)$, the character $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ can be regarded as a character of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence of H .

DEFINITION

$LKT^+(\delta)$ is the space of H -highest weight vectors with respect to $\Delta_{im}^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ in the lowest $(L \cap K)$ -type of δ .

In $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\dim LKT^+(\delta) = 1$.

Main computation

Main computation

THEOREM

Suppose $X = X(P, \delta)$ is a final limit standard module. Let F be a finite dimensional module of G . Then there is a natural isomorphism

Main computation

THEOREM

Suppose $X = X(P, \delta)$ is a final limit standard module. Let F be a finite dimensional module of G . Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_\lambda(X \otimes F) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(F \otimes LKT^+(\delta), \mathbb{C}_\lambda).$$

Main computation

THEOREM

Suppose $X = X(P, \delta)$ is a final limit standard module. Let F be a finite dimensional module of G . Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_{\lambda}(X \otimes F) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(F \otimes LKT^+(\delta), \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}).$$

When $F = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

Main computation

THEOREM

Suppose $X = X(P, \delta)$ is a final limit standard module. Let F be a finite dimensional module of G . Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$f_{\lambda}(X \otimes F) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(F \otimes LKT^+(\delta), \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}).$$

When $F = V_n^{\otimes n}$, this is an isomorphism of W -modules.

Then the rest of the machinery can be applied.

Future?

Future?

- Let $D_2(\mathbb{F})$ denote the 4 dimensional central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Future?

- Let $D_2(\mathbb{F})$ denote the 4 dimensional central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Assume n is even. It should be possible (easy?) to immitate this construction for a functor (and matching of KLV polynomials) between $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{R}))$ and $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. This would complete the matching for inner forms of $GL(n)$.

Future?

- Let $D_2(\mathbb{F})$ denote the 4 dimensional central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.
Assume n is even. It should be possible (easy?) to immitate this construction for a functor (and matching of KLV polynomials) between $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{R}))$ and $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. This would complete the matching for inner forms of $GL(n)$.
- Jantzen's filtration correspondence for $GL(n)$ (generalize Suzuki 1998).

Future?

- Let $D_2(\mathbb{F})$ denote the 4 dimensional central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.
Assume n is even. It should be possible (easy?) to immitate this construction for a functor (and matching of KLV polynomials) between $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{R}))$ and $GL(n/2D_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. This would complete the matching for inner forms of $GL(n)$.
- Jantzen's filtration correspondence for $GL(n)$ (generalize Suzuki 1998).
- Functors for other classical groups? We have (a lot of the) necessary combinatorics of the matching. How can one define an action of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$?

Future?

- Let $D_2(\mathbb{F})$ denote the 4 dimensional central division algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$.
Assume n is even. It should be possible (easy?) to immitate this construction for a functor (and matching of KLV polynomials) between $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{R}))$ and $GL(n/2, D_2(\mathbb{Q}_p))$. This would complete the matching for inner forms of $GL(n)$.
- Jantzen's filtration correspondence for $GL(n)$ (generalize Suzuki 1998).
- Functors for other classical groups? We have (a lot of the) necessary combinatorics of the matching. How can one define an action of the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}$?
- We know the matching fails for exceptional groups, in F_4 for example. Is there a fix (or a good explanation)?