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## CHAPTER I

## Representations of finite groups

## 1. Category of representations of finite groups

1.1. Category of group representations. Let $G$ be a group. Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ the general linear group of $V$, i.e., the group of all linear automorphisms of $V$.

A representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ on the vector space $V$ is a group homomorphism $\pi: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. A morphism $\phi:(\pi, V) \longrightarrow(\nu, U)$ of representation $(\pi, V)$ into $(\mu, U)$ is a linear map $\phi: V \longrightarrow U$ such that the diagram

commutes for all $g \in G$. Morphisms of representations are also called intertwining maps. The set of all morphisms of $(\pi, V)$ into $(\nu, U)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, U)$.

It is easy to check that all representations of $G$ form a category $\mathcal{R e p}(G)$ of representations of $G$.

An isomorphism $\phi:(\pi, V) \longrightarrow(\nu, U)$ in this category is a morphism of representations which is a linear isomorphism of the vector space $V$ with $U$. If two representations are isomorphic, we say that they are equivalent.

Let $(\pi, V)$ and $(\nu, U)$ be two representations of $G$. Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two morphisms in $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, U)$. Then $\phi+\psi$ is also a morphism of $(\pi, V)$ into $(\nu, U)$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, U)$ is an abelian group. Moreover, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we can define a morphism $\alpha \phi$ by $(\alpha \phi)(v)=\alpha \phi(v)$ for any $v \in V$. In this way, $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, U)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$.

If $(\pi, V)$ and $(\nu, U)$ are two representations of $G$, we can define the representation $\pi \oplus \nu$ of $G$ on $V \oplus U$ such that $(\pi \oplus \nu)(g)(v, u)=(\pi(g) v, \nu(g) u)$ for all $g \in G$, $v \in V$ and $u \in U$. The representation $\pi \oplus \nu$ is called the direct sum of $\pi$ and $\nu$.

Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $U$ be a subspace of $V$ which is invariant for $G$, i.e., $\pi(g)(U) \subset U$ for all $g \in G$. Then the linear maps $\pi(g)$ restricted to $U$ define linear maps $\nu(g), g \in G$. Clearly, $(\nu, U)$ is a representation of $G$. We call it the subrepresentation of $\pi$ on $U$.

Let $\phi:(\pi, V) \longrightarrow(\nu, U)$ is a morphism of representations. Then, ker $\phi \subset V$ is a $G$-invariant subspace of $V$. Hence, $\operatorname{ker} \phi$ is a subrepresentation of $(\pi, V)$. Also, $\operatorname{im} \phi$ is a $G$-invariant subspace of $U$, so $\operatorname{im} \phi$ is a subrepresentation of $(\nu, U)$.

Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $U$ be an invariant subspace of $V$. For each $g \in G$ we define a linear operator $\rho(g)$ on the quotient space $V / U$ by
$\rho(g)(v+U)=\pi(g) v+U$ for any $g \in G$. Then $(\rho, V / U)$ is a quotient representation of $(\pi, V)$.

Clearly, the category $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ is an abelian category.
If the vector space $V$ is equipped with an inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ and all linear operators $\pi(g), g \in G$, are unitary with respect to this inner product structure, we say that the representation $(\pi, V)$ is unitary.
1.2. Representations of finite groups. Let $G$ be a group. We say that $G$ is a finite group, if $G$ is a finite set.

In this section we assume that the group $G$ is finite. We put $[G]=\operatorname{Card}(G)$.
A representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ is finite-dimensional if $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. We put $\operatorname{dim} \pi=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V$.
1.2.1. Lemma. Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $v \in V, v \neq 0$. Then there exists a finite-dimensional subrepresentation $(\nu, U)$ of $(\pi, V)$ such that $v \in U$.

Proof. Let $U$ be the vector subspace of $V$ generated by vectors $\pi(g) v, g \in G$. Then $U$ is $G$-invariant and finite-dimensional. Moreover, $v=\pi(1) v$ is in $U$.

A representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ is called irreducible if $V \neq 0$ and the only $G$ invariant subspaces in $V$ are $\{0\}$ and $V$.
1.2.2. Theorem. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then $\pi$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. Let $v \in V, v \neq 0$. By 1.2.1, $V$ contains a finite-dimensional $G$ invariant subspace $U$ such that $v \in U$. If $\pi$ is irreducible, we must have $U=V$ and $V$ is finite-dimensional.
1.2.3. Corollary. Every nonzero representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ contains an irreducible subrepresentation.

The main result on representations of finite groups is the following observation.
1.2.4. Theorem (Mascke). Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $(\nu, U)$ be a subrepresentation of $(\pi, V)$. Then there exists a subrepresentation $(\rho, W)$ of $(\pi, V)$ such that $\pi=\nu \oplus \rho$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a projector of $V$ onto $U$. Consider the linear map

$$
Q=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right) P \pi(g)
$$

on $V$. Clearly, since $U$ is $G$-invariant, $Q(V) \subset U$. Moreover, for any $u \in U$, we have

$$
Q u=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right) P \pi(g) u=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} u=u
$$

Therefore, $U=\operatorname{im} Q$ and $Q^{2}=Q$. It follows that $Q$ is a projection onto $U$ along $\operatorname{ker} Q$.

In addition, we have

$$
Q \pi(h)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right) P \pi(g h)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi\left(h g^{-1}\right) P \pi(g)=\pi(h) Q
$$

for all $h \in G$, i.e., $Q$ is a morphism of $(\pi, V)$ into $(\nu, U)$. Hence $W=\operatorname{ker} Q$ is a $G$-invariant subspace and $V=U \oplus W$.

Therefore, the category $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G)$ is semisimple.
1.3. Schur Lemma. Let $(\nu, U)$ and $(\pi, V)$ be two irreducible representations of $G$. Let $\varphi$ be a morphism of $\nu$ into $\pi$. Then, $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is a subrepresentation of $\nu$. Since $\nu$ is irreducible, $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is equal to either $\{0\}$ or $U$. In the latter case, we see that $\varphi=0$. In the first case, $\varphi$ is injective. It follows that $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ cannot be $\{0\}$. Since $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ is a subrepresentation of $\pi$, It follows that it must be equal to $V$, and $\varphi$ is an isomorphism.

This implies the following result.
1.3.1. Proposition. Let $(\nu, U)$ and $(\pi, V)$ be two irreducible representations of $G$. Assume that $\pi$ and $\nu$ are not isomorphic. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(U, V)=\{0\}$.

In addition we have the following result.
1.3.2. Theorem (Schur Lemma). Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, V)=\mathbb{C} I$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be an endomorphism of $\pi$. Since $V$ is finite-dimensional, $\varphi$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $\psi=\varphi-\lambda I$ is an endomorphism of $\pi$ which is not injective. By the above discussion, it must be equal to 0 . Hence, we have $\varphi=\lambda I$.
1.4. Regular representation. Let $G$ be a finite group. Denote by $\mathbb{C}[G]$ the space of all complex valued functions on $G$. clearly, $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}[G]=[G]$. The vector space $\mathbb{C}[G]$ has a structure of inner product space with the inner product

$$
\left(f \mid f^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{f^{\prime}(g)}
$$

for $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$.
for $g \in G$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ define the function $R(g) f$ by $(R(g) f)(h)=f(g h)$ for any $h \in G$. Clearly, $R(g): f \longmapsto R(g) f$ is a linear map on $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Moreover, for $g, h \in G$, we have

$$
(R(g h) f)(k)=f(k g h)=(R(h) f)(k g)=(R(g) R(h) f)(k)
$$

for any $k \in K$. Therefore $R(g h)=R(g) R(h)$. Clearly, $R(1)=I$. It follows that $(R, \mathcal{C}[G])$ is a representation of $G$. We call it the (right) regular representation of $G$.

### 1.4.1. Lemma. The right regular representation is unitary.

Proof. Clearly, for $g \in G$, we have

$$
\left(R(g) f \mid R(g) f^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} f(h g) \overline{f^{\prime}(h g)}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} f(h) \overline{f^{\prime}(h)}=\left(f \mid f^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. Therefore, $R(g), g \in G$, are unitary operators.
The following property of regular representation is critical.
1.4.2. Lemma. Let $g \in G, g \neq 1$. Then $R(g) \neq I$.

Proof. Denote by $\delta_{h}$ the function on $G$ which is 1 at point $h \in G$ and zero everywhere else. Then we have

$$
\left(R(g) \delta_{1}\right)(h)=\delta_{1}(h g)=\delta_{g^{-1}}(h)
$$

for any $h \in G$, i.e., $R(g) \delta_{1}=\delta_{g^{-1}} \neq \delta_{1}$.

Since $R$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations of $G$, this result has a following consequence.
1.4.3. Theorem. Let $g \in G, g \neq 1$. Then there exists an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G$ such that $\pi(g) \neq I$.

In other words, irreducible representations of $G$ separate points in $G$.
1.5. Abelian finite groups. Let $G$ be a finite group. Let $\pi$ be an onedimensional representation of $G$. Then $\pi(g)=\lambda(g) I$, where $\lambda: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is group homomorphism of $G$ into the multiplicative group of complex numbers different than zero. This implies that $g \longmapsto|\lambda(g)|$ is a homomorphism of $G$ into the multiplicative group of positive real numbers $\mathbb{R}^{*}$. Since 1 is the only element of that group of finite order, we conclude that $|\lambda(g)|=1$, i.e., $\lambda$ is a homomorphism of $G$ into the group of complex numbers of absolute value equal to 1 . We call such homomorphisms the characters of $G$.

Assume that $G$ is abelian finite group. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Let $g \in G$. Then

$$
\pi(g) \pi(h)=\pi(g h)=\pi(h g)=\pi(h) \pi(g)
$$

for all $h \in G$. Therefore, by Schur Lemma, we see that $\pi(g)=\lambda(g) I$ for some complex number $\lambda(g) \neq 0$. By the above discussion, $\lambda$ is a character of $G$. This in turn implies that $\operatorname{dim} \pi=1$.
1.5.1. Proposition. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $G$ is abelian;
(i) all irreducible representations of $G$ are one-dimensional.

Proof. We already proved that (i) implies (ii).
Assume that all irreducible representations are one-dimensional. Let $g, h \in G$. Consider the element $a=g h g^{-1} h^{-1}$. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then $\pi$ is one-dimensional and

$$
\pi(a)=\pi\left(g h g^{-1} h^{-1}\right)=\pi(g) \pi(h) \pi(g)^{-1} \pi(h)^{-1}=I
$$

since $\pi(g)$ and $\pi(h)$ commute. By 1.4.3, this implies that $a=1$, i.e., $g h g^{-1} h^{-1}=1$. It follows that $g h=h g$ for all $g, h \in G$, i.e., $G$ is abelian.

Hence, all irreducible representations of an abelian finite group are characters. Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two characters of $G$. Then we have

$$
\phi(g)(\phi \mid \psi)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \phi(g h) \overline{\psi(h)}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \phi(h) \overline{\psi\left(g^{-1} h\right)}=\psi(g)(\phi \mid \psi)
$$

for any $g \in G$. Hence, if $\phi$ and $\psi$ are different, they are orthogonal to each other. Moreover, for a character $\phi$ we have

$$
\|\phi\|^{2}=(\phi \mid \phi)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{\phi(g)}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \phi\left(g^{-1}\right)=1
$$

Hence, the characters form an orthonormal family of functions in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Moreover, we have the following result.
1.5.2. Proposition. Characters form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Proof. Since irreducible representations of $G$ are characters, $R$ is an direct sum of characters. This implies that there is a basis $e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq[G]$, and characters $\phi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq[G]$, such that $R(g) e_{i}=\phi_{i}(g) e_{i}$ for any $g \in G$. This in turn implies that

$$
e_{i}(g)=\left(R(g) e_{i}\right)(1)=\phi_{i}(g) e_{i}(1)
$$

for all $g \in G$. Since $e_{i}$ is a nonzero vector, we must have $e_{i}(1) \neq 0$. Hence $e_{i}$ is proportional to $\phi_{i}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is spanned by characters.

Let $\hat{G}$ be the set of all characters of $G$. Let $\phi, \psi$ be two characters of $G$. Define their product as $(\phi \cdot \psi)(g)=\phi(g) \cdot \psi(g)$ for all $g \in G$. This defines a binary operation on $\hat{G}$. It is easy to check that $\hat{G}$ is an abelian group with this operation. By the above result, $\hat{G}$ is finite and $[\hat{G}]=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C}[G]=[G]$. We call $\hat{G}$ the dual group of $G$.

Applying the above discussion twice, we get

$$
[\hat{\hat{G}}]=[\hat{G}]=[G]
$$

Let $g \in G$. Then the map $\phi \longmapsto \phi(g)$ is a character of $\hat{G}$. This defines a map $\alpha$ from $G$ into $\hat{\hat{G}}$. Moreover,

$$
\alpha(g h)(\phi)=\phi(g h)=\phi(g) \phi(h)=\alpha(g)(\phi) \alpha(h)(\phi)=(\alpha(g) \cdot \alpha(h))(\phi)
$$

for all $\phi \in \hat{G}$, i.e., $\alpha: G \longrightarrow \hat{\hat{G}}$ is a group morphism.
Assume that $\alpha(g)=1$. Then $\alpha(g)(\phi)=\phi(g)=1$ for all $\phi \in \hat{G}$. By 1.4.3, it follows that $g=1$. Therefore, $\alpha$ is an injective morphism. Hence, $\alpha: G \longrightarrow \hat{\hat{G}}$ is a group isomorphism.
1.5.3. Theorem. Let $G$ be an abelian finite group and $\hat{G}$ its dual group. Then
(i) $[\hat{G}]=[G]$;
(ii) $\alpha: G \longrightarrow \hat{\hat{G}}$ is an isomorphism.

This is a special case of Pontryagin duality.
Since characters form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, any function $f$ in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ can be written as

$$
f=\sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}}(f \mid \phi) \phi .
$$

By Bessel equality, we have

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}}|(f \mid \phi)|^{2}
$$

We define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} f$ of $f$ as the function on $\hat{G}$ given by

$$
(\mathcal{F} f)(\phi)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\phi(g)}, \quad \phi \in \hat{G}
$$

Therefore, the inverse Fourier transform is given by

$$
f(g)=\sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}}(\mathcal{F} f)(\phi) \phi(g), \quad g \in G
$$

The above equality then implies that

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{\phi \in \hat{G}}|(\mathcal{F} f)(\phi)|^{2}
$$

This is a special case of Plancherel theorem.
1.6. Unitarity. Let $(\pi, V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $G$. Let $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ be an inner product on $V$.

Put

$$
(u \mid v)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G}\langle\pi(g) u \mid \pi(g) v\rangle
$$

Clearly, $(u, v) \longmapsto(u \mid v)$ is a linear in first and antilinear in the second variable. Moreover, we have $(u \mid v)=\overline{(v \mid u)}$. In addition,

$$
(v \mid v)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G}\langle\pi(g) \mid \pi(g) v\rangle \geq 0
$$

for any $v \in V$. If $(v \mid v)=0$, we have $\langle\pi(g) v \mid \pi(g) v\rangle=0$ for all $g \in G$. In particular $\langle v \mid v\rangle=0$, and $v=0$. Hence, $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is an inner product on $V$.
1.6.1. Lemma. Inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is $G$-invariant.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Then we have

$$
(\pi(g) u \mid \pi(g) v)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G}\langle\pi(h g) u \mid \pi(h g) v\rangle=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G}\langle\pi(h) u \mid \pi(h) v\rangle=(u \mid v)
$$

Therefore, there exists an inner product on $V$ such that $(\pi, V)$ is a unitary representation.
1.7. Orthogonality relations. Let $(\nu, U)$ and $(\pi, V)$ be two irreducible representations of $G$. Let $A: U \longrightarrow V$ be a linear map. Define

$$
B=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g) A \nu\left(g^{-1}\right) .
$$

Then, $B$ is also a linear map from $U$ into $V$.
Let $g \in G$. Then

$$
\pi(g) B=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \pi(g h) A \nu\left(h^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \pi(h) A \nu\left(h^{-1} g\right)=B \nu(g)
$$

Hence, it follows that $B \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(U, V)$.
If $\nu$ and $\pi$ are not equivalent, by Schur Lemma, we have $B=0$.
1.7.1. Lemma. Let $(\nu, U)$ and $(\pi, V)$ be two inequivalent irreducible representations of $G$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g) A \nu\left(g^{-1}\right)=0
$$

for any linear operator $A: U \longrightarrow V$.
Consider now an irreducible representation $(\pi, V)$ and a linear map $A: V \longrightarrow$ $V$. Let

$$
B=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g) A \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)
$$

Then $B \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V, V)$. By Schur Lemma, we conclude that $B=\lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Moreover, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr} B=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{tr}\left(\pi(g) A \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{tr} A=\operatorname{tr} A
$$

This implies the following result.
1.7.2. Lemma. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g) A \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} I
$$

for any linear operator $A: V \longrightarrow V$.
By 1.6.1, we can assume that $U$ and $V$ are equipped with $G$-invariant inner products. Let $\left(e_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} \nu\right)$ and $\left(f_{j} ; 1 \leq j \leq \operatorname{dim} \pi\right)$, be two orthonormal bases of $U$ and $V$ respectively. Denote by $\nu(g)_{p q}$ and $\pi(g)_{r s}$ the matrix coefficients of $\nu(g)$ and $\pi(g)$ respectively. Then we first observe that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \nu} \frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r s} A_{s p} \nu\left(g^{-1}\right)_{p q}=0
$$

where $A_{s p}$ are matrix coefficients of $A$. Since $A$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r s} \nu\left(g^{-1}\right)_{p q}=0
$$

for all $p, q, r, s$. Clearly, since $\left(\nu\left(g^{-1}\right)_{p q}\right)$ is a unitary matrix, we have $\nu\left(g^{-1}\right)_{p q}=$ $\overline{\nu(g)_{q p}}$ for all $p, q$. Hence, we conclude that

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r s} \overline{\nu(g)_{p q}}=0
$$

for all $p, q, r, s$.
Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Denote by $M(\pi)$ the vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ spanned by matrix coefficients of $\pi$. This subspace is independent of choice of the basis of $V$. Moreover, it depends only on the equivalence class of $\pi$.
1.7.3. Proposition. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then the subspace $M(\pi)$ is an invariant subspace of the regular representation $(R, \mathbb{C}[G])$.

Proof. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ be a basis of $V$. Denote by $g \longmapsto \pi(g)_{i j}, 1 \leq$ $i, j \leq n$, the matrix coefficients of $\pi$ in this basis. Then $M(\pi)$ is spanned by these functions.

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq n$. Put $f(g)=\pi(g)_{p q}$ for $g \in G$. Then we have

$$
(R(g) f)(h)=f(h g)=\pi(h g)_{p q}=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \pi(h)_{p s} \pi(g)_{s q}
$$

for all $h \in G$. Therefore, $R(g) f$ is a linear combination of matrix coefficients of $\pi$, i.e., $R(g) f \in M(\pi)$. It follows that $M(\pi)$ is invariant for $R(g)$.

The above calculation proves the following result.
1.7.4. Proposition. Let $\nu$ and $\pi$ be two inequivalent irreducible representations of $G$. Then $M(\nu) \perp M(\pi)$.

Consider now an irreducible representation $(\pi, V)$. As above, we have

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r s} A_{s p} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)_{p q}=\frac{\operatorname{tr} A}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \delta_{r q}
$$

By selecting $A$ such that $A_{k l}=1$ for some $k \neq l$, and all other entries are 0 , we get

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r k} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)_{l q}=0
$$

If we select $A$ such that $A_{k k}=1$ for some $k$, and all other entries are 0 , we get

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r k} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)_{k q}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \delta_{r q}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r k} \pi\left(g^{-1}\right)_{l q}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \delta_{k l} \delta_{r q}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \pi(g)_{r k} \overline{\pi(g)_{q l}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \delta_{k l} \delta_{r q}
$$

for all $1 \leq k, l, q, r \leq \operatorname{dim} \pi$. These are Schur orthogonality relations. This implies that $\left(\pi(g)_{i j} ; 1 \leq i . j \leq \operatorname{dim} \pi\right)$ is an orthogonal basis of $M(\pi)$.
1.7.5. Theorem. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Then $\operatorname{dim} M(\pi)=$ $(\operatorname{dim} \pi)^{2}$.

The next result describes the structure of regular representation.
1.7.6. Theorem. We have

$$
\mathbb{C}[G]=\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M(\pi)
$$

Proof. By 1.7.3, the subspaces $M(\pi), \pi \in \hat{G}$, are invariant subspaces of $(R, \mathbb{C}[G])$. Therefore, their orthogonal sum $M=\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}} M(\pi)$ is an invariant subspace in $(R, \mathbb{C}[G])$.

Let $M^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $M$. Then $M^{\perp}$ is also an invariant subspace since $R$ is unitary. Assume that $M^{\perp}$ is different from $\{0\}$. Then it contains an irreducible representation $(\nu, U)$ of $G$ by 1.2.3. Let $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ be a basis of $U$. Then we have

$$
\nu(g) f_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi(g)_{j i} f_{j}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
f_{i}(g)=\left(R(g) f_{i}\right)(1)=\left(\nu(g) f_{i}\right)(1)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \nu(g)_{j i} f_{j}(1)
$$

for all $g \in G$. Hence, we have $f_{i} \in M(\nu) \subset M$. Therefore, $f_{i}$ is orthogonal on itself, and $f_{i}=0$. This contradicts our choice. It follows that $M^{\perp}=\{0\}$, i.e., $M=\mathbb{C}[G]$.

This has the following consequence.
1.7.7. Corollary. We have

$$
[G]=\sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}}(\operatorname{dim}(\pi))^{2}
$$

1.8. Characters and central functions. Let $(\pi, V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $G$. Define the function $\operatorname{ch}(\pi): G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)=\operatorname{tr} \pi(g)
$$

for $g \in G$. The function $\operatorname{ch}(\pi)$ on $G$ is called the character of $\pi$. The character of $\pi$ depends only on the equivalence class of $\pi$.
1.8.1. Example. Let $(R, \mathbb{C}[G])$ be the regular representation of $G$. For any $g \in G$, define the function $\delta_{g}$ which is equal 1 at $g$ and 0 everywhere else. Clearly, $\left(\delta_{g}, g \in G\right)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Let $g \in G$. Then we have

$$
\left(R(g) \delta_{h}\right)(k)=\delta_{h}(k g)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } k=h g^{-1} \\
0, & \text { if } k \neq h g^{-1}
\end{array}=\delta_{h g_{-1}}(k)\right.
$$

for all $k \in G$. Hence $R(g) \delta_{h}=\delta_{h g^{-1}}$ for all $h \in G$. It follows that the matrix of $R(g)$ has nonzero coefficients on the diagonal if and only if $g=1$. Hence we see that $\operatorname{tr} R(g)=0$ if $g \neq 1$ and $\operatorname{tr} R(1)=\operatorname{dim}(R)=[G]$. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{ch}(R)=[G] \cdot \delta_{1}$.

Moreover, if $\pi=\nu \oplus \rho$ we have

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)=\operatorname{ch}(\nu)+\operatorname{ch}(\rho)
$$

Hence, the character map defines a homomorphism of the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{R e p}_{f d}(G)$ into functions on $G$.
1.8.2. THEOREM. (i) Let $(\pi, V)$ and $(\nu, U)$ be two irreducible representations of $G$. If $\pi$ is not equivalent to $\nu$ we have $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) \mid \operatorname{ch}(\nu))=0$.
(ii) Let $(\pi, V)$ be irreducible representation of $G$. Then we have $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) \mid$ $\operatorname{ch}(\pi))=1$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Schur orthogonality relations.
Therefore, $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) ; \pi \in \hat{G})$ is an orthonormal family of functions in $\mathbb{C}[G]$.
Moreover we see that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(U, V)=(\operatorname{ch}(\nu) \mid \operatorname{ch}(\pi))
$$

for any two finite-dimensional representations of $G$.
Clearly, if $g, h \in G$ we have

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)\left(h g h^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\pi\left(h g h^{-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\pi(h) \pi(g) \pi(h)^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\pi(g)=\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)
$$

Hence, characters are constant on conjugacy classes in $G$.
This has the following consequence.
1.8.3. Proposition. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$. Let $f$ be a matrix coefficient of $\pi$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} f\left(h g h^{-1}\right)=\frac{f(1)}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)
$$

for any $g \in G$.

Proof. Clearly, both sides of the equality are linear forms in $f$ on the space $M(\pi)$. Therefore, it is enough to check the equality on a basis of $M(\pi)$.

By 1.6 .1 we can assume that $\pi$ is unitary. Let $\left(e_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} \pi\right)$, be an orthonormal basis of $V$. Let $g \longmapsto \pi(g)_{i j}$ the matrix coefficients of $\pi$ in that basis. Then they are a a basis of $M(\pi)$.

For these functions we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \pi\left(h g h^{-1}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \pi(h)_{i k} \pi(g)_{k l} \pi\left(h^{-1}\right)_{l j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \pi(g)_{k l}\left(\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \pi(h)_{i k} \overline{\pi(h)_{j l}}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \pi(g)_{k l} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \pi(g)_{k k} \delta_{i j}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g) \delta_{i j}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g) \pi(1)_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

using Schur orthogonality relations.
We say that a function $f$ on $G$ is central if it is constant on conjugacy classes in $G$. Denote by $C(G)$ the vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ consisting of all central functions. Clearly, the dimension of $C(G)$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes in $G$.

By 1.8.2, $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) ; \pi \in \hat{G})$ is an orthonormal family of functions in $C(G)$.
1.8.4. Theorem. $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) ; \pi \in \hat{G})$ is an orthonormal basis of $C(G)$.

Proof. We already know that $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) ; \pi \in \hat{G})$ is an orthonormal family in $C(G)$.

Let $f$ be a central function on $G$ orthogonal on all characters $\operatorname{ch}(\pi), \pi \in \hat{G}$. Let $\phi \in M(\pi)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\phi \mid f)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{f(g)}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G}\left(\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \phi(g) \overline{f\left(h^{-1} g h\right)}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{f\left(h^{-1} g h\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G}\left(\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{h \in G} \phi\left(h g h^{-1}\right)\right) \overline{f(g)},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $f$ is a central function. By 1.8.3, it follows that

$$
(\phi \mid f)=\frac{f(1)}{\operatorname{dim} \pi} \frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g) \overline{f(g)}=\frac{f(1)}{\operatorname{dim} \pi}(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) \mid f)=0
$$

Hence $f$ is orthogonal to $M(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \hat{G}$. By 1.7.6, it follows that $f$ is orthogonal to $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Hence $f=0$. Therefore, $(\operatorname{ch}(\pi) ; \pi \in \hat{G})$ is a maximal orthonormal family in $C(G)$, i.e., it is an orthonormal basis.

Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} C(G)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Card}(\hat{G})$. This implies the following result.
1.8.5. Corollary. $\operatorname{Card}(\hat{G})$ is equal to the number of conjugacy classes in $G$.

## 2. Frobenius reciprocity

2.1. Restriction functor. Let $G$ be a a finite group. Let $H$ be the a subgroup of $G$. Denote by $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G)$, resp. $\mathcal{R e p}(H)$, the categories of representations of $G$, resp. $H$.

Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation in $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G)$. Denote by $\nu$ the restriction of function $\pi: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ to $H$. Then $(\nu, V)$ is a representation in $\mathcal{R e p}(H)$. This representation is called the restriction of $\pi$ to $H$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi)$ (when there is no ambiguity we shall just write $\operatorname{Res}(\pi)$ ).

Clearly, $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}$ is an exact functor form the abelian category $\mathcal{R e p}(G)$ into the abelian category $\mathcal{R e p}(H)$.
2.2. Induction functor. Let $(\nu, U)$ be a representation of $H$. Denote by $V=\operatorname{Ind}(U)$ the space of all functions $F: G \longrightarrow U$ such that $F(h g)=\nu(h) F(g)$ for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$. Let $F$ be the function in $V$ and $g \in G$. Then the function $\rho(g) F: G \longrightarrow U$ defined by $(\rho(g) F)\left(g^{\prime}\right)=F\left(g^{\prime} g\right)$ for all $g^{\prime} \in G$, satisfies

$$
(\rho(g) F)\left(h g^{\prime}\right)=F\left(h g^{\prime} g\right)=\nu(h) F\left(g^{\prime} g\right)=\nu(h)(\rho(g) F)\left(g^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $g^{\prime} \in G$. Therefore $\rho(g) F$ is a function in $V$.
Clearly $\rho(g)$ is a linear operator on $V$ for any $g \in G$. Moreover, $\rho(1)$ is the identity on $V$. For any $F$ in $V$ we have

$$
\left(\rho\left(g g^{\prime}\right) F\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)=F\left(g^{\prime \prime} g g^{\prime}\right)=\left(\rho\left(g^{\prime}\right) F\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime} g\right)=\left(\rho(g)\left(\rho\left(g^{\prime}\right) F\right)\right)\left(g^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

for all $g^{\prime \prime} \in G$, i.e., we have

$$
\rho\left(g g^{\prime}\right) F=\rho(g)\left(\rho\left(g^{\prime}\right) F\right)
$$

for $g, g^{\prime} \in G$. Therefore, $\rho\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=\rho(g) \rho\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ for any $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $\rho$ is a representation of $G$ on $V$.

The representation $(\rho, V)$ of $G$ is called the induced representation and denoted by $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$.

If $H$ is the identity subgroup and $\nu$ is the trivial representation, the corresponding induced representation is the regular representation of $G$.

Let $(\nu, U)$ and $\left(\nu^{\prime}, U^{\prime}\right)$ be two representations of $H$ and $\phi$ a morphism of $\nu$ into $\nu^{\prime}$. Let $F$ be a function in $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$. Then $\Phi(F)(g)=\phi(F(g))$ for all $g \in G$ is a function from $G$ into $U^{\prime}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\Phi(F)(h g)=\phi(F(h g))=\phi(\nu(h) F(g))=\nu^{\prime}(h) \phi(F(g))=\nu^{\prime}(h) \Phi(F)(g)
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $g \in G$. Hence, $\Phi(F)$ is in $\operatorname{Ind}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$. Clearly, $\Phi$ is a linear map from $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$ into $\operatorname{Ind}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$.

Moreover, we have

$$
\left(\rho^{\prime}(g) \Phi(F)\right)\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\Phi(F)\left(g^{\prime} g\right)=\phi\left(F\left(g^{\prime} g\right)\right)=\phi\left((\rho(g) F)\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right)=\Phi(\rho(g) F)\left(g^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $g^{\prime} \in G$. Therefore, $\rho^{\prime}(g) \circ \Phi=\Phi \circ \rho(g)$ for all $g \in G$, and $\Phi$ is a morphism of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ into $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}\left(\nu^{\prime}\right)$. We put $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\phi)=\Phi$. It is straightforward to check that in this way $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}$ becomes an additive functor from $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(H)$ into $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G)$.

We call $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}: \mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G)$ the induction functor.
The next result is a functorial form of Frobenius reciprocity.
2.2.1. Theorem. The induction functor $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}: \mathcal{R e p}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R e p}(G)$ is a right adjoint functor of the restriction functor $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}: \mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R e p}(H)$.

Proof. Let $(\nu, U)$ a representation of $H$. Consider the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ of $G$. The evaluation map $e: \operatorname{Ind}(U) \longrightarrow U$ given by $e(F)=F(1)$ for $F \in \operatorname{Ind}(U)$, satisfies

$$
e(\rho(h) F)(1)=(\rho(h) F)(1)=F(h)=\nu(h) F(1)=\nu(h) e(F)
$$

for all $F \in \operatorname{Ind}(U)$, i.e., $e$ is a morphism of representations of $H$.
Let $(\pi, V)$ be a representation of $G$. Let $\Psi: V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}(U)$ be a morphism of representations of $G$. Then the composition $e \circ \Psi$ is a morphism of $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi)$ into $\nu$. Denote the linear map $\Psi \longmapsto e \circ \Psi$ from $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)$ into $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi), \nu\right)$ by $A$.

Let $\phi: V \longrightarrow U$ be a morphism of representations of $H$. Let $v \in V$. Then we consider the function $F_{v}: G \longrightarrow U$ given by $F_{v}(g)=\phi(\pi(g) v)$ for any $g \in G$. First, for $h \in H$, we have

$$
F_{v}(h g)=\phi(\pi(h g) v)=\phi(\pi(h) \pi(g) v)=\nu(h) \phi(\pi(g) v)=\nu(h) F_{v}(g)
$$

for all $g \in G$. Hence $F_{v}$ is a function in $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$. Consider the map $\Phi: V \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}(U)$ defined by $\Phi(v)=F_{v}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)(g)=F_{v+v^{\prime}}(g)=\phi\left(\pi(g)\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\phi(\pi(g) v)+\phi\left(\pi(g) v^{\prime}\right) \\
= & F_{v}(g)+F_{v^{\prime}}(g)=\Phi(v)(g)+\Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $g \in G$, hence we have $\Phi\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)=\Phi(v)+\Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ for all $v, v^{\prime} \in V$. In addition,

$$
\Phi(\alpha v)(g)=\alpha \phi(\pi(g) v)=\alpha \Phi(v)(g)
$$

for all $g \in G$, hence we have $\Phi(\alpha v)=\alpha \Phi(v)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in V$. It follows that $\Phi$ is a linear map from $V$ into $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\Phi(\pi(g) v)\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) \pi(g) v\right)=\phi\left(\pi\left(g^{\prime} g\right) v\right)=\Phi(v)\left(g^{\prime} g\right)=(\rho(g) \Phi(v))\left(g^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $g^{\prime} \in V$. Hence, we have $\Phi(\pi(g) v)=\rho(g) \Phi(v)$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in V$. Therefore, $\Phi$ is a morphism of representations $(\pi, V)$ and $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ of $G$. Denote the map $\phi \longmapsto \Phi$ from $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi), \nu\right)$ into $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)$ by $B$.

Clearly, for $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi), \nu\right)$, we have

$$
((A \circ B)(\phi))(v)=(A(\Phi))(v)=\Phi(v)(1)=F_{v}(1)=\phi(v)
$$

for all $v \in V$. Therefore, $A \circ B$ is the identity map.
In addition, for $\Psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(((B \circ A)(\Psi))(v))(g)=(B(A(\Psi)) & (v))(g)=A(\Psi)(\pi(g) v) \\
& =(\Psi(\pi(g) v))(1)=(\rho(g) \Psi(v))(1)=\Psi(v)(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g \in G$. Hence, we have $((B \circ A)(\Psi))(v)=\Psi(v)$ for all $v \in V$, i.e., $(B \circ A)(\Psi)=$ $\Psi$ for all $\Psi$ and $B \circ A$ is also the identity map.

By Maschke's theorem, $\mathcal{R} e p(H)$ is semisimple, and every short exact sequence splits. Therefore we have the following result.
2.2.2. Theorem. The induction functor $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}: \mathcal{R} \operatorname{ep}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R e p}(G)$ is exact.
2.3. Induction in stages. Let $K$ be a subgroup of $H$. Then we have $\operatorname{Res}_{K}^{G}=$ $\operatorname{Res}_{K}^{H} \circ \operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}$ as functors from $\mathcal{R e p}(G)$ into $\mathcal{R e p}(K)$. Since induction functors are right adjoints, this immediately implies the following result which is called the induction in stages.
2.3.1. TheOrem. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and $K$ a subgroup of $H$. Then the functors $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G}$ and $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{H}$ are isomorphic.
2.4. Frobenius Reciprocity. Obviously, the restriction functor $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}$ maps finite-dimensional representations into finite dimensional representations. From the following result we see that the induction functor $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}$ does the same.
2.4.1. Proposition. Let $(\nu, U)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $H$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)=\operatorname{Card}(H \backslash G) \cdot \operatorname{dim}(\nu)
$$

Proof. Let $C$ be a right $H$-coset in $G$. Let $g_{C}$ be an element in $C$. Then the functions

$$
F_{C, v}(g)= \begin{cases}\nu\left(g g_{C}^{-1}\right) v & \text { for } g \in H g_{C} \\ 0 & \text { for } g \notin H g_{C}\end{cases}
$$

$\operatorname{span} \operatorname{Ind}(U)$. If $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$ is a basis of $U$, the family $F_{C, e_{i}}, C \in H \backslash G, 1 \leq i \leq m$, is a basis of $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$.

Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$ and $\nu$ an irreducible representation of $H$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ is finite-dimensional by 2.4.1 and a direct sum of irreducible representations of $G$. The multiplicity of $\pi$ in this direct sum is $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)$ by Schur Lemma. By 2.2.1, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi), \nu\right)
$$

The latter expression is the multiplicity of $\nu$ in $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi)$.
This leads to the following version of Frobenius reciprocity for representations of finite groups.
2.4.2. Theorem. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $G$ and $\nu$ an irreducible representation of $H$. Then the multiplicity of $\pi i n \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ is equal to the multiplicity of $\nu$ in $\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi)$.
2.5. An example. Let $S_{3}$ be the symmetric group in three letters. We shall show how above results allow us to construct irreducible representations of $S_{3}$.

The order of $S_{3}$ is $3!=6$. It contains the normal subgroup $A_{3}$ consisting of all even permutations which is of order 3 . The quotient group $S_{3} / A_{3}$ consists of two elements.

The identity element is (123). The other two even permutations are (2 311 ) and $\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$. We have $\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right)^{2}=1$ and

$$
(2133)(231)(213)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence nontrivial even permutations form a conjugacy class.
The odd permutations are $(213),(132)$ and (3 2 1). Since $(213)(132)(213)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 2\end{array}\right)$ are conjugate. On the other hand, $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array} 2\right)^{2}=1$ and $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array} 1\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 2\end{array} 1\right)$ are conjugate. Therefore all odd permutations form a conjugacy class. It follows that $S_{3}$ has three conjugacy classes. Therefore $S_{3}$ has three irreducible representations.

Clearly, two irreducible representations of $S_{3}$ are the trivial representation and the sign representation. Since $1^{2}+1^{2}+2^{2}=6$, by Burnside theorem, the third irreducible representation $\pi$ is two-dimensional. By 1.8.1, the character of regular representation is 6 at the identity element and 0 on all other elements. By Burnside theorem the character of $\pi$ is one half of the difference of the characters of regular representation and the direct sum of trivial and sign representation. The latter character is 2 on even elements and 0 on odd elements. Therefore, the character of $\pi$ is 2 at the identity, -1 on nontrivial even elements and 0 at odd elements. It follows that the character of $\pi$ is supported on $A_{3}$.

The group $A_{3}$ is cyclic with three elements. It has two nontrivial one-dimensional representations. If we pick a generator $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right)$ of $A_{3}$ one character maps $a$ into $e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$ and the other maps $a$ to $e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{3}}$. We call the first one $\nu$. By a direct calculation we see that $(213) a(213)=a^{-1}$. The restriction of $\pi$ to $A_{3}$ is a direct sum of two characters of $A_{3}$. Since we know that $\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(a)=-1$ we see that it must be

$$
\nu(a)+\nu(a)^{-1}=e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{3}}+e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{3}}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i\right)=-1 .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Res}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\pi)=\nu \oplus \nu^{-1}$.
By Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{3}}\left(\pi, \operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\nu)\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{3}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\pi), \nu\right)=1
$$

Hence, $\pi$ is a equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\nu)$. Since their dimensions are equal, we have $\pi \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\nu)$. Analogously, we prove that $\pi \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}\left(\nu^{-1}\right)$.

Therefore we proved that the dual of $S_{3}$ consists of the classes of the trivial representation, sign representation and the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\nu) \cong$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}\left(\nu^{-1}\right)$.
2.6. Characters of induced representations. Let $(\nu, U)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $H$. Let $\left(e_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ be a basis of $U$. In the proof of 2.4.1, we constructed a basis $\left(F_{C, i} ; C \in H \backslash G, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ of $\operatorname{Ind}(U)$. Let $C \in H \backslash G$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $g \in G$. Then

$$
\left(\rho(g) F_{C, i}\right)\left(g^{\prime}\right)=F_{C, i}\left(g^{\prime} g\right)
$$

for all $g^{\prime} \in G$, i.e., $\rho(g) F_{C, i}$ is supported on the coset $D=C \cdot g^{-1}$. Therefore, it is a linear combination of $F_{D, j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, i.e.,

$$
\rho(g) F_{C, i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} F_{D, j}
$$

Hence, $\rho(g) F_{C, i}$ is a linear combination of $F_{C, j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, if and only if $D=C$, i.e., $g_{C}$ and $g_{C} g$ are in the same $H$-coset. This implies that $g_{C} g=h g_{C}$ for some $h \in H$, i.e., $g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1}=h \in H$. Conversely, if $g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1} \in H$ for some $C$, we have

$$
C=H g_{C}=H g_{C} g=C \cdot g
$$

and $g_{C}$ and $g_{C} g$ are in the same $H$-coset. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho(g) F_{C, i}\right)\left(g_{C}\right)=F_{C, i}\left(g_{C} g\right)=F_{C, i}\left(h g_{C}\right) & =\nu(h) F_{C, i}\left(g_{C}\right) \\
=\nu(h) e_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(h)_{j i} e_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(h)_{j i} F_{C, j}\left(g_{C}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This in turn implies that

$$
\rho(g) F_{C, i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(h)_{j i} F_{C, j}
$$

if $C \cdot g^{-1}=C$. Therefore, the matrix of $\rho(g)$ has a nonzero diagonal entry in the basis $\left(F_{C, i}, C \in H \backslash G, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$, only if $C=C \cdot g$ and then these entries are $\nu(h)_{j j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)(g)=\sum_{C \cdot g=C} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)(h)=\sum_{C \cdot g=C} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)\left(g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1}\right) \\
&=\sum_{g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1} \in H} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)\left(g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1} \in H} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)\left(h g_{C} g g_{C}^{-1} h^{-1}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{g^{\prime} g g^{\prime-1} \in H} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)\left(g^{\prime} g g^{\prime-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We extend the character of $\nu$ to a function $\chi_{\nu}$ on $G$ which vanishes outside $H$. Then we get the following result.
2.6.1. Theorem. The character of induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ is equal to

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)(g)=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} \chi_{\nu}\left(g^{\prime} g g^{\prime-1}\right)
$$

Therefore the character of the induced representation is proportional to the average of the function $\chi_{\nu}$ on the equivalence classes in $G$.

In particular we have the following result.
2.6.2. Corollary. The character of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ is supported in the union of conjugacy classes in $G$ which intersect $H$.

The result is particularly simple if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.
2.6.3. Corollary. Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then:
(i) the support of the character of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)$ is in $H$;
(ii) we have

$$
\operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)(h)=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)\left(g h g^{-1}\right)
$$

for any $h \in H$.
2.7. An example. Consider again the representation $\pi \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{A_{3}}^{S_{3}}(\nu)$. By the above formula, its character vanishes outside of $A_{3}$ and is equal to

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(h)=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{g \in S_{3}} \nu\left(g h g^{-1}\right)
$$

for $h \in A_{3}$. If $h=1$, we see that

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(1)=\frac{6}{3}=2
$$

If $h=a$, we have $g a g^{-1}=a$ for $g \in A_{3}$. If $g$ is not in $A_{3}$, it is in the other $A_{3}$-coset. Therefore, it is in the coset represented by (213). By the calculation done before, $g a g^{-1}=a^{-1}$ for $g \notin A_{3}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(a)=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{g \in S_{3}} \nu\left(g a g^{-1}\right)=\nu(a)+\nu\left(a^{-1}\right)=-1 .
$$

This agrees with the calculation of the character of $\pi$ done before.
2.8. Characters and Frobenius reciprocity. Now we are going to give a proof of 2.4 .2 based on character formula for the induced representation and the orthogonality relations.

We denote by $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{G}$ the inner product on $\mathbb{C}[G]$ and by $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{H}$ the inner product on $\mathbb{C}[H]$. Let $\pi$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $G$ and $\nu$ a finitedimensional representation of $H$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right) \mid \operatorname{ch}(\pi)\right)_{G}=\frac{1}{[G]} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G}(\nu)\right)(g) \overline{\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)} \\
&= \frac{1}{[G][H]} \sum_{g \in G}\left(\sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} \chi_{\nu}\left(g^{\prime} g g^{\prime-1}\right) \overline{\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)}\right)=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} \frac{1}{[G]}\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\nu}\left(g^{\prime} g g^{\prime-1}\right) \overline{\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} \frac{1}{[G]}\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\nu}(g) \overline{\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(g)}\right)=\frac{1}{[H]} \sum_{h \in H} \operatorname{ch}(\nu)(h) \overline{\operatorname{ch}(\pi)(h)} \\
&=\left(\operatorname{ch}(\nu) \mid \operatorname{ch}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}(\pi)\right)\right)_{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER II

## Representations of compact groups

## 1. Haar measure on compact groups

1.1. Compact groups. Let $G$ be a group. We say that $G$ is a topological group if $G$ is equipped with hausdorff topology such that the multiplication $(g, h) \longmapsto g h$ from the product space $G \times G$ into $G$ and the inversion $g \longmapsto g^{-1}$ from $G$ into $G$ are continuous functions.

Let $G$ and $H$ be two topological groups. A morphism of topological groups $\varphi: G \longrightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism which is also continuous.

Topological groups and morphisms of topological groups for the category of topological groups.

Let $G$ be a topological group. Let $G^{o p p}$ be the topological space $G$ with the multiplication $(g, h) \longmapsto g \star h=h \cdot g$. Then $G^{o p p}$ is also a topological group which we call the opposite group of $G$. Clearly, the inverse of an element $g \in G$ is the same as the inverse in $G^{o p p}$. Moreover, the map $g \longmapsto g^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of $G$ with $G^{o p p}$. Clearly, we have $\left(G^{o p p}\right)^{o p p}=G$.

A topological group $G$ is compact, if $G$ is a compact space. The opposite group of a compact group is compact.

We shall need the following fact. Let $G$ be a topological group. We say that a function $\phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is right (resp. left) uniformly continuous on $G$ if for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of 1 such that $|\phi(g)-\phi(h)|<\epsilon$ for any $g, h \in G$ such that $g h^{-1} \in U$ (resp. $g^{-1} h \in U$ ). Clearly, a right uniformly continuous function on $G$ is left uniformly continuous function on $G^{o p p}$.
1.1.1. Lemma. Let $G$ be a compact group. Let $\phi$ be a continuous function on $G$. Then $\phi$ is right and left uniformly continuous on $G$.

Proof. By the above discussion, it is enough to prove that $\phi$ is right uniformly continuous.

Let $\epsilon>0$. Let consider the set $A=\left\{\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in G \times G| | \phi(g)-\phi\left(g^{\prime}\right) \mid<\epsilon\right\}$. Then $A$ is an open set in $G \times G$. Let $U$ be an open neighborhood of 1 in $G$ and $B_{U}=\left\{\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in G \times G \mid g^{\prime} g^{-1} \in U\right\}$. Since the function $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto g^{\prime} g^{-1}$ is continuous on $G \times G$ the set $B_{U}$ is open. It is enough to show that there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of 1 in $G$ such that $B_{U} \subset A$.

Clearly, $B_{U}$ are open sets containing the diagonal $\Delta$ in $G \times G$. Moreover, under the homomorphism $\kappa$ of $G \times G$ given by $\kappa\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\left(g, g^{\prime} g^{-1}\right), g, g^{\prime} \in G$, the sets $B_{U}$ correspond to the sets $G \times U$. In addition, the diagonal $\Delta$ corresponds to $G \times\{1\}$. Assume that the open set $A$ corresponds to $O$.

By the definition of product topology, for any $g \in G$ there exist neighborhoods $U_{g}$ of 1 and $V_{g}$ of $g$ such that $V_{g} \times U_{g}$ is a neighborhood of $(g, 1)$ contained in $O$. Clearly, $\left(V_{g} ; g \in G\right)$ is an open cover of $G$. Since $G$ is compact, there exists a finite subcovering $\left(V_{g_{i}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ of $G$. Put $U=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{g_{i}}$. Then $U$ is an open
neighborhood of 1 in $G$. Moreover, $G \times U$ is an open set in $G \times G$ contained in $O$. Therefore $B_{U} \subset A$.

Therefore, we can say that a continuous function on $G$ is uniformly continuous.
1.2. A compactness criterion. Let $X$ be a compact space. Denote by $C(X)$ the space of all complex valued continuous functions on $X$. Let $\|f\|=\sup _{x \in X}|f(x)|$ for any $f \in C(X)$. Then $f \longmapsto\|f\|$ is a norm on $C(X), C(X)$ is a Banach space.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subset of $C(X)$.
We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is equicontinuous if for any $\epsilon>0$ and $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $|f(y)-f(x)|<\epsilon$ for all $y \in U$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is pointwise bounded if for any $x \in X$ there exists $M>0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

The aim of this section is to establish the following theorem.
1.2.1. Theorem (Arzelà-Ascoli). Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a pointwise bounded, equicontinuous subset of $C(X)$. Then the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ is a compact subset of $C(X)$.

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded in $C(X)$. Let $\epsilon>0$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is equicontinuous, for any $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood $U_{x}$ of $x$ such that $y \in U_{x}$ implies that $|f(y)-f(x)|<\epsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $X$ is compact, there exists a finite set of points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$ such that $U_{x_{1}}, U_{x_{2}}, \ldots, U_{x_{n}}$ cover $X$.

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is pointwise bounded, there exists $M \geq 2 \epsilon$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{M}{2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in U_{x_{i}}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, we have

$$
|f(x)| \leq\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{M}{2}+\epsilon \leq M
$$

for all $f \in S$. It follows that $\|f\| \leq M$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in a closed ball of radius $M$ centered at 0 in $C(X)$.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in a finite family of balls of fixed small radius centered in elements of $\mathcal{S}$. We keep the choices from the first part of the proof. Let $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z \mid \leq M\}$. Then $D$ is compact. Consider the compact set $D^{n}$. It has natural metric given by $d(z, y)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|z_{i}-y_{i}\right|$. There exist points $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ in $D^{n}$ such that the balls $B_{i}=\left\{\bar{\beta} \in D^{n} \mid d\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta\right)<\epsilon\right\}$ cover $D^{n}$.

Denote by $\Phi$ the map from $\mathcal{S}$ into $D^{n}$ given by $f \longmapsto\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$. Then we can find a subfamily of the above cover of $D^{n}$ consisting of balls intersecting $\Phi(\mathcal{S})$. After a relabeling, we can assume that these balls are $B_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be functions in $\mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi\left(f_{i}\right)$ is in the ball $B_{i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote by $C_{i}$ the open ball of radius $2 \epsilon$ centered in $\Phi\left(f_{i}\right)$. Let $\beta \in B_{i}$. Then we have $d\left(\beta, \alpha_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ and $d\left(\Phi\left(f_{i}\right), \alpha_{i}\right)<\epsilon$. Hence, we have $d\left(\beta, \Phi\left(f_{i}\right)\right)<2 \epsilon$, i.e., $B_{i} \subset C_{i}$. It follows that $\Phi(\mathcal{S})$ is contained in the union of $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$.

Differently put, for any function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|<2 \epsilon$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in U_{x_{j}}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence, we have

$$
\left|f(x)-f_{i}(x)\right| \leq\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{j}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|+\left|f_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{i}(x)\right|<4 \epsilon
$$

i.e., $\left\|f-f_{i}\right\|<4 \epsilon$.

Now we can prove the compactness of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. Assume that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ is not compact. Then there exists an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{\mathcal { S }}$ which doesn't contain a finite subcover. By the above remark, $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ can be covered by finitely many closed balls $\left\{f \in C(X) \mid\left\|f-f_{i}\right\| \leq 1\right\}$ with $f_{i} \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, there exists a set $K_{1}$
which is the intersection of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ with one of the closed balls and which is not covered by a finite subcover of $\mathcal{U}$. By induction, we can construct a decreasing family $K_{1} \supset K_{2} \supset \cdots \supset K_{n} \supset \cdots$ of closed subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ which are contained in closed balls of radius $\frac{1}{n}$ centered in some point of $\mathcal{S}$, such that none of $K_{n}$ is covered by a finite subcover of $\mathcal{U}$.

Let $\left(F_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ be a sequence of functions such that $F_{n} \in K_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $F_{p}, F_{q} \in K_{n}$ for all $p, q$ greater than $n$. Since $K_{n}$ are contained in closed balls of radius $\frac{1}{n},\left\|F_{p}-F_{q}\right\| \leq \frac{2}{n}$ for all $p, q$ greater than $n$. Hence, $\left(F_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C(X)$. Therefore, it converges to a function $F \in C(X)$. This function is in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ and therefore in one element $V$ of the open cover $\mathcal{U}$. Therefore, for sufficiently large $n$, there exists a closed ball of radius $\frac{2}{n}$ centered in $F$ which is contained in $V$. Since $F$ is also in $K_{n}$, we see that $K_{n}$ is in $V$. This clearly contradicts our construction of $K_{n}$. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ must be compact.
1.3. Haar measure on compact groups. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ be the space of real valued functions on $G$. For any function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ we define the maximum $M(f)=$ $\max _{g \in G} f(g)$ and minimum $m(f)=\min _{g \in G} f(g)$. Moreover, we denote by $V(f)=$ $M(f)-m(f)$ the variation of $f$.

Clearly, the function $f$ is constant on $G$ if and only if $V(f)=0$.
Let $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ be two functions such that $\left\|f-f^{\prime}\right\|<\epsilon$. Then

$$
f(g)-\epsilon<f^{\prime}(g)<f(g)+\epsilon
$$

for all $g \in G$. This implies that

$$
m(f)-\epsilon<f^{\prime}(g)<M(f)+\epsilon
$$

for all $g \in G$, and

$$
m(f)-\epsilon<m\left(f^{\prime}\right)<M\left(f^{\prime}\right)<M(f)+\epsilon
$$

Hence

$$
V\left(f^{\prime}\right)=M\left(f^{\prime}\right)-m\left(f^{\prime}\right)<M(f)-m(f)+2 \epsilon=V(f)+2 \epsilon,
$$

i.e., $V\left(f^{\prime}\right)-V(f)<2 \epsilon$. By symmetry, we also have $V(f)-V\left(f^{\prime}\right)<2 \epsilon$. It follows that $\left|V(f)-V\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right|<2 \epsilon$.

Therefore, we have the following result.
1.3.1. Lemma. The variation $V$ is a continuous function on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ a finite sequence of points in $G$. We define the (right) mean value $\mu(f, \mathbf{a})$ of $f$ with respect to $\mathbf{a}$ as

$$
\mu(f, \mathbf{a})(g)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(g a_{i}\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$. Clearly, $\mu(f, \mathbf{a})$ is a continuous real function on $G$.
If $f$ is a constant function, $\mu(f, \mathbf{a})=f$.
Clearly, mean value $f \longmapsto \mu(f, \mathbf{a})$ is a linear map. Moreover, we have the following result.
1.3.2. LEMMA. (i) The linear map $f \longmapsto \mu(f, \mathbf{a})$ is continuous. More precisely, we have

$$
\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})\| \leq\|f\|
$$

for any $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$;
(ii)

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& M(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \leq M(f) \\
\text { for any } f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G) ; & \\
\text { for any } f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G) ; & m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \geq m(f)
\end{array}
$$

for any $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.
Proof. (i) Clearly, we have

$$
\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})\|=\max _{g \in G}|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \max _{g \in G}\left|f\left(g a_{i}\right)\right|=\|f\| .
$$

(ii) We have

$$
M(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))=\frac{1}{n} \max _{g \in G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(g a_{i}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max _{g \in G} f\left(g a_{i}\right)=M(f) .
$$

(iii) We have

$$
m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))=\frac{1}{n} \min _{g \in G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(g a_{i}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min _{g \in G} f\left(g a_{i}\right)=m(f) .
$$

(iv) By (ii) and (iii), we have

$$
V(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))=M(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))-m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \leq M(f)-m(f)=V(f) .
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ the set of mean values of $f$ for all finite sequences in $G$.
1.3.3. Lemma. The set of functions $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

Proof. By 1.3.2 (ii) and (iii), it follows that

$$
m(f) \leq m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \leq \mu(f, \mathbf{a})(g) \leq M(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \leq M(f) .
$$

This implies that $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ is uniformly bounded.
Now we want to prove that $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ is equicontinuous. First, by 1.1.1, the function $f$ is uniformly continuous. Hence, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of 1 in $G$ such that $|f(g)-f(h)|<\epsilon$ if $g h^{-1} \in U$. Since, this implies that $\left(g a_{i}\right)\left(h a_{i}\right)^{-1}=g h^{-1} \in U$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we see that
$|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})(g)-\mu(f, \mathbf{a})(h)|=\frac{1}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f\left(g a_{i}\right)-f\left(h a_{i}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(g a_{i}\right)-f\left(h a_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon$ for $g \in h U$. Hence, the family $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ is equicontinuous.

By 1.2.1, we have the following consequence.
1.3.4. Lemma. The set $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ of all right mean values of $f$ has compact closure in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

We need another result on variation of mean value functions. Clearly, if $f$ is a constant function $\mu(f, \mathbf{a})=f$ for any $\mathbf{a}$.
1.3.5. Lemma. Let $f$ be a function in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Assume that $f$ is not a constant. Then there exists a such that $V(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))<V(f)$.

Proof. Since $f$ is not constant, we have $m(f)<M(f)$. Let $C$ be such that $m(f)<C<M(f)$. Then there exists an open set $V$ in $G$ such that $f(g) \leq C$ for all $g \in V$. Since the right translates of $V$ cover $G$, by compactness of $G$ we can find $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ such that $\left(V a_{i}^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$ is an open cover of $G$. For any $g \in V a_{i}^{-1}$ we have $g a_{i} \in V$ and $f\left(g a_{i}\right) \leq C$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(f, \mathbf{a})(g)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(g a_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left(f\left(g a_{i}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i}\right. & \left.f\left(g a_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n}(C+(n-1) M(f))<M(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by 1.3.2.(iii) we know that $m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})) \geq m(f)$ for any $\mathbf{a}$. Hence we have

$$
V(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))=M(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))-m(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))<M(f)-m(f)=V(f)
$$

Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right)$ be two finite sequences in $G$. We define $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}=\left(a_{i} b_{j} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\right)$.
1.3.6. Lemma. We have

$$
\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})=\mu(f, \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a})
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(f, \mathbf{b})\left(g a_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(g a_{i} b_{j}\right)=\mu(f, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})
$$

1.3.7. Lemma. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$, the closure $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ contains a constant function on $G$.

Proof. By 1.3.4, we know that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{f}}$ is compact. Since, by 1.3.1, the variation $V$ is continuous on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$, it attains its minimum $\alpha$ at some $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{M}_{f}}$.

Assume that $\varphi$ is not a constant. By 1.3.5, there exists a such that $V(\mu(\varphi, \mathbf{a}))<$ $V(\varphi)$. Let $\alpha-V(\mu(\varphi, \mathbf{a}))=\epsilon>0$.

Since $V$ and $\mu(\cdot, \mathbf{a})$ are continuous maps by 1.3.1 and 1.3.2.(i), this implies that there is $\mathbf{b}$ such that $|V(\mu(\varphi, \mathbf{a}))-V(\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a}))|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Therefore, we have

$$
V(\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})) \leq V(\mu(\varphi, \mathbf{a}))+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

By 1.3.6, we have

$$
V(\mu(f, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}))<\alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

contrary to our choice of $\alpha$.
It follows that $\varphi$ is a constant function. In addition $\alpha=0$.

Consider now left mean values of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. We define the left mean value of $f$ with respect to $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ as the function

$$
\nu(f, \mathbf{a})(g)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(a_{i} g\right)
$$

for $g \in G$. We denote my $\mathcal{N}_{f}$ the set of all left mean values of $f$.
Let $G^{o p p}$ be the compact group opposite to $G$. Then the left mean values of $f$ on $G$ are the right mean values of $f$ on $G^{o p p}$.

Hence, from 1.3.7, we deduce the following result.
1.3.8. Lemma. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$, the closure $\mathcal{N}_{f}$ contains a constant function on $G$.

By direct calculation we get the following result.
1.3.9. Lemma. For any $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ we have

$$
\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})=\mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})
$$

for any two finite sequences $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in $G$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})(g)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu(f, \mathbf{a})\left(b_{j} g\right)= & \frac{1}{n m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f\left(b_{j} g a_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu(f, \mathbf{b})\left(g a_{i}\right)=\mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $g \in G$.
Putting together these results, we finally get the following.
1.3.10. Proposition. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$, the closure $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ contains a unique function constant on $G$.

This function is also the unique constant function in $\mathcal{N}_{f}$.
Proof. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be two constant functions such that $\varphi$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ and $\psi$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{N}_{f}$. For any $\epsilon>0$ we have $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ such that $\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\|\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\psi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|=\| \nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b}) & -\nu(\varphi, \mathbf{b}) \| \\
& =\|\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi, \mathbf{b})\| \leq\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way. we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})-\psi\|=\| \mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a}) & -\mu(\psi, \mathbf{a}) \| \\
= & \|\mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\psi, \mathbf{a})\| \leq\|\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\psi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By 1.3.9, this immediately yields

$$
\|\varphi-\psi\| \leq\|\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|+\|\mu(\nu(f, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})-\psi\|<\epsilon .
$$

This implies that $\varphi=\psi$. Therefore, any constant function in the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ has to be equal to $\psi$.

The value of the unique constant function in the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{f}$ is denoted by $\mu(f)$ and called the mean value of $f$ on $G$. In this way, we get a function $f \longmapsto \mu(f)$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

Let $\gamma: \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear form. We say that $\gamma$ is positive if for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ such that $f(g) \geq 0$ for any $g \in G$ we have $\gamma(f) \geq 0$.
1.3.11. Lemma. The function $\mu$ is a positive linear form on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.

To prove this result we need some preparation.
1.3.12. Lemma. Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Then, for any a we have

$$
\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}))=\mu(f)
$$

Proof. Let $\mu(f)=\alpha$. Let $\varphi$ be the function equal to $\alpha$ everywhere on $G$. Fix $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists a finite sequence $\mathbf{b}$ such that

$$
\|\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|<\epsilon
$$

This implies that

$$
\|\nu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{b})\|=\|\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\nu(\varphi, \mathbf{b})\|=\|\nu(f, \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|<\epsilon
$$

This, by 1.3.2.(i), implies that

$$
\|\mu(\nu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})\| \leq\|\nu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{b})\|<\epsilon
$$

for any finite sequence $\mathbf{a}$.
By 1.3.9, we have

$$
\|\nu(\mu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})\|=\|\mu(\nu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{a})\|<\epsilon
$$

and

$$
\|\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|=\|\nu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi, \mathbf{b})\|=\|\nu(\mu(f-\varphi, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})\|<\epsilon
$$

Therefore, if we fix $\mathbf{a}$, we see that $\varphi$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{N}_{\mu(f, \mathbf{a})}$. By 1.3.10, this proves our assertion.

Let $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ be two functions in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Let $\alpha=\mu(f)$ and $\beta=\mu\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. Denote by $\varphi$ and $\psi$ the corresponding constant functions. Let $\epsilon>0$.

Clearly. there exists a such that

$$
\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

This, by 1.3.2.(ii) implies, that we have

$$
\|\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|=\|\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{a})-\varphi, \mathbf{b})\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

for arbitrary b. By 1.3.6, this in turn implies that

$$
\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

On the other hand, by 1.3 .12 , we have $\mu\left(\mu\left(f^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}\right)\right)=\mu\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\beta$. Therefore, there exists a finite sequence $\mathbf{b}$ such that

$$
\left\|\mu\left(\mu\left(f^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}\right), \mathbf{b}\right)-\psi\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

This, by 1.3.6, implies that

$$
\left\|\mu\left(f^{\prime}, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}\right)-\psi\right\|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left\|\mu\left(f+f^{\prime}, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}\right)-(\varphi+\psi)\right\| \leq\|\mu(f, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})-\varphi\|+\left\|\mu\left(f^{\prime}, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}\right)-\psi\right\|<\epsilon
$$

Therefore, $\varphi+\psi$ is in the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{f+f^{\prime}}$. It follows that

$$
\mu\left(f+f^{\prime}\right)=\alpha+\beta=\mu(f)+\mu\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

i.e., $\mu$ is additive.

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Then $\mu(c f, \mathbf{a})=c \mu(f, \mathbf{a})$ for any $\mathbf{a}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_{c f}=c \mathcal{M}_{f}$. This immediately implies that $\mu(c f)=c \mu(f)$. Therefore $\mu$ is a linear form.

Assume that $f$ is a function in $C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ such that $f(g) \geq 0$ for all $g \in G$. Then $\mu(f, \mathbf{a})(g) \geq 0$ for any a and $g \in G$. Hence, any function $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{f}$ satisfies $\phi(g) \geq 0$ for all $g \in G$. This immediately implies that $\phi(g) \geq 0, g \in G$, for any $\phi$ in the closure of $\mathcal{M}_{f}$. It follows that $\mu(f) \geq 0$. Hence, we $\mu$ is a positive linear form. This completes the proof of 1.3.11.

Clearly, $\mu(1)=1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Then we have

$$
-\|f\| \leq f(g) \leq\|f\|
$$

for any $g \in G$. Since $\mu$ is a positive linear form, we see that

$$
-\|f\|=\mu(-\|f\|) \leq \mu(f) \leq \mu(\|f\|)=\|f\|
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
|\mu(f)| \leq\|f\|
$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. In particular, $\mu$ is a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$.
By Riesz representation theorem, the linear form $\mu: C_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines a regular positive measure $\mu$ on $G$ such that

$$
\mu(f)=\int_{G} f d \mu
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\mu(G)=\int_{G} d \mu=\mu(1)=1
$$

so we say that $\mu$ is normalized.
Denote by $R$ (resp. $L$ ) the right regular representation (resp. left regular representation of $G$ on $C(G)$ given by $(R(g) f)(h)=f(h g)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(L(g) f)(h)=f\left(g^{-1} h\right)\right)$ for any $f \in C(G)$ and $g, h \in G$.
1.3.13. Lemma. Let $f \in C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ and $g \in G$. Then

$$
\mu(R(g) f)=\mu(L(g) f)=\mu(f)
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{g}=(g)$. Clearly, we have

$$
\mu(f, \mathbf{g})(h)=f(h g)=(R(g) f)(h)
$$

for all $h \in G$, i.e., $R(g) f=\mu(f, \mathbf{g})$. By 1.3.12, we have

$$
\mu(R(g) f)=\mu(\mu(f, \mathbf{g}))=\mu(f)
$$

This statement for $G^{o p p}$ implies the other equality.
We say that the linear form $\mu$ is biinvariant, i.e., right invariant and left invariant.

The above result implies that the measure $\mu$ is biinvariant, i.e., we have the following result.
1.3.14. Lemma. Let $A$ be a measurable set in $G$. Then $g A$ and $A g$ are also measurable and

$$
\mu(g A)=\mu(A g)=\mu(A)
$$

for any $g \in G$.
Proof. Since $C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ is dense in $L^{1}(\mu)$, the invariance from 1.3.13 holds for any function $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$. Applying it to the characteristic function of the set $A$ implies the result.

A normalized biinvariant positive measure $\mu$ on $G$ is called a Haar measure on $G$.

We proved the existence part of the following result.
1.3.15. Theorem. Let $G$ be a compact group. Then there exists a unique Haar measure $\mu$ on $G$.

Proof. We constructed a Haar measure on $G$.
It remains to prove the uniqueness. Let $\nu$ be another Haar measure on $G$. Then, by left invariance, we have

$$
\int_{G} \mu(f, \mathbf{a}) d \nu=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{G} f\left(g a_{i}\right) d \nu(g)=\int_{G} f d \nu
$$

for any a. Hence the integral with respect to $\nu$ is constant on $\mathcal{M}_{f}$. By continuity, it is also constant on its closure. Therefore, we have

$$
\int_{G} f d \nu=\mu(f) \int_{G} d \nu=\mu(f)=\int_{G} f d \mu
$$

for any $C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. This in turn implies that $\nu=\mu$.
1.3.16. Lemma. Let $\mu$ be the Haar measure on $G$. Let $U$ be a nonempty open set in $G$. Then $\mu(U)>0$.

Proof. Since $U$ is nonempty, $(U g ; g \in G)$ is an open cover of $G$. It contains a finite subcover $\left(U g_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$. Therefore we have

$$
1=\mu(G)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U g_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(U g_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(U)=n \mu(U)
$$

by 1.3 .14 . This implies that $\mu(U) \geq \frac{1}{n}$.
1.3.17. Lemma. Let $f$ be a continuous function on $G$. Then

$$
\int_{G} f\left(g^{-1}\right) d \mu(g)=\int_{G} f(g) d \mu(g) .
$$

Proof. Clearly, it is enough to prove the statement for real-valued functions. Therefore, we can consider the linear form $\nu: f \longmapsto \int_{G} f\left(g^{-1}\right) d \mu(g)$. Clearly, this a positive continuous linear form on $C_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu(f)=\int_{G} f\left(h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)=\int_{G} f\left((h g)^{-1}\right) d \mu(h) \\
&=\int_{G} f\left(g^{-1} h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)=\int_{G}(L(g) f)\left(h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)=\nu(L(g) f)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(f)=\int_{G} f\left(h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h) & =\int_{G} f\left(\left(g^{-1} h\right)^{-1}\right) d \mu(h) \\
& =\int_{G} f\left(h^{-1} g\right) d \mu(h)=\int_{G}(R(g) f)\left(h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)=\nu(R(g) f)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $g \in G$. Hence, this linear form is left and right invariant. By the uniqueness of the Haar measure we get the statement.

## 2. Algebra of matrix coefficients

2.1. Finite-dimensional topological vector spaces. Let $E$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We say that $E$ is a topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, if it is also equipped with a topology such that the functions $(u, v) \longmapsto u+v$ from $E \times E$ into $E$, and $(\alpha, u) \longmapsto \alpha u$ from $\mathbb{C} \times E$ into $E$ are continuous.

A morphism $\varphi: E \longrightarrow F$ of topological vector space $E$ into $F$ is a continuous linear map from $E$ to $F$.

We say that $E$ is a hausdorff topological vector space if the topology of $E$ is hausdorff.

Let $E$ be a normed vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then the norm defines a metric $d(u, v)=\|u-v\|, u, v \in E$, on $E$. This metric defines a hausdorff topology on $E$, and $E$ is a hausdorff topological vector space.

In particular, the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the euclidean norm

$$
\|c\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, is a hausdorff topological vector space.
Let $A: \mathbb{C}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be the linear map given by the matrix $\left(A_{i j} ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq n)$. Then $A$ is continuous.
2.1.1. Lemma. Let $E$ be a topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $E$ is hausdorff;
(ii) $\{0\}$ is a closed set in $E$.

Proof. Assume that $E$ is hausdorff. Let $v \in E, v \neq 0$. Then there exist open neighborhoods $U$ of 0 and $V$ of $v$ such that $U \cap V=\emptyset$. In particular, $V \subset E-\{0\}$. Hence, $E-\{0\}$ is an open set. This implies that $\{0\}$ is closed.

Assume now that $\{0\}$ is closed in $E$. Then $E-\{0\}$ is an open set. Let $u$ and $v$ be different vectors in $E$. Then $u-v \neq 0$. Since the function $(x, y) \longmapsto x+y$ is continuous, there exist open neighborhoods $U$ of $u$ and $V$ of $v$ such that $U-V \subset$ $E-\{0\}$. This in turn implies that $U \cap V=\emptyset$.

The main result of this section is the following claim. It states that hausdorff finite-dimensional topological vector spaces have unique topology.
2.1.2. Proposition. Let $E$ be a finite-dimensonal hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a basis of $E$. Then the linear map $\mathbb{C}^{n} \longrightarrow E$ given by

$$
\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} v_{i}
$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.
Proof. Clearly, the map

$$
\phi(c)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} v_{i}
$$

for all $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, is a continuous linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ onto $E$. Therefore, it is enough to show that that map is also open.

Let $B_{1}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid\|c\|<1\right\}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Let $S=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid\|z\|=1\right\}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then, $S$ is a bounded and closed set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Hence it is compact. This implies that $\phi(S)$ is a compact set in $E$. Since 0 is not in $S, 0$ is not in $\phi(S)$. Since $E$ is hausdorff, $\phi(S)$ is closed and $E-\phi(S)$ is an open neighborhood of 0 in $E$. By continuity of multiplication by scalars at $(0,0)$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ and an open neighborhood $U$ of 0 in $E$ such that $z U \subset E-\phi(S)$, i.e., $z U \cap \phi(S)=\emptyset$ for all $|z| \leq \epsilon$.

Let $v \in U-\{0\}$. Then we have

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} v_{i}
$$

Let $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then, $\frac{1}{\|c\|} c \in S$, and $\frac{1}{\|c\|} v \in \phi(S)$. By our construction, we must have $\frac{1}{\|c\|}>\epsilon$. Hence, we have $\|c\|<\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, i.e., $c \in B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$. This in turn yields $v \in \phi\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} B_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\epsilon} \phi\left(B_{1}\right)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\epsilon U \subset \phi\left(B_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, $\phi\left(B_{1}\right)$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $E$.
Let $O$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $v \in O$. Then there exist an open ball of radius $r$ centered in $v$ contained in $O$, i.e., $v+r B_{1} \subset O$. This implies that

$$
\phi(v)+r \phi\left(B_{1}\right)=\phi\left(v+r B_{1}\right) \subset \phi(O)
$$

and $\phi(v)+r \phi\left(B_{1}\right)$ is a neighborhood of $\phi(v)$ in $E$. Hence $\phi(v)$ is an interior point in $\phi(O)$. It follows that $\phi(O)$ is open and $\phi$ is an open map.
2.1.3. Corollary. Let $E$ and $F$ be two finite-dimensional hausdorff topological vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. Then any linear map $A: E \longrightarrow F$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ be a basis of $E$ and $\phi(c)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i}$, for $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Also, let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ be a basis of $F$ and $\psi(d)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i} v_{i}$, for $d \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. By 2.1.2, $\phi$ and $\psi$ are isomorphisms of topological vector spaces. Consider the commutative diagram


As we remarked before, the linear map $B$ is continuous. Hence, $A$ must be continuous.

Combining 2.1.2 and 2.1.3, we get the following result.
2.1.4. Theorem. The forgetful functor from the category of finite-dimensional hausdorff topological vector spaces into the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces is an equivalence of categories.

Let $E$ be a topological vector space and $F$ a vector subspace of $E$. Then $F$ is a topological vector space with the induced topology. Moreover, if $E$ is hausdorff, $F$ is also hausdorff.
2.1.5. Corollary. Let $E$ be a hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $F$ be a finite-dimensional vector subspace of $E$. Then $F$ is closed in $E$.

Proof. Clearly, the topology of $E$ induces a structure of hausdorff topological vector space on $F$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a basis of $F$. Assume that $F$ is not closed. Let $w$ be a vector in the closure of $F$ which is not in $F$. Then $w$ is linearly independent of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the direct sum of $F$ and $\mathbb{C} w$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a $(n+1)$-dimensional hausdorff topological vector space. By 2.1.2, we know that

$$
\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}, c_{n+1}\right) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} v_{i}+c_{n+1} w
$$

is an isomorphism of the topological vector space $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ onto $F^{\prime}$. This isomorphism maps $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times\{0\}$ onto $F$. Therefore, $F$ is closed in $F^{\prime}$, and $w$ is not in the closure of $F$. Hence, we have a contradiction.
2.2. Some results about Banach spaces. Let $E$ be a normed vector space. For $v \in V$ and $r>0$ we denote by $B_{r}(v)=\{u \in V \mid\|u-v\|<r\}$ the open ball in $E$ of radius $r$ centered in $v$.

Let $E$ and $F$ be two normed vector spaces and $T: E \longrightarrow F$ a linear map. The map $T$ is bounded if the set $\left\{\|T(u)\| \mid u \in B_{1}(0)\right\}$ is bounded. In this case, we put $\|T\|=\sup _{u \in B_{1}(0)}\|T(u)\|$ and we say that $\|T\|$ is the norm of $T$. Clearly, $\|T(u)\| \leq\|T\|\|u\|$ for any $u \in E$. Therefore, we have

$$
\left\|T(u)-T\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|T\left(u-u^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq\|T\|\left\|u-u^{\prime}\right\|
$$

for $u, u^{\prime} \in E$, and the map $T: E \longrightarrow F$ is continuous.
2.2.1. Lemma. Let $T: E \longrightarrow F$ be a linear map. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $T$ is continuous;
(ii) $T$ is bounded.

Proof. We proved that (ii) implies (i).
Assume that $T$ is continuous. Then $T$ is continuous at 0 and $T(0)=0$. Hence there exists a neighborhood $U$ of 0 such that $T(U) \subset B_{1}(0)$ in $F$. Moreover, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $B_{\epsilon}(0) \subset U$. Hence, we have $T\left(B_{\epsilon}(0)\right) \subset B_{1}(0)$ and $T\left(B_{1}(0)\right) \subset B_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}(0)$.
2.2.2. Lemma. Let $T$ be a continuous linear map from normed space $E$ into normed space $F$. Let $v \in E$ and $r>0$. Then we have

$$
r\|T\| \leq \sup _{u \in B_{r}(v)}\|T(u)\|
$$

Proof. Let $u \in B_{r}(v)$. Then $w=u-v$ satisfies $\|w\|<r$. Then $T(v+w)-$ $T(v-w)=2 T(w)$ and

$$
2\|T(w)\| \leq\|T(v+w)\|+\|T(v-w)\| \leq 2 \sup _{u \in B_{r}(v)}\|T(u)\|
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\|T(w)\| \leq \sup _{u \in B_{r}(v)}\|T(u)\|
$$

for all $w \in B_{r}(0)$. Hence, we have

$$
r\|T\|=\sup _{w \in B_{r}(0)}\|T(w)\| \leq \sup _{u \in B_{r}(v)}\|T(u)\|
$$

2.2.3. Theorem (Banach-Steinhaus). Let $E$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{F}$ a family of continuous linear maps from $E$ into normed space $F$. Assume that $\{\|T v\| ; T \in$ $\mathcal{F}\}$ is a bounded set for any $v \in E$. Then $\{\|T\| ; T \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded.

Proof. Assume that this is false. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{T_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \geq 4^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By 2.2.2 we can construct a sequence $\left\{v_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, such that $v_{1}=0$ and $\left\|v_{n}-v_{n-1}\right\|<\frac{1}{3^{n}}$ and

$$
\left\|T_{n} v_{n}\right\|>\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\|
$$

for $n>1$.
Then, for $m>n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{m}-v_{n}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i=n+1}^{m}\left(v_{i}-v_{i-1}\right)\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{m}\left\|v_{i}-v_{i-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} \frac{1}{3^{i}} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{i}}=\frac{1}{3^{n+1}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left\{v_{n} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $E$ is complete, there exist $v \in E$ such that $v=\lim v_{n}$. Moreover, it follows that $\left\|v-v_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By triangle inequality, we see that

$$
\left\|T_{n} v\right\|=\left\|T_{n}\left(v-v_{n}\right)+T_{n} v_{n}\right\| \geq\left\|T_{n} v_{n}\right\|-\left\|T_{n}\left(v-v_{n}\right)\right\|
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\left\|T_{n} v_{n}\right\|>\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|T_{n}\left(v-v_{n}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|T_{n}\right\|\left\|v-v_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\|
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, it follows that

$$
\left\|T_{n} v_{n}\right\|-\left\|T_{n}\left(v-v_{n}\right)\right\|>\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\|-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{3^{n}}\left\|T_{n}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\left\|T_{n} v\right\| \geq \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, contradicting the assumption that $\{\|T v\| ; T \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded.
2.3. Representations on topological vector spaces. Let $G$ be a compact group. Let $E$ be a hausdorff topological vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $\operatorname{GL}(E)$ the group of all automorphisms of $E$.

If $E$ is a finite-dimensional hausdorff topological vector space, by 2.1.4, any linear automorphism of $E$ is automatically an automorphism of topological vector spaces. Therefore $\mathrm{GL}(E)$ is just the group of all linear automorphisms of $E$ as before.

A (continuous) representation of $G$ on $E$ is a group homomorphism $\pi: G \longrightarrow$ GL $(E)$ such that $(g, v) \longmapsto \pi(g) v$ is continuous from $G \times E$ into $E$.
2.3.1. Lemma. Let $E$ be a Banach space and $\pi: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(E)$ a homomorphism such that $g \longmapsto \pi(g) v$ is continuous function from $G$ into $E$ for all $v \in E$. Then $(\pi, E)$ is a representation of $G$ on $E$.

Proof. Assume that the function $g \longmapsto \pi(g) v$ is continuous for any $v \in V$. Then the function $g \longmapsto\|\pi(g) v\|$ is continuous on $G$. Since $G$ is compact, there exists $M$ such that $\|\pi(g) v\|<M$ for all $g \in G$. By 2.2.3, we see that the function $g \longmapsto\|\pi(g)\|$ is bounded on $G$.

Pick $C>0$ such that $\|\pi(g)\| \leq C$ for all $g \in G$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \pi(g) v & -\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}\|=\|\left(\pi(g) v-\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) v\right)+\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left(v-v^{\prime}\right) \| \\
& \leq\left\|\pi(g) v-\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) v\right\|+\left\|\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right\|\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|\pi(g) v-\pi\left(g^{\prime}\right) v\right\|+C\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $v, v^{\prime} \in E$. This clearly implies the continuity of the function $(g, v) \longmapsto \pi(g) v$.

Moreover, since the topology of $E$ is described by the euclidean norm and $E$ is a Banach space with respect to it, by 2.3.1, the only additional condition for a representation of $G$ is the continuity of the function $g \longmapsto \pi(g) v$ for any $v \in E$. This implies the following result.
2.3.2. Lemma. Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional hausdorff topological vector space and $\pi$ a homomorphism of $G$ into $\mathrm{GL}(E)$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a basis of $E$.
(i) $(\pi, E)$ is a representation of $G$ on $E$;
(ii) all matrix coefficients of $\pi(g)$ with respect to the basis $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are continuous functions on $G$.
2.4. Algebra of matrix coefficients. Let $G$ be a compact group. The Banach space $C(G)$ is an commutative algebra with pointwise multiplication of functions, i.e., $(\psi, \phi) \longmapsto \psi \cdot \phi$ where $(\psi \cdot \phi)(g)=\psi(g) \phi(g)$ for any $g \in G$.

First, we remark the following fact.
2.4.1. Lemma. $R$ and $L$ are representations of $G$ on $C(G)$.

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$
\|R(g) \phi\|=\max _{h \in G}|(R(g) \phi)(h)|=\max _{h \in G}|\phi(h g)|=\max _{h \in G}|\phi(h)|=\|\phi\| .
$$

Hence $R(g)$ is a continuous linear map on $C(G)$. Its inverse is $R\left(g^{-1}\right)$, so $R(g) \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(C(G))$.

By 2.3.1, it is enough to show that the function $g \longmapsto R(g) \phi$ is continuous for any function $\phi \in C(G)$.

By 1.1.1, $\phi$ is uniformly continuous, i.e., there exists a neighborhood $U$ of 1 in $G$ such that $g^{-1} g^{\prime} \in U$ implies $\left|\phi(h g)-\phi\left(h g^{\prime}\right)\right|<\epsilon$ for all $h \in G$. Hence, we have

$$
\left\|R(g) \phi-R\left(g^{\prime}\right) \phi\right\|=\max _{h \in G}\left|(R(g) \phi)(h)-\left(R\left(g^{\prime}\right) \phi\right)(h)\right|=\max _{h \in G}\left|\phi(h g)-\phi\left(h g^{\prime}\right)\right|<\epsilon
$$

for $g^{\prime} \in g U$. Hence, the function $g \longrightarrow R(g) \phi$ is continuous.
The proof for $L$ is analogous.
We say that the function $\phi \in C(G)$ is right (resp. left) $G$-finite if the vectors $\{R(g) \phi ; g \in G\}$ (resp. $\{L(g) \phi ; g \in G\})$ span a finite-dimensional subspace of $C(G)$.
2.4.2. Lemma. Let $\phi \in C(G)$. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\phi$ is left $G$-finite;
(ii) $\phi$ is right $G$-finite;
(iii) there exist $n$ and functions $a_{i}, b_{i} \in C(G), 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that

$$
\phi(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}(h)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$.
Proof. Let $\phi$ be a right $G$-finite function. Then $\phi$ is in a finite-dimensional subspace $F$ invariant for $R$. The restriction of the representation $R$ to $F$ is continuous. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be a basis of $F$. Then, by 2.3 .2 , there exist $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n} \in$ $C(G)$ such that $R(g) \phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(g) a_{i}$. Therefore we have

$$
\phi(h g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(g) a_{i}(h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(h) b_{i}(g)
$$

for all $h, g \in G$. Therefore (iii) holds.
If (iii) holds,

$$
R(g) \phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}
$$

and $\phi$ is right $G$-finite.
Since the condition (iii) is symmetric, the equivalence of (i) and (iii) follows by applying the above argument to the opposite group of $G$.

Therefore, we can call $\phi$ just a $G$-finite function in $C(G)$. Let $R(G)$ be the subset of all $G$-finite functions in $C(G)$.
2.4.3. Proposition. The set $R(G)$ is a subalgebra of $C(G)$.

Proof. Clearly, a multiple of a $G$-finite function is a $G$-finite function.
Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two $G$-finite functions. Then, by 2.4.2, there exists functions $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i} \in C(G)$ such that

$$
\phi(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}(h) \text { and } \psi(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(g) d_{i}(h)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$. This implies that

$$
(\phi+\psi)(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}(h)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(g) d_{i}(h)
$$

and

$$
(\phi \cdot \psi)(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i}(g) c_{j}(g) b_{i}(h) d_{j}(h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(a_{i} \cdot c_{j}\right)(g)\left(b_{i} \cdot d_{i}\right)(h)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Hence, $\phi+\psi$ and $\phi \cdot \psi$ are $G$-finite.
Clearly, $R(G)$ is an invariant subspace for $R$ and $L$.
The main result of this section is the following observation. Let $V$ be a finitedimensional complex linear space and $\pi$ a continuous homomorphism of $G$ into $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, i.e., $(\pi, V)$ is a representation of $G$. For $v \in V$ and $v \in V^{*}$ we call the continuous function $g \longmapsto c_{v, v *}(g)=\left\langle\pi(g) v, v^{*}\right\rangle$ a matrix coefficient of $(\pi, V)$.
2.4.4. Theorem. Let $\phi \in C(G)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\phi$ is in $R(G)$;
(ii) $\phi$ is a matrix coefficient of a finite-dimensional representation of $G$.

Proof. Let $(\pi, V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of $G$. Let $v \in V$ and $v^{*} \in V^{*}$. By scaling $v^{*}$ if necessary, we can assume that $v$ is a vector in a basis of $V$ and $v^{*}$ a vector in the dual basis of $V^{*}$. Then, $c_{v, v^{*}}(g)$ is a matrix coefficient of the matrix of $\pi(g)$ in the basis of $V$. The rule of matrix multiplication implies that (iii) from 2.4.2 holds for $c_{v, v^{*}}$. Hence $\phi$ is $G$-finite.

Assume that $\phi$ is $G$-finite. Then, by 2.4.2, we have $R(g) \phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}$ where $a_{i}, b_{i} \in C(G)$. We can also assume that $b_{i}$ are linearly independent. Let $V$ be the subspace of $R(G)$ spanned by $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$. Then $V$ is a $G$-invariant subspace. Let $v=\phi$ and $v^{*} \in V^{*}$ such that $b_{i}(1)=\left\langle b_{i}, v^{*}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\left\langle R(g) v, v^{*}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g)\left\langle b_{i}, v^{*}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}(1)=\phi(g)
$$

i.e., $\phi$ is a matrix coefficient of the restriction of $R$ to $V$.

Therefore, we call $R(G)$ the algebra of matrix coefficients of $G$.
We also have the following stronger version of 2.4.2
2.4.5. Corollary. Let $\phi \in R(G)$. Then there exist $n$ and functions $a_{i}, b_{i} \in$ $R(G), 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that

$$
\phi(g h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) b_{i}(h)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$.
Proof. Since $\phi$ is a matrix coefficient of a finite-dimensional representation by 2.4.4, the statement follows from the formula for the product of two matrices.

Moreover, $R(G)$ has the following properties. For a function $\phi \in C(G)$ we denote by $\bar{\phi}$ the function $g \longmapsto \overline{f(g)}$ on $G$; and by $\hat{\phi}$ the function $g \longmapsto f\left(g^{-1}\right)$.
2.4.6. Lemma. Let $\phi \in R(G)$. Then
(i) the function $\bar{\phi}$ is in $R(G)$;
(ii) the function $\hat{\phi}$ is in $R(G)$.

Proof. Obvious by 2.4.2.

## 3. Some results from functional analysis

3.1. Compact operators. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space and $T: E \longrightarrow E$ a continuous linear operator.

We say that $T$ is a compact operator if $T$ is a continuous linear operator which maps the unit ball in $E$ into a relatively compact set.
3.1.1. Lemma. Compact operators for a two-sided ideal in the algebra of all continuous linear operators on $E$.

Proof. Let $S$ and $T$ be compact operators. Let $B$ be the unit ball in $E$. Then the images of $B$ in $E$ under $T$ and $S$ have compact closure. Hence, the image of $B \times B$ under $S \times T: E \times E \longrightarrow E \times E$ has compact closure. Since the addition is a continuous map from $E \times E$ into $E$, the image of $B$ under $S+T$ also has compact closure. Therefore, $S+T$ is a compact operator.

If $S$ is a bounded linear operator and $T$ a compact operator, the image of $B$ under $T$ has compact closure. Since $S$ is continuous, the image of $B$ under $S T$ also has compact closure. Hence, $S T$ is compact.

Analogously, the image of $B$ under $S$ is a bounded set since $S$ is bounded. Therefore, the image of $B$ under $T S$ has compact closure and $T S$ is also compact.
3.2. Compact selfadjoint operators. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space. Let $T$ : $E \longrightarrow E$ be a nonzero compact selfadjoint operator.
3.2.1. Theorem. Either $\|T\|$ or $-\|T\|$ is an eigenvalue of $T$.

First we recall a simple fact.
3.2.2. Lemma. Let $u$ and $v$ be two nonzero vectors in $E$ such that $|(u \mid v)|=$ $\|u\| \cdot\|v\|$. Then $u$ and $v$ are colinear.

Proof. Let $\lambda v$ be the orthogonal projection of $u$ to $v$. Then $u=\lambda v+w$ and $w$ is perpendicular to $v$. This implies that $\|u\|^{2}=|\lambda|^{2}\|v\|^{2}+\|w\|^{2}$. On the other hand, we have $\|u\| \cdot\|v\|=|(u \mid v)|=|\lambda|\|v\|^{2}$, i.e., $|\lambda|=\frac{\|u\|}{\|v\|}$. Hence, it follows that

$$
\|u\|^{2}=|\lambda|^{2}\|v\|^{2}+\|w\|^{2}=\|u\|^{2}+\|w\|^{2}
$$

i.e., $\|w\|^{2}=0$ and $w=0$.

Now we can prove the theorem. By rescaling $T$, we can assume that $\|T\|=1$.
Let $B$ be the unit ball in $E$. By our assumption, we know that

$$
1=\|T\|=\sup _{v \in B}\|T v\|
$$

Therefore, there exists a sequence of vectors $v_{n} \in B$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T v_{n}\right\|=$ 1. Since $T$ is compact, by going to a subsequence, we can also assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T v_{n}=u$. This implies that

$$
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T v_{n}\right\|=\|u\|
$$

Moreover, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{2} v_{n}=T u$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=\|T\| \cdot\|u\| \geq\|T u\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{2} v_{n}\right\| \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|T^{2} v_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T^{2} v_{n} \mid v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T v_{n} \mid T v_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T v_{n}\right\|^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\|T u\|=1
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
1=\|T u\|^{2}=(T u \mid T u)=\left(T^{2} u \mid u\right) \leq\left\|T^{2} u\right\|\|u\| \leq\left\|T^{2}\right\|\|u\|^{2} \leq\|T\|^{2}\|u\|^{2}=1
$$

This finally implies that

$$
\left(T^{2} u \mid u\right)=\left\|T^{2} u\right\|\|u\|
$$

By 3.2.2, it follows that $T^{2} u$ is proportional to $u$, i.e. $T^{2} u=\lambda u$. Moreover, we have

$$
\lambda=\lambda(u \mid u)=\left(T^{2} u \mid u\right)=\|T u\|^{2}=1
$$

It follows that $T^{2} u=u$.
Therefore, the linear subspace $F$ of $E$ spanned by $u$ and $T u$ is $T$-invariant. Either $T u=u$ or $v=\frac{1}{2}(u-T u) \neq 0$. In the second case, we have $T v=-v$.

This completes the proof of the existence of eigenvalues.
We need another fact.
3.2.3. Lemma. Let $T$ be a compact selfadjoint operator. Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue different from 0 . Then the eigenspace of $\lambda$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. Assume that the corresponding eigenspace $V$ is infinite-dimensional. Then there would exist an orthonormal sequence $\left(e_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ in $F$. Clearly, then the sequence $\left(T e_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ would consist of mutually orthogonal vectors of length $|\lambda|$, hence it could not have compact closure in $V$, contradicting the compactness of $T$. Therefore, $V$ cannot be infinite-dimensional.
3.3. An example. Denote by $\mu$ the Haar measure on $G$. Let $L^{2}(G)$ be the Hilbert space of square-integrable complex valued functions on $G$ with respect to the Haar measure $\mu$. We denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. Clearly, we have

$$
\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{G}|f(g)|^{2} d \mu(g) \leq\|f\|^{2}
$$

for any $f \in C(G)$. Hence the inclusion $C(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ is a continuous map.
3.3.1. Lemma. The continuous linear map $i: C(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ is injective.

Proof. Let $f \in C(G)$ be such that $i(f)=0$. This implies that $\|f\|_{2}=0$. On the other hand, the function $g \longmapsto|f(g)|$ is a nonnegative continuous function on $G$. Assume that $M$ is the maximum of this function on $G$. If we would have $M>0$, there would exist a nonempty open set $U \subset G$ such that $|f(g)| \geq \frac{M}{2}$ for $g \in U$. Therefore, we would have

$$
\|f\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{G}|f(g)|^{2} d \mu(g) \geq \frac{M^{2}}{4} \mu(U)>0
$$

by 1.3.16. Therefore, we must have $M=0$.
Since the measure of $G$ is 1 , by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\int_{G}|\phi(g)| d \mu(g)=\int_{G} 1 \cdot|\phi(g)| d \mu(g) \leq\|1\|_{2} \cdot\|\phi\|_{2}=\|\phi\|_{2}
$$

for any $\phi \in L^{2}(\mu)$. Hence, $L_{2}(G) \subset L_{1}(G)$, where $L_{1}(G)$ is the Banach space of integrable functions on $G$.

Let $f$ be a continuous function on $G$. For any $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$, we put

$$
(R(f) \phi)(g)=\int_{G} f(h) \phi(g h) d \mu(h)
$$

for $g \in G$.
By 1.1.1, $f$ is uniformly continuous on $G$. This implies that for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of 1 in $G$ such that $g^{\prime} g^{-1} \in U$ implies $\left|f(g)-f\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right|<\epsilon$. Therefore, for arbitrary $h \in G$, we see that for $\left(g^{\prime-1} h\right)\left(g^{-1} h\right)^{-1}=g^{\prime-1} g \in U$ and we have

$$
\left|f\left(g^{-1} h\right)-f\left(g^{\prime-1} h\right)\right|<\epsilon
$$

This in turn implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(R(f) \phi)(g)-(R(f) \phi)\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{G} f(h) \phi(g h) d \mu(h)-\int_{G} f(h) \phi\left(g^{\prime} h\right) d \mu(h)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{G}\left(f\left(g^{-1} h\right)-f\left(g^{\prime-1} h\right)\right) \phi(h) d \mu(h)\right|=\int_{G}\left|f\left(g^{-1} h\right)-f\left(g^{\prime-1} h\right)\right||\phi(h)| d \mu(h) \\
& <\epsilon \cdot \int_{G}|\phi(h)| d \mu(h) \leq \epsilon \cdot\|\phi\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $g^{\prime} \in U g$ and $\phi$ in $L^{2}(G)$. This proves that functions $R(f) \phi$ are in $C(G)$ for any $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$.

Moreover, by the invariance of Haar measure, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(R(f) \phi)(g)| \leq \int_{G}|f(h)\|\phi(g h) \mid d \mu(h) \leq\| f & \| \int_{G}|\phi(g h)| d \mu(h) \\
& \leq\|f\| \int_{G}|\phi(h)| d \mu(h) \leq\|f\| \cdot\|\phi\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\|R(f) \phi\| \leq\|f\| \cdot\|\phi\|_{2}
$$

for any $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$. Hence, $R(f)$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{2}(G)$ into $C(G)$.

Hence the set $\mathcal{S}=\left\{R(f) \phi \mid\|\phi\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}$ is bounded in $C(G)$.
Clearly, the composition of $R(f)$ with the natural inclusion $i: C(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ is a continuous linear map from $L^{2}(G)$ into itself which will denote by the same symbol. Therefore, the following diagram of continuous maps

is commutative.
We already remarked that $\mathcal{S}$ is a bounded set in $C(G)$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}$ is a pointwise bounded family of continuous functions. In addition, by the above formula

$$
\left|(R(f) \phi)(g)-(R(f) \phi)\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right|<\epsilon
$$

for all $g^{\prime} \in U g$ and $\phi$ in the unit ball in $L^{2}(G)$. Hence, the set $\mathcal{S}$ is equicontinuous.
Hence we proved the following result.
3.3.2. Lemma. The set $\mathcal{S} \subset C(G)$ is pointwise bounded and equicontinuous.

By 1.2.1, the closure of the set $\mathcal{S}$ in $C(G)$ is compact. Since $i: C(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ is continuous, $\mathcal{S}$ has compact closure in $L^{2}(G)$. Therefore, we have the following result.
3.3.3. Lemma. The linear operator $R(f): L^{2}(G) \longrightarrow L^{2}(G)$ is compact.

Put $f^{*}(g)=\overline{f\left(g^{-1}\right)}, g \in G$. Then $f^{*} \in C(G)$.
3.3.4. Lemma. For any $f \in C(G)$ we have

$$
R(f)^{*}=R\left(f^{*}\right)
$$

Proof. For $\phi, \psi \in L^{2}(G)$, we have, by 1.3.17,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(R(f) \phi \mid \psi)=\int_{G}(R(f) \phi)(g) \overline{\psi(g)} d \mu(g)=\int_{G}\left(\int_{G} f(h) \phi(g h) d \mu(h)\right) \overline{\psi(g)} d \mu(g) \\
=\int_{G} f(h)\left(\int_{G} \phi(g h) \overline{\psi(g)} d \mu(g)\right) d \mu(h)=\int_{G} f(h)\left(\int_{G} \phi(g) \overline{\psi\left(g h^{-1}\right)} d \mu(g)\right) d \mu(h) \\
=\int_{G} \phi(g)\left(\overline{\left.\int_{G} \overline{f(h)} \psi\left(g h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)\right)} d \mu(g)\right. \\
=\int_{G} \phi(g)\left(\overline{\int_{G} f^{*}\left(h^{-1}\right) \psi\left(g h^{-1}\right) d \mu(h)}\right) d \mu(g) \\
=\int_{G} \phi(g)\left(\overline{\int_{G} f^{*}(h) \psi(g h) d \mu(h)}\right) d \mu(g)=\left(\phi \mid R\left(f^{*}\right) \psi\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

3.3.5. Corollary. The operator $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)=R(f)^{*} R(f)$ is a positive compact selfadjoint operator on $L^{2}(G)$.

## 4. Peter-Weyl theorem

4.1. $L^{2}$ version. Let $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$. Let $g \in G$. We put $(R(g) \phi)(h)=\phi(h g)$ for any $h \in G$. Then we have
$\|R(g) \phi\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{G}|(R(g) \phi)(h)|^{2} d \mu(h)=\int_{G}|\phi(h g)|^{2} d \mu(h)=\int_{G}|\phi(h)|^{2} d \mu(h)=\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}$.
Therefore, $R(g)$ is a continuous linear operator on $L^{2}(G)$. Clearly it is in $\operatorname{GL}\left(L^{2}(G)\right)$. Moreover, $R(g)$ is unitary.

Clearly, for any $g \in G$, the following diagram

is commutative.
Analogously, we define $(L(g) \phi)(h)=\phi\left(g^{-1} h\right)$ for $h \in G$. Then $L(g)$ is a unitary operator on $L^{2}(G)$ which extends from $C(G)$.

Clearly, $R(g)$ and $L(h)$ commute for any $g, h \in G$.
4.1.1. Lemma. $L$ and $R$ are unitary representations of $G$ on $L^{2}(G)$.

Proof. It is enough to discuss $R$. The proof for $L$ is analogous.
Let $g \in G$ and $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$. We have to show that $h \longmapsto R(h) \phi$ is continuous at $g$. Let $\epsilon>0$. Since $C(G)$ is dense in $L^{2}(G)$, there exists $\psi \in C(G)$ such that $\|\phi-\psi\|_{2}<\frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Since $R$ is a representation on $C(G)$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $g$ such that $h \in U$ implies $\|R(h) \psi-R(g) \psi\|<\frac{\epsilon}{3}$. This in turn implies that $\|R(h) \psi-R(g) \psi\|_{2}<\frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|R(h) \phi-R(g) \phi\|_{2} \leq\|R(h)(\phi-\psi)\|_{2}+ & \|R(h) \psi-R(g) \psi\|_{2}+\|R(g)(\psi-\phi)\|_{2} \\
& \leq 2\|\phi-\psi\|_{2}+\|R(h) \psi-R(g) \psi\|_{2}<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $h \in U$.
Let $f$ be a continuous function on $G$. By 3.3.3, $R(f)$ is a compact operator on $L^{2}(G)$.

Let $\phi \in L^{2}(G)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(R(f) L(g) \phi)(h) & =\int_{G} f(k)(L(g) \phi)(h k) d \mu(k) \\
& =\int_{G} f(k) \phi\left(g^{-1} h k\right) d \mu(k)=(R(f) \phi)\left(g^{-1} h\right)=(L(g) R(f) \phi)(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Therefore, $R(f)$ commutes with $L(g)$ for any $g \in G$.
Let $F$ be the eigenspace of $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)$ for eigenvalue $\lambda>0$. Then $F$ is finitedimensional by 3.2.3.
4.1.2. Lemma. (i) Let $\phi \in F$. Then $\phi$ is a continuous function.
(ii) The vector subspace $F$ of $C(G)$ is in $R(G)$.

Proof. (i) The function $\phi$ is in the image of $R\left(f^{*}\right)$. Hence it is a continuous function.
(ii) By (i), $F \subset C(G)$. As we remarked above, the operator $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)$ commutes with the representation $L$. Therefore, the eigenspace $F$ is invariant subspace for $L$. Let $\phi$ be a function in $F$. Since $F$ is invariant for $L, \phi$ is $G$-finite. Hence, $\phi \in R(G)$.
4.1.3. Lemma. The subspace $R(G)$ is invariant for $R(f)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in R(G)$. By 2.4.5 we have

$$
(R(f) \phi)(g)=\int_{G} f(h) \phi(g h) d \mu(h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(g) \int_{G} f(h) b_{i}(h) d \mu(h)
$$

for any $g \in G$, i.e., $R(f) \phi$ is a linear combination of $a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
Let $E=R(G)^{\perp}$ in $L^{2}(G)$. Then, by 4.1.3, $R(G)$ is invariant for selfadjoint operator $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)$. This in turn implies that $E$ is also invariant for this operator. Therefore the restriction of this operator to $E$ is a positive selfadjoint compact operator. Assume that its norm is greater than 0 . Then, by 3.2.1, the norm is an eigenvalue of this operator, and there exists a nonzero eigenvector $\phi \in E$ for that eigenvalue. Clearly, $\phi$ is an eigenvector for $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)$ too. By 4.1.2, $\phi$ is also in $R(G)$. Hence, we have $\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}=(\phi \mid \phi)=0$, and $\phi=0$ in $L^{2}(G)$. Hence, we have a contradiction.

Therefore, the operator $R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f)$ is 0 when restricted to $E$. Hence

$$
0=\left(R\left(f^{*}\right) R(f) \psi \mid \psi\right)=\|R(f) \psi\|_{2}^{2}
$$

for any $\psi \in E$. It follows that $R(f) \psi=0$. Since $R(f) \psi$ is a continuous function, we have

$$
0=(R(f) \psi)(1)=\int_{G} f(g) \psi(g) d \mu(g)
$$

i.e., $\psi$ is orthogonal to $\bar{f}$.

Since $f \in C(G)$ was arbitrary and $C(G)$ is dense in $L^{2}(G)$, it follows that $\psi=0$. This implies that $E=\{0\}$.

This completes the proof of the following result.
4.1.4. Theorem (Peter-Weyl). The algebra $R(G)$ is dense in $L^{2}(G)$.
4.2. Continuous version. Let $g \in G$. Assume that $g \neq 1$. Then there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of 1 such that $U$ and $U g$ are disjoint. There exists positive function $\phi$ in $C(G)$ such that $\left.\phi\right|_{U}=0$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{U g}=1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|R(g) \phi-\phi\|^{2} & =\int_{G}|\phi(h g)-\phi(h)|^{2} d \mu(h) \\
& =\int_{U}|\phi(h g)-\phi(h)|^{2} d \mu(h)+\int_{G-U}|\phi(h g)-\phi(h)|^{2} d \mu(h) \geq \mu(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $R(g) \neq I$. Since by 4.1.4, $R(G)$ is dense in $L^{2}(G),\left.R(g)\right|_{R(G)}$ is not the identity operator.

This implies the following result.
4.2.1. Lemma. Let $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $g \neq g^{\prime}$. Then there exists a function $\phi \in R(G)$ such that $\phi(g) \neq \phi\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $h=g^{-1} g^{\prime} \neq 1$. Then there exists $\psi \in R(G)$ such that $R(h) \psi \neq \psi$. Hence, we have $R(g) \psi \neq R\left(g^{\prime}\right) \psi$. It follows that $\psi(h g) \neq \psi\left(h g^{\prime}\right)$ for some $h \in G$. Therefore, the function $\phi=L\left(h^{-1}\right) \psi$ has the required property.

In other words, $R(G)$ separates points in $G$. By Stone-Weierstrass theorem, we have the following result which is a continuous version of Peter-Weyl theorem.
4.2.2. Theorem (Peter-Weyl). The algebra $R(G)$ is dense in $C(G)$.

Another consequence of 4.2 .1 is the following result.
4.2.3. Lemma. Let $U$ be an open neighborhood of 1 in $G$. Then there exists a finite-dimensional representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ such that $\operatorname{ker} \pi \subset U$.

Proof. The complement $G-U$ of $U$ is a compact set. Since $R(G)$ separates the points of $G$, for any $g \in G-U$ there exists a function $\phi_{g} \in R(G)$ and an open neighborhood $U_{g}$ of $g$ such that $\phi_{g}(h) \neq \phi_{g}(1)$ for $h \in U_{g}$. Since $G-U$ is compact, there exists a finite set $g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{m}$ in $G-U$ such that $U_{g_{1}}, U_{g_{2}}, \cdots, U_{g_{m}}$ form an open cover of $G-U$ and $\phi_{g_{i}}(h) \neq \phi_{g_{i}}(1)$ for $h \in U_{g_{i}}$. Let $\pi_{i}$ be a finitedimensional representation of $G$ with matrix coefficient $\phi_{g_{i}}$. Then $\pi_{i}(h) \neq I$ for $h \in U_{g_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\pi$ be the direct sum of $\pi_{i}$. Then $\pi(h) \neq I$ for $h \in G-U$, i.e., $\operatorname{ker} \pi \subset U$.
4.3. Matrix groups. Let $G$ be a topological group. We say that $G$ has no small subgroups if there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $1 \in G$ such that any subgroup of $G$ contained in $U$ is trivial.
4.3.1. Lemma. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional complex vector space. Then the group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ has no small subgroups.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}(V)$ be the space of all linear endomorphisms of $V$. Then $\exp$ : $\mathcal{L}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ given by

$$
\exp (T)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} T^{n}
$$

defines a holomorphic map. Its differential at 0 is the identity map $I$ on $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Hence, by the inverse function theorem, it is a local diffeomorphism.

Let $U$ be an open neighborhood of $1 \mathrm{in} \operatorname{GL}(V)$ and $V$ the open ball around 0 in $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of radius $\epsilon$ (with respect to the linear operator norm) such that $\exp : V \longrightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism. Let $V^{\prime}$ be the open ball of radius $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ around 0 in $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Then $U^{\prime}=\exp \left(V^{\prime}\right)$ is an open neighborhood of 1 in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ contained in $U^{\prime}$. Let $S \in H$. Then $S=\exp (T)$ for some $T \in V^{\prime}$. Hence, we have $S^{2}=\exp (T)^{2}=\exp (2 T) \in H$. Moreover, $S^{2} \in H$ and $S^{2}=\exp \left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for some $T^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$. It follows that $\exp \left(T^{\prime}\right)=\exp (2 T)$ for $2 T, T^{\prime} \in V$. Since $\exp$ is injective on $V$, we must have $2 T=T^{\prime}$. Hence, $T \in \frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}$. It follows that $H \subset \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\right)$. By induction we get that $H \subset \exp \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}} V^{\prime}\right)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $H=\{1\}$.

A compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ we call a compact matrix group.
4.3.2. ThEOREM. Let $G$ be a compact group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $G$ has no small subgroups;
(ii) $G$ is isomorphic to a compact matrix group.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $U$ be an open neighborhood of $1 \in G$ such that it contains no nontrivial subgroups of $G$. By 4.2.3, there exists a finite-dimensional representation $(\pi, V)$ of $G$ such that $\operatorname{ker} \pi \subset U$. This clearly implies that $\operatorname{ker} \pi=\{1\}$, and $\pi: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is an injective homomorphism. Since $G$ is compact, $\pi$ is homoeomprphism of $G$ onto $\pi(G)$. Therefore, $G$ is isomorphic to the compact subgroup $\pi(G)$ of GL $(V)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Assume that $G$ is a compact subgroup of GL( $V$ ). By 4.3.1, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of 1 in $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that it contains no nontrivial subgroups. This implies that $G \cap U$ contains on nontrivial subgroups of $G$.
4.3.3. Remark. For a compact matrix group $G$, since matrix coefficients of the natural representation separate points in $G, 4.2 .1$ obviously holds. Therefore, in this situation, Stone-Weierstrass theorem immediately implies the second version of Peter-Weyl theorem, which in turn implies the first one.
4.3.4. Remark. By Cartan's theorem [1], any compact matrix group is a Lie group. On the other hand, by [1] any Lie group has no small subgroups. Hence, compact Lie groups have no small subgroups and therefore they are compact matrix groups.
4.3.5. Remark. Let $T=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. Then $T$ is a compact abelian group. Let $G$ be the product of inifinite number of copies of $T$. Then $G$ is a compact abelian group. By the definition of product topology, any neghborhood of 1 contains a nontrivial subgroup.

Let $G$ be an arbitrary compact group. Let $(\pi, V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation. Put $N=\operatorname{ker} \pi$. Then $N$ is a compact normal subgroup of $G$ and $G / N$ equipped with the quotient topology is a compact group. Clearly, $G / N$ is a compact matrix group.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the family of all compact normal subgroups $N$ of $G$ such that $G / N$ is a compact matrix group. Clearly, $N, N^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{S}$ implies $N \cap N^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}$ ordered by inclusion is a directed set. One can show that $G$ is a projective limit of the system $G / N, N \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, any compact group is a projective limit of compact matrix groups. By the above remark, this implies that any compact group is a projective limit of compact Lie groups.
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