Help

CAMPUS INFORMATION SYSTEM THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

**::** course evaluations •••

## University of Utah Course and Instructor Evaluation Report Spring 2009

College/School:	College of Science					Print Date: 29-May-09						
Instructor:	MACARTHUR, KELLY A;											
Subject:	Mathematics	athematics Course #: 1220 - 005 Enrollment: 69										
Course:	Calculus II			Evaluations processed: 37								
UU Standard Course Items			Ν	SD	D	MD	MA	A	SA	Avg	S.Avg	
1. The course objectives were clearly stated.			37	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	24.3%	18.9%	51.4%	5.08	5.13	
2. The course objectives were met.			37	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	27.0%	21.6%	43.2%	4.92	5.09	
3. The course content was well organized.				2.8%	2.8%	0.0%	16.7%	27.8%	50.0%	5.14	5.00	
4. The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives.			37	2.7%	8.1%	5.4%	16.2%	18.9%	48.6%	4.86	4.94	
5. Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course.				5.4%	8.1%	5.4%	16.2%	24.3%	40.5%	4.68	5.08	
6. I learned a great deal in this course.				5.4%	5.4%	8.1%	13.5%	21.6%	45.9%	4.78	4.89	
7. Overall, this was an effective course.				5.4%	10.8%	5.4%	18.9%	13.5%	45.9%	4.62	4.90	
Composite score: 4.87 Subject composite score: 5.00												
UU Standard Instructor Items Macarthur, Kelly A				N S	SD D	MD	MA	А	SA	Avg	S.Avg	
1. The instructor was organized.				34 0	0.0% 2.9	% 2.9%	5 14.7	% 29.4	% 50.0%	6 5.21	5.07	
2. The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.				34 0	0.0% 2.9	% 0.0%	2.9%	5 20.6°	% 73.5%	6 5.62	5.31	
3. The instructor presented course content effectively.				34 0	0.0% 5.9	% 14.7	% 14.7	% 11.89	% 52.9%	6 4.91	4.87	
4. The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful.			s	34 (	0.0% 0.0	% 2.9%	2.9%	32.4	% 61.8%	6 5.53	5.18	
5. As appropriate, the	instructor encouraged questi	ons and opinions.		34 0	0.0% 0.0	% 5.9%	5 11.8	% 23.5	% 58.8%	6 5.35	5.11	
6. The instructor was available for consultation with students.				34 0	0.0% 0.0	% 5.9%	5.9%	5 23.5	% 64.7%	6 5.47	5.14	
7. Overall, this was an effective instructor.				34 0	0.0% 5.9	% 2.9%	5 14.7	% 20.6	% 55.9%	6 5.18	5.00	
Composite score: 5.3	32 Subject composite score	e: 5.10										
N = number of respon	ses											
SD = Strongly Disagree (response value 1)												
D = Disagree (response value 2)												
MD = Mildly Disagree (response value 3)												
MA = Mildly Agree (re	sponse value 4)											

A = Agree (response value 5)

SA = Strongly Agree (response value 6)

S.Avg = Subject-wide Average for this question

## Get Written Comments Report

**DISCLAIMER:** Department composite scores are current as of the date of this report, but may be revised if additional evaluations are processed.