## ADMINISTRATIVE COMPUTING SERVICES

# University of Utah Course and Instructor Evaluation Report Fall 2007 

| College/School: $\begin{aligned} & \text { College of } \\ & \text { Science }\end{aligned}$ | Print Date: 04-Jan-08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instructor: MACARTHUR,KELLY A; |  |  |
| Subject: Mathematics Course 10 | $\begin{array}{ll} 1060-\quad \text { Enro } \\ 001 \end{array}$ | ollment: 158 |
| Course: Trig | Evaluations processed: | 109 |
| UU Standard Course Items | N SD D MD MA | A SA Avg S.Avg |
| 1. The course objectives were clearly stated. | 109 0.0\% 1.8\% 0.9\% 5.5\% | 40.4\% 51.4\% 5.395 .10 |
| 2. The course objectives were met. | 109 0.9\% 0.9\% 0.9\% 9.2\% | 37.6\% 50.5\% 5.335 .04 |
| 3. The course content was well organized. | 109 0.9\% 0.9\% 0.9\% 6.4\% | 40.4\% 50.5\% 5.364 .97 |
| 4. The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives. | 108 0.9\% 0.9\% 1.9\% 5.6\% | 40.7\% 50.0\% 5.344 .88 |
| 5. Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course. | e 109 0.9\% 1.8\% 1.8\% 5.5\% | 38.5\% 51.4\% 5.335 .02 |
| 6. I learned a great deal in this course. | 109 0.9\% 0.9\% 3.7\% 11.9\% | 38.5\% 44.0\% 5.184 .83 |
| 7. Overall, this was an effective course. | 109 0.9\% 0.9\% 2.8\% 9.2\% | 39.4\% 46.8\% 5.264 .85 |
| Composite score: 5.31 Subject composite score: 4.96 |  |  |
| UU Standard Instructor Items Macarthur, Kelly A | N SD D MD MA | A SA Avg S.Avg |
| 1. The instructor was organized. | 105 0.0\% 1.9\% 1.0\% 5.7\% | 36.2\% 55.2\% 5.425 .07 |
| 2. The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject. | 105 0.0\% 1.0\% 1.9\% 5.7\% | 24.8\% 66.7\% 5.545 .34 |
| 3. The instructor presented course content effectively. | 104 0.0\% 1.0\% 4.8\% 11.5\% | 23.1\% 59.6\% 5.364 .85 |
| 4. The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful. | t 105 0.0\% 1.9\% 1.0\% 5.7\% | 31.4\% 60.0\% 5.475 .18 |
| 5. As appropriate, the instructor encouraged questions and opinions. | 105 1.0\% 1.9\% 0.0\% 6.7\% | 29.5\% 61.0\% 5.455 .13 |
| 6. The instructor was available for consultation with students. | . $1050.0 \% 1.9 \% 1.9 \% 9.5 \%$ | 33.3\% 53.3\% 5.345 .17 |
| 7. Overall, this was an effective instructor. | 105 0.0\% 1.9\% 2.9\% 8.6\% | 27.6\% 59.0\% 5.394 .97 |
| Composite score: 5.42 Subject composite score: 5.10 |  |  |
| $N=$ number of responses |  |  |
| SD = Strongly Disagree (response value 1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ Disagree (response value 2) |  |  |
| MD = Mildly Disagree (response value 3) |  |  |
| MA = Mildly Agree (response value 4) |  |  |
| A = Agree (response value 5) |  |  |
| SA = Strongly Agree (response value 6) |  |  |
| S.Avg = Subject-wide Average for this question |  |  |

DISCLAIMER: Department composite scores are current as of the date of this report, but may be revised if additional evaluations are processed.

