

== course evaluations •••

SA = Strongly Agree (response value 6) S.Avg = Subject-wide Average for this question

University of Utah Course and Instructor Evaluation Report Fall 2008

College/School:	College of Science MACARTHUR,KELLY A;					Print Date: 09-Jan-09							
Subject:	Mathematics	Course #:	1010) - (001	Enrollment: 175							
Course:	Intrm Algebra					Evaluations processed: 95							
UU Standard Course I	tems			N	SD	D	MD	MA	Α	SA	Avg	S.Avg	
The course objectives were clearly stated.			95	7.4%	2.1%	0.0%	6.3%	24.2%	60.0%	5.18	5.12		
2. The course objectives were met. 95			7.4%	1.1%	1.1%	8.4%	22.1%	60.0%	5.17	5.04			
3. The course content was well organized. 95			95	7.4%	0.0%	5.3%	3.2%	22.1%	62.1%	5.19	4.97		
4. The course materials were helpful in meeting course objectives. 95				7.4%	2.1%	1.1%	5.3%	29.5%	54.7%	5.12	4.84		
5. Assignments and exams reflected what was covered in the course. 95.7				7.4%	3.2%	2.1%	7.4%	21.1%	58.9%	5.08	4.98		
6. I learned a great deal in this course. 95 9				9.5%	2.1%	3.2%	12.6%	20.0%	52.6%	4.89	4.81		
7. Overall, this was an	effective course.			95	9.5%	3.2%	2.1%	8.4%	24.2%	52.6%	4.93	4.82	
Composite score: 5.0	08 Subject composite score	: 4.94											
UU Standard Instructo	r Items Macarthur, Kelly A				N :	SD [) N	ID MA	A A	SA	Avg	S.Avg	
1. The instructor was organized.			86	7.0% (0.0% 2	2.3% 7.0	0% 20.99	% 62.8%	6 5.23	5.05			
2. The instructor demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.				85	7.1% (0.0% 2	2.4% 4.7	7% 16.59	% 69.4%	6 5.32	5.28		
3. The instructor presented course content effectively.				87	8.0% 2	2.3% 1	.1% 8.0)% 16.19	% 64.4%	6 5.15	4.82		
4. The instructor created/supported a classroom environment that was respectful.				. 86	7.0% ′	1.2% 2	2.3% 8.1	1% 17.49	% 64.0%	6 5.20	5.14		
5. As appropriate, the instructor encouraged questions and opinions.					86	8.1% (0.0% 2	2.3% 7.0)% 15.19	% 67.4%	6 5.23	5.10	
6. The instructor was a	available for consultation with	students.			87	8.0% (0.0% 4	.6% 8.0	0% 20.79	% 58.6%	6 5.09	5.14	
7. Overall, this was an	effective instructor.				87	8.0%	1.1% 2	2.3% 6.9	9% 17.29	% 64.4%	6 5.17	4.94	
Composite score: 5.20 Subject composite score: 5.07													
N = number of responses													
SD = Strongly Disagree (response value 1)													
D = Disagree (response value 2)													
MD = Mildly Disagree (response value 3)													
MA = Mildly Agree (response value 4)													
A = Agree (response v	ralue 5)												

Get Written Comments Report

DISCLAIMER: Department composite scores are current as of the date of this report, but may be revised if additional evaluations are processed.

1 of 1