

Probability Qualifying Exam Solution, Fall 2008

Shiu-Tang Li

August 31, 2012

1

Let $F_n = \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[S_n | F_{n-1}] &= E[S_{n-1} | F_{n-1}] + E[X_n | F_{n-1}] \\ &= S_{n-1} + E[X_n | F_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $E[X_n | F_{n-1}] = 0$ a.s., and so $E[X_n | F_m] = E[X_n | F_{n-1}] | F_m = 0$ for all $m < n$. Thus, for $i > j$ we have

$$E[X_i X_j] = E[E[X_i X_j | F_j]] = E[X_j E[X_i | F_j]] = E[X_j \cdot 0] = 0.$$

2

(1) To avoid ambiguity, let $X_1 = 0$. To show that $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ in pr, consider

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n})^2] &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{i}{\log i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{2}{\log 2} + \sum_{i=3}^{[\sqrt{n}]} i + \sum_{[\sqrt{n}]+1}^n \frac{i}{\log i} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\frac{2}{\log 2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot ([\sqrt{n}]^2 + [\sqrt{n}]) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n^2 + n}{\log([\sqrt{n}] + 1)} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $k > 0$, $P(|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}| > k) \leq \frac{1}{k^2} E[(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n})^2] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) Note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log n} = \infty$, by Borel-Cantelli lemma we have $P(|X_n| \geq n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. When $|X_n| \geq n$, either $|S_n| > \frac{n}{3}$ or $|S_{n-1}| > \frac{n}{3} > \frac{n-1}{3}$, and thus $P(\frac{|S_n|}{n} > \frac{1}{3} \text{ i.o.}) = 1$. This shows $\frac{S_n}{n} \not\rightarrow 0$ a.s.

3

First, we observe that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2} + \epsilon) \log n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(\frac{(\sqrt{2}+\epsilon)^2}{2} \log n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 + \sqrt{2}\epsilon)\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\log n} \cdot n^{-\left(\frac{(\sqrt{2}+\epsilon)^2}{2}\right)}$$

converges when $\epsilon \geq 0$ and diverges when $-\sqrt{2} \leq \epsilon < 0$ by integral test. Since $1 - \Phi(x) \sim \phi(x)/x$ when x is large, by limit comparison test the following series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| \geq (\sqrt{2} + \epsilon) \log n)$$

converges when $\epsilon \geq 0$ and diverges when $-\sqrt{2} \leq \epsilon < 0$, which shows $P(|X_n|/\log n \geq (\sqrt{2} + \epsilon) \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ when $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $= 1$ when $-\sqrt{2} \leq \epsilon < 0$. This shows $\limsup_n |X_n|/\log n \geq \sqrt{2}$ a.s.

4

First we claim that $Y_n \in \sigma\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n|F_{n-1}] &= E[X_n 1_{\{Y_{n-1}=0\}} + n Y_{n-1} |X_n| 1_{\{Y_{n-1} \neq 0\}} |F_{n-1}] \\ &= 1_{\{Y_{n-1}=0\}} E[X_n |F_{n-1}] + n Y_{n-1} 1_{\{Y_{n-1} \neq 0\}} E[|X_n| |F_{n-1}] \\ &= 1_{\{Y_{n-1}=0\}} E[X_n] + n Y_{n-1} 1_{\{Y_{n-1} \neq 0\}} E[|X_n|] \\ &= 1_{\{Y_{n-1}=0\}} \cdot 0 + Y_{n-1} 1_{\{Y_{n-1} \neq 0\}} = Y_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that Y_n is integer valued, and for every nonzero integer k , $P(|Y_n| = k) = 0$ for all $n > |k|$. Besides, $P(Y_n = 0) = P(X_n = 0) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus Y_n converges weakly to 0.

Actually, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|Y_n| > \epsilon) \leq P(Y_n \neq 0) = P(X_n \neq 0) = \frac{1}{n}$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ we find that Y_n converges in pr. to 0.

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n| > \frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n| = 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, $P(|Y_n| > \frac{1}{2} \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ and hence Y_n does not converge a.s. to 0.

5

(a) It suffices to show that $P(Z > k; X < Y) = P(Z > k)P(X < Y)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. To see this,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z > k; X < Y) &= P(X > k; X < Y) = \int_k^\infty \int_x^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy dx \\ &= \int_k^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\mu x} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} e^{-(\lambda + \mu)k} \\ &= e^{-\lambda k} e^{-\mu k} \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\mu x} dx \\ &= P(X > k)P(Y > k) \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy dx \\ &= P(Z > k)P(X < Y) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$P(X = Z) = P(X \leq Y) = \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy dx = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} e^{-\mu x} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$$

(c) For $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(U \leq k) &= P(Y \geq X) + \int_0^\infty \int_y^{y+k} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dx dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} + \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu y} (e^{-\lambda y} - e^{-\lambda(y+k)}) dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} (1 - e^{-\lambda k}), \end{aligned}$$

and $P(U \leq 0) = P(U = 0) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}$; $P(U \leq k) = 0$ if $k < 0$.

(d) $V = |X - Y|$; for $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(V \leq k) &= \int_0^\infty \int_x^{x+k} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dy dx + \int_0^\infty \int_y^{y+k} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} (e^{-\mu x} - e^{-\mu(x+k)}) dx + \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu y} (e^{-\lambda y} - e^{-\lambda(y+k)}) dy \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} (1 - e^{-\mu k}) + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} (1 - e^{-\lambda k}), \end{aligned}$$

and $P(V \leq 0) = 0$.

6

(No brief way to solve it) The MGF of $X_1 + \dots + X_{10}$ is $(\frac{1}{6}(e^t + \dots + e^{6t}))^{10} = \frac{e^{10t}}{6^{10}}(1 + e^t + \dots + e^{5t})^{10}$. Need detailed calculations.

8

Since $Y_n \Rightarrow Y$, For any $\epsilon > 0$ we may pick $A > 0$ so that $P(|Y| > A) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and hence for all n large enough we have $P(|Y_n| > A) < \epsilon$. Let $B > 0$, we observe that for any bounded continuous function $f(x, y)$, f is uniformly continuous on $\{(x, y) : |x| \leq B, |y| \leq A\}$, and $|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|x_1 - y_1| \leq \delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\int f(X_n, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y) dP| \\ & \leq |\int f(X_n, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y_n) dP| + |\int f(0, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y) dP| \\ & \leq \int_{\{|X_n| \leq \delta, |Y_n| \leq A\}} |f(X_n, Y_n) - f(0, Y_n)| dP + \int_{\{|X_n| > \delta\}} |f(X_n, Y_n) - f(0, Y_n)| dP \\ & \quad + \int_{\{|Y_n| > A\}} |f(X_n, Y_n) - f(0, Y_n)| dP + |\int f(0, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y) dP| \\ & \leq \epsilon + \epsilon K + \epsilon K + |\int f(0, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y) dP| \quad \text{where } K = \sup_{x,y} |f(x, y)| \rightarrow \epsilon(1 + 2k) \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\int f(X_n, Y_n) dP - \int f(0, Y) dP| = 0$, and this gives us the the desired result.

9

There're several possible constructions. I list two below.

1. Let the joint density of X and Y be $\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2}1_{\{x \times y > 0\}}$. $P(0 < X + Y < \epsilon) < P(\epsilon < X + Y < 2\epsilon)$ when ϵ is small enough, which impossible for any normal random variable.
2. Let X, Z, W be independent, $X, Z \sim N(0, 1)$, W has point mass at $-1, 1$ with probability $1/2$ each. Let $Y = WZ$. It is clear that $X, Y \sim N(0, 1)$, while $P(X + Y = 0) = 1/2$, so $X + Y$ is not normally distributed and (X, Y) cannot be a Gaussian vector.

10

(a) By definition we have $P(X \in B_1)P(Y \in B_2) = P(X \in B_1; Y \in B_2)$ for any $B_1, B_2 \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.