

Monday February 2

- Finish the discussion of interior, closure, boundary, as in page 3 Wednesday notes
- Subspaces of metric spaces, and relatively open and closed sets, from Friday's notes.

Then,
compactness! (to be continued Tuesday...)

Definition $A \subset X$ is compact iff $\forall \{x_k\} \subset A \quad \exists \text{ subseq } \{x_{k_j}\} \rightarrow x \in A$.

Example: $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact iff A is closed and bounded. (Same result holds in $\mathbb{R}^n$)

pf $\Rightarrow$: show A is closed, i.e. contains its limit points:

let $\{a_k\} \subset A$, $\{a_k\} \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}$.

compactness $\Rightarrow \exists \{a_{k_j}\} \rightarrow a \in A$.

subseq's of conv. seqs converge to same limits, so $a = x \in A$
 so A closed $\square$

show A is bounded.

If not, $\exists a_k \in A$, $|a_k| > k$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k - a_l| \geq (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|) - a_l = +\infty$$

so no subsequence is Cauchy, so no subseq is convergent. $\square$

$\Leftarrow$: If A is closed and bounded then $\exists N < \infty$, $A \subset [-N, N] = I_0$

let $\{x_k\} \subset A$ a sequence. Choose $I_1 = [-N, 0]$ or $[0, N]$ s.t. $\#(\{x_k\} \cap I_1) = \infty$.

Choose $x_{k_1} \in I_1$.

Choose I_2 left or right half of I_1 s.t. $\#(\{x_k\} \cap I_2) = \infty$

$x_{k_2} \in I_2$, $k_2 > 1$

inductively construct $\{x_{k_j}\}$, $m, l \geq j \Rightarrow x_{k_l} \in I_l \Rightarrow |x_{k_l} - x_{k_m}| < \frac{N}{2^j} \Rightarrow \text{Cauchy}$

$\mathbb{R}$ complete $\Rightarrow \{x_{k_j}\} \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}$
 A closed $\Rightarrow x \in A$ $\square$

Could you see how to do this in $\mathbb{R}^n$? For example, in $\mathbb{R}^2$?

in general (X, d) compactness of subsets is not quite equivalent to closed and bounded:

Thm Let $A \subset X$, (X, d) metric.

If A is compact, then

(1) A is complete (every Cauchy seq in A converges, to an elt of A)
in particular, A contains its limit points and is closed

(2) A is totally bounded : $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ finitely many points

↑
stronger
than bounded
in general;
in $\mathbb{R}^n$ it's
equivalent.

$$\{z_1, \dots, z_{N_\varepsilon}\} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_\varepsilon} B(z_j, \varepsilon)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$B_\varepsilon(z_j)$$



Conversely, if (1) & (2) hold then A is compact.

pf: (1) Let $\{x_k\} \subset A$ Cauchy. A compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ subseq. $\{x_{k_j}\} \rightarrow a \in A$
 $\Rightarrow \{x_k\} \rightarrow a$ $\blacksquare$

(2) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $z_1 \in A$

if $A \not\subset B_\varepsilon(z_1)$ pick $z_2 \in A \cap (B_\varepsilon(z_1))^c$

if $\bigcup_{j=1}^2 B_\varepsilon(z_j) \not\supset A$ pick $z_3 \in A \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^2 B_\varepsilon(z_j)$

induct. If process does not end after a finite # of steps

you've constructed $\{z_k\} \subset A$, $d(z_k, z_\ell) > \varepsilon \forall k, \ell$

such sequences have no convergent subsequences $\nexists$

Hence, at some N we have $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N B_\varepsilon(z_j)$ $\blacksquare$

Converse Let A be complete and totally bounded.

We use the divide and conquer idea from page 1 to prove compact:

Let $\{x_k\} \subset A$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can cover A with finitely many $\frac{1}{n}$ -balls:

$$A \subset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N_n} B(z_\ell^n, \frac{1}{n})$$

for $n=1$ pick $B(z_1^1, 1)$ s.t. $\#(\{x_k\} \cap B) = \infty$
and $\downarrow$ i.e. $\exists \{x_{k_j}\} \subset B_1$

for $n=2$ pick $B_2 = B(z_2^2, \frac{1}{2})$ s.t. $\#(\{x_{k_j}\} \cap B_2) = \infty$
i.e. $\exists \{x_{k_{j_s}}\} \subset B_2$.

induct. Now make a subsequence

of the original $\{x_k\}$ by picking the 1st elts of each subsequence

above, i.e. $\{x_{k_1}, x_{k_{j_1}}, x_{k_{j_s_1}}, \dots\} = \{y_\ell\}$. then $k_\ell \geq m \Rightarrow d(y_{k_\ell}, y_\ell) < \frac{1}{m}$
Cauchy.

$\Rightarrow \{y_\ell\} \rightarrow a \in A$ $\blacksquare$

Important note:
if A is totally bd
the collection of centers
 $\{z_\ell^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \ell=1, \dots, N_n}$
is a countable dense subset
of A !