Math 4200

Monday November 4

- 3.3 Laurent series: classification of isolated singularities and multiplying Laurent series.
- 4.1 Calculating residues at isolated singularities

Announcements:

Homework questions?

3.3.13) already know we can multiply Taylor series & collect terms.

(can do the same for lament series).

find Lament (first few terms) at
$$t_0 = 0$$
 for $\cot t = \frac{\cos t}{\sin t}$

$$= \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

So,

$$\cot t = \frac{C_{-1}}{2} + C_0 + C_1 + C_2 + C_2 + \cdots = \frac{\cos t}{\sin t}$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

(8 wh then)

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

(8 wh then)

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4t} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{2} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{2t}{4} - \cdots$$

$$\cot t = \frac{1 - 2t}{4} + \frac{$$

19d) Find residue of
$$\frac{e^2-1}{2}$$
 @ $e=0$

$$= \underbrace{1+2+\frac{2^2}{2!}+\dots} = 1+\frac{2}{2!}+\frac{2^2}{3!}+\dots$$
ho $\frac{1}{2}$ thum
So residue = 0

Isolated singularities table. (et f be enalytic in D(20,1+) \ 20), some +70.

type of singularity at zo	Laurent series <u>definition</u>	characterization in terms of line f(z)
removable (because f extends to be analyticat 20)	$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ (no negative powers in L.S.)	any of: 1 lim f(z) = L ∈ (L exists 2 - 2 z - 2 ∀ 0< 2-2 ≤ 0 for some 0 <e<r.< th=""></e<r.<>
	(3) => L.S. def. (et g(2) => f(2)(2-20) 2 # 20 0 2=20 g is analytic for 2 # 20 2 cont. at 20, so its actually analytic near 20	$ \begin{cases} 3 & \lim_{z \to z_0} f(z)(z-z_0) = 0. \\ f(z)(z-z_0) = \int_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z-z_0)^n & (b_0=0) \\ = f(z) = \int_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z-z_0)^n & (b_0=0) \end{cases} $
pole (North prle!) of order N	$f(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_h (z-z_0)^h + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{b_m}{(z-z_0)^m}$	(1) lim f(z) = ∞ (the north pole on the Riemann) or
simple pole if N=1 So $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z)$ $= \infty \cdot h(z_0)$	$f(s) = \frac{(s-s)}{1-s} \int_{N}^{\infty} \int_{N=0}^{+\infty} a^{n}(s-s) ds$ with $p^{n} \neq 0$	
def = (1) essential singularity	$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ $h(z_0) = b_0$ $f(z) = b_0$ $h(z_0) = b_0$	
	with {mj} -> =0, bmj 7 0	(In fact, more is true and is called
		$\frac{\text{at most a sing (a point!)}}{\text{e.g. } f(z) = e^{iz} \text{Q } z_0 = 0}$ $f(D(0;e) \setminus \{o\}) = (1 \setminus \{1\})$ $\forall e>0$

pole def also implies
$$(2)$$
:

we had $f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^N} h(z)$, where $h(z_0) = b_0 \neq 0$

from def

$$(z-z_0)^N f(z) = h(z)$$
, while h is analytic

$$(2); h(z) = g(z)$$
.

then $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^N} h(z) = \infty \cdot h(z_0) = \infty$.

to complete this, need (1)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 pole def.

lim $f(z) = \infty$.

let $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ for z man z_0 , analytic near z_0

lim $g(z) = 0$
 $z \to z_0$

So $g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{f(z)} & z \neq z_0 \\ 0 & z = z_0 \end{cases}$ is analytic.

So, $g(z) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$ near z_0
 $g(z) = (z-z_0)^N h(z) h(z_0) = q_N \neq 0$.

So $f(z) = \frac{1}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^N} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$

Pole of order N
 $(1.5) def(1)$.

proof that if f has an essential singularity at z_0 , then for each deleted disk $D(z_0; \rho) \setminus \{z_0\}$ in its domain (with arbitrarily small $\rho > 0$), the closure of the image

$$f(D(z_0; \rho) \setminus \{z_0\})$$

is *all* of $\mathbb{C}!$

We'll show that if the range statement above is not true, then the singularity at z_0 is either removable or a pole, in terms of the Laurent characterizations. Thus the range statement must be true whenever z_0 is an essential singularity. Logic!

So, suppose the range statement is false. In other words, there exists some positive ρ so that the closure of $f(D(z_0; \rho) \setminus \{z_0\})$ does *not* contain some $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. So, since the complement of the closure of the range is open, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$f(D(z_0; \rho) \setminus \{z_0\}) \cap D(w_0; \varepsilon) = \emptyset$$
.

Now, on the domain $D(z_0; \rho) \setminus \{z_0\}$, consider the composition

$$k(z) := \frac{1}{f(z) - w_0}.$$

Show that k has a removable singularity at z_0 and solve for f to show that f has either a pole or removable singularity at z_0 .

$$|k(z)| \text{ in } D(z_0;e) \le z_0$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \ge z_0$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \le |z_0| \le z_0$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \le |z_0| \le |z_0|$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \ge |z_0|$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \le |z_0|$$

$$|f(z)-w_0| \ge |$$

multiplying Laurent series term by term is legal: (you can read details here or in the lext).

We already know that we get the coefficient of $(z-z_0)^n$ in the Taylor series of a product f(z)g(z) of analytic functions, by collecting the finite number of terms in the product of the Taylor series for f and g at z_0 which have that total power. The analogous statement is true for Laurent series, except that you may be collecting infinitely many terms. (You have a homework problem like this for Wednesday, 3.3.6.)

Theorem Let f(z), g(z) have Laurent series in $A := \{z \mid R_1 < |z - z_0| < R_2\}$,

$$\begin{split} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \big(z - z_0\big)^n \, + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \big(z - z_0\big)^{-m} \, := \, \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \big(z - z_0\big)^n \\ g(z) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k \big(z - z_0\big)^k \, . \end{split}$$

Then f(z)g(z) has Laurent series

$$f(z)g(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} d_n (z - z_0)^n$$

where

$$d_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} a_j c_{n-j}.$$

proof: Recall from Friday that we can recover the Laurent coefficients for an analytic function with a contour integral. Specifically, if γ is any p.w. C^1 contour in A, with $I(\gamma, z_0) = 1$, e.g. any circle of radius r, with $R_1 < r < R_2$, then Laurent coefficients for f are given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\left(\zeta - z_0\right)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

$$b_m = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left(\zeta - z_0\right)^{m-1} d\zeta,$$

which, if we rewrite the Laurent series by combining the two sums as above,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

is the formula

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) (\zeta - z_0)^{-n-1} d\zeta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Thus, fixing n, the n^{th} Laurent coefficient d_n for f(z)g(z) is given by

$$d_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta)g(\zeta) (\zeta - z_0)^{-n-1} d\zeta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Consider the truncated Laurent series for f, g,

$$f_N(z) := \sum_{j=-N}^{N} a_j (z - z_0)^j , g_N(z) = \sum_{k=-N+n}^{N+n} c_k (z - z_0)^k$$

which converge uniformly to
$$f,g$$
 on the contour γ as $N\to\infty$, so
$$d_n = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\,\pi\,i} \int_{\gamma} f_N(\zeta) g_N(\zeta) \left(\zeta-z_0\right)^{-n\,-\,1} d\zeta,$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{j,\;k=-N}^N \int_{\gamma} a_j \left(\zeta-z_0\right)^j c_k \left(\zeta-z_0\right)^k \left(\zeta-z_0\right)^{-n\,-\,1} d\zeta$$

by multiplication and term-by-term integration of the finite-sum truncated Laurent series. And picking off the non-zero integrals yields

$$d_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=-N}^{N} a_j c_{n-j}.$$

Example (relates to hw problem 3.3.6):

- a) The function $f(z) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{z}}}{1-z}$ is analytic for 0 < |z| < 1. Find its residue at $z_0 = 0$.
- b) Let γ be the circle of radiius $\frac{1}{2}$ centered at the origin. Find

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \ dz.$$

<u>Computing residues</u> In Chapter 4 we'll see lots of examples where we need to compute residues at isolated singularities z_0 , because they are related to interesting contour integrals or to improper integrals on the real line. In fact section 4.1 is all about residue computation shortcuts in case the situation is complicated. (The residue computations in the homework for this Wednesday are mostly somewhat straightforward.)

In most cases the function of concern is a quotient and the reason for the singularity is that the denominator function has a zero at z_0 . There's a scary-looking table on page 250 of our text - that will be provided on the next midterm - although I could ask you to verify certain entries in addition to using them. Here are two table entries, one easy, one a bit more complicated. We have everything we need to check these table entries, because we know how to multiply Taylor series and Laurent series:

1) Let
$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$$
 where $g(z_0) \neq 0$, $h(z_0) = 0$, $h'(z_0) \neq 0$. Prove that f has a pole of order 1, and

$$Res(f, z_0) = \frac{g(z_0)}{h'(z_0)}$$

2) Let
$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$$
 where $g(z_0) \neq 0$, $h(z_0) = h'(z_0) = 0$, $h''(z_0) \neq 0$. Then f has a pole of order 2 and
$$Res(f, z_0) = \frac{2g'(z_0)}{h''(z_0)} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{g(z_0)h'''(z_0)}{h''(z_0)^2} \qquad !!!$$