

Tuesday Sept. 23

$$L(y) := y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1(x)y' + a_0(x)y, \quad \text{each } a_j(x) \in C(I).$$

$$L: C^n(I) \rightarrow C(I)$$

summary so far!

Theorem 1 L is linearTheorem 2 Let  $f \in C(I)$ ,  $x_0 \in I$ ,  $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\exists!$  sol'n to IVP

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L(y) = f \quad \text{on } I \\ y(x_0) = b_0 \\ y'(x_0) = b_1 \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)}(x_0) = b_{n-1} \end{array} \right.$$

(proof postponed, but we gave plausibility arguments).

Theorem 3 The general sol'n to
 $L(y) = f$  is  $y = y_p + y_h$ , where  $y_p$  is a particular sol'n  
 and  $y_h$  is the general sol'n to the homogeneous  
 (general linear transformation)  $\text{DE } L(y) = 0$ .  
 fact
Theorem 4  $\dim(\ker L) = n$ 

Pf: We used  $\exists!$  theorem (2) to exhibit a basis for  $\ker L$  with  $n$  "vectors".  
 They were the functions  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  where  $y_j$  is the sol'n to

$$L(y_j) = 0$$

$$IV(y_j) = e_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \text{entry } j$$

$$IV(z_{(k)}) := \begin{bmatrix} z(x_0) \\ \vdots \\ z^{(k)}(x_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } IV(c_1y_1 + c_2y_2 + \dots + c_ny_n) \\ &= IV(c_1y_1) + IV(c_2y_2) + \dots + IV(c_ny_n) \\ &= c_1\vec{e}_1 + c_2\vec{e}_2 + \dots + c_n\vec{e}_n = \vec{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

thus we can solve any

IVP at  $x_0$  with linear combos of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ .so every sol'n to  $L(y) = 0$  is a linear compo of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  !!

- so  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  span  $\ker L$ .

- if  $c_1y_1 + \dots + c_ny_n \equiv 0$ , then

$$IV(c_1y_1 + \dots + c_ny_n) = \vec{0}$$

$$\text{so } \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}, \text{ so } \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \text{ are ind}$$

span & ind  $\Rightarrow$  basis

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\ker L) = n$$

Please look this summary sheet

over before class, and if Theorem 4

confuses you please try to formulate a question

about specifically which claim is confusing. This is an amazing theorem actually,

so it's O.K. to feel confused (at first.).

We'll work examples &amp; finish Monday's notes after discussing your questions