Math 2270-004 Week 5 notes

We will not necessarily finish the material from a given day's notes on that day. We may also add or subtract some material as the week progresses, but these notes represent an in-depth outline of what we plan to cover. These notes cover material in 2.1-2.3.

Mon Feb 5

• 2.1-2.2 Matrix algebra and matrix inverses

Warm-up Exercise: (ef
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
compute AB and BA
 $AB = BA = I_{2x_2} = I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $AB = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_3 & 1-1 \\ -6x_6 & 3-2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
we would unite $B = A^{-1}$, because $AB = BA = I$
for functions, if $T_1(z) = A \neq$
 $T_2(q) = B \neq$
 $T_2 \circ T_1(z) = B(A \neq) = (BA) \neq I = I \neq z \neq$
 $Also T_1 \circ T_2(q) = q$.
So the transformation function T_1 has invase transformation T_2

Remember from last week that matrices correspond to linear transformations, and that products of matrices are the matrices of compositions of linear transformations:

2.1 Matrix multiplication

Suppose we take a composition of linear transformations:

$$T_{1} (\mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}, T_{1} (\underline{x}) = A \underline{x}, (A_{m \times n}).$$
$$T_{2} : \mathbb{R}^{m} (\mathbb{R}^{p}, T_{2} (\underline{y}) = B \underline{y}. (B_{p \times m}).$$

• Then the composition $T_2 \circ T_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is linear: (*i*) $(T_2 \circ T_1) (\underline{\boldsymbol{u}} + \underline{\boldsymbol{v}}) := (T_2 (T_1 (\underline{\boldsymbol{u}} + \underline{\boldsymbol{v}})))$

$$(t) \quad (T_2 \quad T_1)(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) \quad (T_2(T_1(\underline{u}) + T_1(\underline{v}))) \quad T_1 \text{ linear}$$

$$= T_2(T_1(\underline{u})) + T_2(T_1(\underline{v})) \quad T_2 \text{ linear}$$

$$:= (T_2 \circ T_1)(\underline{u}) + (T_2 \circ T_1)(\underline{v})$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\vec{u}) & \left(T_2 \circ T_1\right)(c\,\underline{u}) \coloneqq \left(T_2\left(T_1\left(c\,\underline{u}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= T_2\left(c\,T_1\left(\underline{u}\right)\right) & T_1 \text{ linear} \\ &= c\,T_2\left(T_1\left(\underline{u}\right)\right) & T_2 \text{ linear} \\ &\coloneqq c\,\left(T_2 \circ T_1\right)(\,\underline{u}) \end{array}$$

• So $T_2 \circ T_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is a matrix transformation, by the theorem on the previous page.

• Its
$$\underline{j^{th} \text{ column is}}$$

 $T_2 \circ T_1(\underline{e}_j) = T_2(T_1(\underline{e}_j)) = T_2(A(\underline{e}_j)) \circ T_2(Col_j(A)) \circ T_2(Col_j(A)) \circ T_2(Col_j(A))$

• i.e. the matrix of
$$T_2 \circ T_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$$
 is

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \mathbf{a}_1 & B \mathbf{a}_2 & \dots & B \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix} := B A.$$
where $col_j (A) = \mathbf{a}_j$.

2.1 matrix algebra....we already talked about matrix multiplication. It interacts with matrix addition in interesting ways. We can also add and scalar multiply matrices of the same size, just treating them as oddly-shaped vectors:

Matrix algebra:

• <u>addition and scalar multiplication</u>: Let $A_{m \times n}$, $B_{m \times n}$ be two matrices of the same dimensions (*m* rows and *n* columns). Let $entry_{ij}(A) = a_{ij}$, $entry_{ij}(B) = b_{ij}$. (In this case we write $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}]$.) Let *c* be a scalar. Then

$$entry_{ij}(A+B) := a_{ij} + b_{ij}$$
$$entry_{ij}(cA) := c a_{ij}.$$

In other words, addition and scalar multiplication are defined analogously as for vectors. In fact, for these two operations you can just think of matrices as vectors written in a rectangular rather than row or column format.

Exercise 1) Let
$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 27 \\ 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Compute $4A - B$.
 $4A - B = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 27 \\ 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -8 \\ 12 & -4 \\ 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 27 \\ 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -8 \\ 12 & -4 \\ 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 27 \\ 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

vector properties of matrix addition and scalar multiplication

But other properties you're used to do hold:

+ is commutative

$$A + B = B + A$$

$$entry_{ij}(A + B) = a_{ij} + b_{ij} = b_{ij} + a_{ij} = entry_{ij}(B + A)$$
+ is associative

$$(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$$

the *ij* entry of each side is
$$a_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij}$$

• scalar multiplication distributes over + c(A + B) = cA + cB. *ij* entry of LHS is $c(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = c(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = ij$ entry of RHS More interesting are how matrix multiplication and addition interact:

Check some of the following. Let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix, with $I_n \underline{x} = \underline{x}$ for all $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let *A*, *B*, *C* have compatible dimensions so that the indicated expressions make sense. Then

a
$$A(BC) = (AB)C$$
 (associative property of multiplication) $A_{mxn} \begin{pmatrix} B_{nxp} & C_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B_{mxn} & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B & mxp \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{pq} \\ c_{pq} \end{pmatrix}$ $(A & B & mxp)$ $(A & B$

_ 1

$$\underline{d} \quad rAB = (rA) B = A(rB) \quad \text{for any scalar } r.$$

$$r\left(\begin{bmatrix}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22}\end{bmatrix}\right) = r\left[\begin{bmatrix}a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22}\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= r \text{ antry; } (AB)$$

$$\underline{e} \quad \text{If } A_{m \times n} \text{ then } I_m A = A \text{ and } A I_n = A.$$

$$(rA) B = \begin{bmatrix}ra_{11} & ra_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22}\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}b_{11} & b_{12} \\ ra_{21} & ra_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22}\end{bmatrix} = same.$$

^ _

Warning: $AB \neq BA$ in general. In fact, the sizes won't even match up if you don't use square matrices.

The transpose operation:

Algebra of transpose:

$$\underline{\mathbf{a}} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{A}^T\right)^T = \boldsymbol{A}$$

- $\underline{\mathbf{b}} \quad \left(A+B\right)^T = A^T + B^T \quad \checkmark$
- <u>c</u> for every scalar $r (rA)^T = rA^T$

<u>d</u> (The only <u>surprising</u> property, so we should check it.) $(A B)^T = B^T A^T$

$$entry_{ij}(AB)' := entry_{ji}(AB)$$

$$= row_{j}(A) \cdot col_{i}(B)$$

$$same because$$

$$row_{j}(A) has$$

$$some entries a$$

$$some entries a$$

$$row_{i}(B^{T}) = row_{i}(B^{T}) \cdot col_{j}(A^{T})$$

$$col_{i}(B) same entries a$$

$$row_{i}(B^{T}).$$

2.2 matrix inverses.

We know how to solve it by collecting

We've been talking about matrix algebra: addition, scalar multiplication, multiplication, and how these operations combine. But I haven't told you what the algebra on the previous page is good for. Today we'll start to find out. By way of comparison, think of a scalar linear equation with known numbers a, b, c, d and an unknown number x, ax + b = cx + d

terms and doing scalar algebra:

$$ax - cx = d - b$$

 $(a - c)x = d - b$
 $x = \frac{d - b}{a - c}$.

How would you solve such an equation if A, B, C, D were square matrices, and X was a vector (or matrix) ? Well, you could use the matrix algebra properties we've been discussing to get to the * step. And then if X was a vector you could solve the system * with Gaussian elimination. In fact, if X was a matrix, you could solve for each column of X (and do it all at once) with Gaussian elimination.

But you couldn't proceed as with scalars and do the final step after the * because it is not possible to divide by a matrix. Today we'll talk about a potential shortcut for that last step that is an analog of of dividing, in order to solve for X. It involves the concept of *inverse matrices*.

$$A \times + B = C \times + D$$

$$(A \times + B) - C \times = (C \times + D) - C \times$$

$$[(A \times -C \times) + B] / B = [(C \times -C \times) + T] - B$$

$$(A - C) \times = D - B \qquad \text{we could slive by}$$

$$(A - C) \times = D - B \qquad \text{we could slive by}$$

$$aug head be down a vary aug head$$

<u>Matrix inverses</u>: A square matrix $A_{n \times n}$ is <u>invertible</u> if there is a matrix $B_{n \times n}$ so that AB = BA = I. In this case we call *B* the inverse of *A*, and write $B = A^{-1}$.

<u>Remark 1:</u> A matrix A can have at most one inverse, because if we have two candidates B, C with then AB = BA = I and also AC = CA = I

$$(BA)C = IC = C$$

 $B(AC) = BI = B$

so since the associative property (BA)C = B(AC) is true, it must be that B = C.

<u>Remark 2:</u> In terms of linear transformations, if $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the linear transformation $T(\underline{x}) = A \underline{x}$, then saying that *A* has an inverse matrix is the same as saying that *T* has an inverse linear transformation, $T^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with matrix *B* so that $T^{-1} \circ T(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T \circ T^{-1}(\underline{y}) = \underline{y} \quad \forall \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Your final food for thought question from last Friday explains why linear transformations $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ only have a chance at having inverse transforms when n = m.

Exercise 1a) Verify that for
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
 the inverse matrix is $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$. = \mathbb{R}
Hu's was your warmop!
 $Tueday$:
 $A B = BA = I$
Where did the matrix A^{-1}
where from 7 How to find??

Inverse matrices can be useful in solving algebra problems. For example

<u>Theorem</u>: If A^{-1} exists then the only solution to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ is $\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{b}$.

Exercise 1b) Use the theorem and A^{-1} in <u>2a</u>, to write down the solution to the system

$$x + 2y = 5$$

$$3x + 4y = 6$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$any \quad is \quad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & i \\ y_2 & -i_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ \frac{15}{2} - \frac{6}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 2a) Use matrix algebra to verify why the Theorem above is true. Notice that the correct formula is $\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{b}$ and not $\underline{x} = \underline{b}A^{-1}$. (This second product can't even be computed because the dimensions don't match up!) If $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$

Her A⁻¹ (A x) = A⁻¹ δ (A⁻¹ A) x = A⁻¹ δ [A⁻¹ A] x = A⁻¹ δ x = A⁻¹ δ x = A⁻¹ δ also, check ans: A (A⁻¹ E) = (AA⁻¹)E = IE = E

<u>Corollary</u>: If A^{-1} exists, then the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity matrix. proof: For a square matrix, solutions to $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ always exist and are unique only when A reduces to the identity. When A^{-1} exists, the solutions to $A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ exist and are unique, so *rref*(A) must equal the identity. A d r . . . C D 1

know if A¹ exists, the solution
$$A \tilde{x} = b$$

is $\tilde{x} = A^{-1} \tilde{b}$
Solutions are unique
 $A \tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$
 $A^{-1} A \tilde{x} = A^{-1} \tilde{b}$
 $I \tilde{x} = A^{-1} \tilde{b}$
 $\tilde{x} = A^{-1} \tilde{b}$

<u>Exercise 3</u> Assuming A is a square matrix with an inverse A^{-1} , and that the matrices in the equation below have dimensions which make for meaningful equation, solve for X in terms of the other matrices: XA + C = B

$$\Rightarrow XA = B-C$$
careful
$$\Rightarrow (X(A)A^{-1}) = (B-C)A^{-1}$$
which side
$$X = (B-C)A^{-1}$$

$$X = (B-C)A^{-1}$$

But where did that formula for A^{-1} come from?

<u>One Answer:</u> Consider A^{-1} as an unknown matrix, $A^{-1} = X$. We want AX = I.

We can break this matrix equation down by the columns of *X*. In the two by two case we get:

In other words, the two columns of the inverse matrix X should satisfy

$$A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = A (col_1(X)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, A (col_2(X)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can solve for both of these mystery columns at once, as we've done before when we had different right to find col, n A-' 1 to find col2. hand sides:

Exercise 3: Reduce the double augmented matrix

to find the two columns of A^{-1} for the previous example.

$$\int \frac{rref}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-1/2}}$$

$$x_1 = -2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \int \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \int \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} \frac{1}{|1|^{-2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\times A = T \text{ as well, so}$$

$$A^{-1} = X$$

For 2×2 matrices there's also a cool formula for inverse matrices:

Theorem:
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
 exists if and only if the determinant D = ad - bc of $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is non-zero. And in this case,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

(Notice that the diagonal entries have been swapped, and minus signs have been placed in front of the offdiagonal terms. This formula should be memorized.)

Exercise 4) Check that the magic formula reproduces the answer you got in Exercise 3 for $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{4-6} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

<u>Remark</u>) If ad - bc = 0 then rows of A are multiples of each other, so A cannot reduce to the identity, so doesn't have an inverse matrix.

<u>Exercise 4:</u> Will this always work? Can you find A^{-1} for

Exercise 5) Will this always work? Try to find B^{-1} for $B := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Here's what happens when we try to find the three columns of B^{-1} :

	[1	5	5	1	0	0]
$BaugI \coloneqq$	2	5	0	0	1	0	
	2	7	4	0	0	1	

$$rref(BaugI) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -5 & 0 & \frac{7}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{5}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$rref(B) \neq I, B^{-1} does not exist$$
(and suptems for etat
least col. of B^{-1} is inconsistent.
In this, for all 3 columns

Tues Feb 6 • 2.2-2.3 Matrix inverses • ho qui't to morran Announcements: • Review cession for Enidey middlenn is Thursday 12:55-2:15 • fft #1,#2 - Reviewe got 10/10 who handed it n #3,#4 | actually made suggestions solutions posted an CANVAS - check for correctness, middlenn will have a mixture of computational 8 fft - type questions Warm-up Exercise: Compute the reduced row eche lon form of 'til 10:57 [1 2 1 0] $\frac{\text{rref}}{3 4 0 1}$ [10 -2 1] for lake page 2, wed notes

<u>Theorem</u>: Let $A_{n \times n}$ be a square matrix. Then A has an inverse matrix if and only if its reduced row echelon form is the identity. In this case the algorithm illustrated in our examples will yield A^{-1} .

explanation: By the theorem, we discussed on Monday, when A^{-1} exists, the linear systems $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$

always have unique solutions ($\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{b}$). From our previous discussions about reduced row echelon form, we know that for square matrices, solutions to such linear systems exist and are unique if and only if the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity matrix. Thus by logic, whenever A^{-1} exists, A reduces to the identity.

In this case that A does reduce to I, we search for A^{-1} as the solution matrix X to the matrix equation A X = I

i.e.

A	$col_1(X)$	$col_2(X)$		$col_n(X)$	_	1	0		0	
						0	1		0	
						0	0		0	
						0	0		1	
	identity ma		•		olu	ımn	by	colum	n as	3

Because A reduces to the identity matrix, we may solve for X column by column as in the examples we've worked, by using a chain of elementary row operations:

$$[A \mid I] \to \to \to \to \to [I \mid B],$$

and deduce that the columns of X are exactly the columns of B, i.e. X = B. Thus we know that AB = I.

To realize that B A = I as well, we would try to solve B Y = I for Y, and hope Y = A. But we can actually verify this fact by reordering the columns of [I | B] to read [B | I] and then reversing each of the elementary row operations in the first computation, i.e. create the reversed chain of elementary row operations,

$$[B \mid I] \to \to \to \to \to [I \mid A].$$

so BA = I also holds. (This is one of those rare times when matrix multiplication actually is commutive.)

<u>To summarize</u>: If A^{-1} exists, then solutions <u>x</u> to $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ always exist and are unique, so the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity. If the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity, then A^{-1} exists, because we can find it using the algorithm above. That's exactly what the Theorem claims.

Saying the same thing in lots of different ways (important because it ties a lot of our Chapter 1-2 ideas together): Can you explain why these are all equivalent?

The invertible matrix theorem (page 114)

great review of everything

Let *A* be a square $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent. That is, for a given *A*, the statements are either all true or all false.

a) *A* is an invertible matrix.

did this

b) The reduced row echelon form of A is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

c) A has n pivot positions

d) The equation $A \underline{x} = \underline{0}$ has only the trivial solution $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$.

e) The columns of A form a linearly independent set.

f) The linear transformation $T(\underline{x}) := A \underline{x}$ is one-one.

g) The equation $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ has at least one solution for each $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

h) The columns of A span \mathbb{R}^n .

i) The linear transformation $T(\underline{x}) := A \underline{x}$ maps \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^n .

j) There is an $n \times n$ matrix C such that CA = I.

k) There is an $n \times n$ matrix D such that A D = I.

l) A^T is an invertible matrix.

Wed Feb 7

• 2.2-2.3 Matrix inverses: the product of elementary matrices approach to matrix inverses

J

a)
$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, ..., \vec{v}_n\}$$
 are linearly independent means
 $c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + ... + c_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{O} \implies c_1 = c_2 = ... = C_n = \vec{O}$

b)
$$\operatorname{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, -\vec{v}_n\} = \{x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n, \text{ such that each} x_j \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Exercise 1) Show that if A, B, C are invertible matrices, then

$$(A B)^{-1} = B^{-1} A^{-1}.$$

 $(ABC)^{-1} = C^{-1} B^{-1} A^{-1}$

the matrix for T
is given by
$$T(\vec{e})$$
; $T(\vec{e})$; $T(\vec{e})$

<u>Theorem</u> The product of $n \times n$ invertible matrices is invertible, and the inverse of the product is the product of their inverses in reverse order.

Saying the same thing in lots of different ways (important because it ties a lot of our Chapter 1-2 ideas together): Can you explain why these are all equivalent?

The invertible matrix theorem (page 114)

Let *A* be a square $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent. That is, for a given *A*, the statements are either all true or all false.

a) *A* is an invertible matrix.

- b) The reduced row echelon form of A is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
- c) *A* has *n* pivot positions

(

a => b if A⁻¹ exists, then the solution
$$\overline{x}$$
 to
b => a
via om algorithm.
angment A
with I, reduce)
A => b if A⁻¹ exists, then the solution \overline{x} is $\overline{x} = A^{-1}\overline{b}$
so the solution \overline{x} is unique
o since each such eqt has a solution
there's a pirot in each row
n pirots same as rref(A) = I
(or, solutions are unique
so no free variables,
so each column has a pirot
so n pirots

- d) The equation $A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- e) The columns of A form a linearly independent set.
- f) The linear transformation $T(\underline{x}) := A \underline{x}$ is one-one.
- d => e. want to show that (1) $c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_n a_n = 0$ then $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = 0$ $(2) \qquad A \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \vec{O}$ if d is true $\vec{c} = \vec{O}$, so $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_n = 0$ e = d; just read previous paragraph backwards: If e is the then (1) is true, d = f $T(\vec{x})$ one - one means the problem so the only sol in to (2) is $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$. $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{b}$ always has unique solutions. f = dif Ax=5 and is x=y? if sollins to A=5 $A \overrightarrow{x} - A \overrightarrow{y} = \overrightarrow{0}$ $A (\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{q}) = \overrightarrow{0}$ if (a) is true, $\overrightarrow{x} - \overrightarrow{y} = \overrightarrow{0}$ $\overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{y}$ al unique, then the only solution to Ax=0 is = 0 1 connect abc, def a=>d: if A-1 exists the solution to A= 0 4 = A⁻¹ 7 = 0 d => b : no free parameter => rref(A) = I

write

 $A = \left[\vec{a}_{1}, \vec{a}_{2}, \dots \vec{a}_{k} \right]$

- g) The equation $A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ has at least one solution for each $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- h) The columns of *A* span \mathbb{R}^n .
- i) The linear transformation $T(\underline{x}) := A \underline{x}$ maps \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^n .

- j) There is an $n \times n$ matrix C such that CA = I.
- k) There is an $n \times n$ matrix D such that A D = I.
- l) A^T is an invertible matrix.

a)
$$\Rightarrow j,k$$
: if A^{-1} exists, then let $C = A^{-1}$ for j)
(et $D = A^{-1}$ for k)
 $a \Rightarrow l$, recall that $(AB)^{T} = B^{T}A^{T}$
so, if A has an inverse, A^{-1} is the metrix
B for which
 $AB = I$ & $BA = I$
Therefore $(AB)^{T} = I^{T}$ i $(BA)^{T} = I^{T}$
 $B^{T}A^{T} = I$ i $A^{T}B^{T} = I$
 $B^{T}A^{T} = I$ i $A^{T}B^{T} = I$
if $CA = I$
then the only solution \vec{x} to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is $\vec{x} = 0$, since
 $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$
 $\Rightarrow CA\vec{x} = C\vec{0} = \vec{0}$
 $\Rightarrow I\vec{x} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{0}$.
So, $j \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow a$
 $k \Rightarrow a$
if $AD = I$
then $AD\vec{b} = I\vec{b} = \vec{b}$ for any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
so the eqt. $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution $\vec{x} = D\vec{b}$.
So $k \Rightarrow q \Rightarrow a$
 $l \Rightarrow a$ if $(A^{T}T')^{T}$ exists, then apply $a \Rightarrow l$ above
to the matrix A^{T} to see
that $(A^{T}T)^{T} = A$ has an inverse

Wed Feb 7

• 2.2-2.3 Matrix inverses: the product of elementary matrices approach to matrix inverses

J

a)
$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, ..., \vec{v}_n\}$$
 are linearly independent means
 $c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + ... + c_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{O} \implies c_1 = c_2 = ... = C_n = \vec{O}$

b)
$$\operatorname{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, -\vec{v}_n\} = \{x_1 \vec{v}_1 + x_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n \vec{v}_n, \text{ such that each} x_j \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

()
$$T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}$$
 linear transformation
 $T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})$ $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
 $T(c\vec{u}) = cT(\vec{u})$ $\forall \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, c \in \mathbb{R}$
 $\forall we showed that matrix i $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$
 $transformations are (inear i $A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A\vec{x} + A\vec{y}$
 $e we showed that these linear i $A(c\vec{x}) = cA\vec{x}$$$$

Exercise 1) Show that if A, B, C are invertible matrices, then

$$(A B)^{-1} = B^{-1} A^{-1}.$$

 $(ABC)^{-1} = C^{-1} B^{-1} A^{-1}$

the matrix for T
is given by
$$\left[T(\vec{e})^{\frac{1}{2}}, T(\vec{e})\right]$$
. $T(\vec{e})$

<u>Theorem</u> The product of $n \times n$ invertible matrices is invertible, and the inverse of the product is the product of their inverses in reverse order.

Our algorithm for finding the inverse of a matrix can be reformulated in terms of a product of so-called "elementary" matrices. This product idea will pay off elsewhere. To get started, let's notice an analog of the fact that a matrix times a vector is a linear combination of the matrix columns. That was in fact how we defined matrix times vector in week 2, although we usually use the dot product way of computing the product entry by entry, instead:

<u>Definition</u> (from 1.4) If *A* is an $m \times n$ matrix, with columns $\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n$ (in \mathbb{R}^m) and if $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then *A* \underline{x} is defined to be the linear combination of the columns, with weights given by the corresponding entries of \underline{x} . In other words,

$$A \underline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{a}}_1 & \underline{\mathbf{a}}_2 & \dots & \underline{\mathbf{a}}_n \end{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{x}} \coloneqq x_1 \underline{\mathbf{a}}_1 + x_2 \underline{\mathbf{a}}_2 + \dots + x_n \underline{\mathbf{a}}_n.$$

<u>Theorem</u> If we multiply a *row vector* times an $n \times m$ matrix *B* we get a linear combination of the *rows* of *B*: <u>proof</u>: We want to check whether

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}^T B = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{b}}_1 \\ \underline{\mathbf{b}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mathbf{b}}_n \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \underline{\mathbf{b}}_1 + x_2 \underline{\mathbf{b}}_2 + \dots x_n \underline{\mathbf{b}}_n.$$

where the rows of *B* are given by the row vectors $\underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_n$. This proposed identity is true if and only if its transpose is a true identity. But the transpose of the left side is

$$\left(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{T}B\right)^{T} = B^{T}\left(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{T}\right)^{T} = B^{T}\underline{\mathbf{x}}$$
$$= \left[\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{1}^{T}\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{2}^{T}\dots\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{n}^{T}\right]\underline{\mathbf{x}}$$
$$x_{1}\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{1}^{T} + x_{2}\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{2}^{T} + \dots x_{n}\underline{\mathbf{b}}_{n}^{T}$$

which is the transpose of the right side of the proposed identity. So the identity is true.

Q.E.D.

Exercise 2a Use the Theorem on the previous page and work row by row on so-called "elementary matrix" E_1 on the right of the product below, to show that $E_1 A$ is the result of replacing $row_3(A)$ with $row_3(A) - 2 row_1(A)$, and leaving the other rows unchanged:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} =$$

<u>2b</u>) The inverse of E_1 must undo the original elementary row operation, so must replace any $row_3(A)$ with $row_3(A) + 2 row_1(A)$. So it must be true that

$$E_1^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Check!

<u>2c</u>) What 3×3 matrix E_2 can we multiply times A, in order to multiply $row_2(A)$ by 5 and leave the other rows unchanged. What is E_2^{-1} ?

<u>2d</u>) What 3×3 matrix E_3 can we multiply time A, in order to swap $row_1(A)$ with $row_3(A)$? What is E_3^{-1} ?

<u>Definition</u> An *elementary matrix* E is one that is obtained by doing a single elementary row operation on the identity matrix.

<u>Theorem</u> Let $E_{m \times m}$ be an elementary matrix. Let $A_{m \times n}$. Then the product EA is the result of doing the same elementary row operation to A that was used to construct E from the identity matrix.

Algorithm for finding A^{-1} re-interpreted: Suppose a sequence of elementary row operations reduces the $n \times n$ square matrix A to the identity I_n . Let the corresponding elementary matrices, in order, be given by

$$E_1, E_2, \dots E_p.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} E_p \Big(E_{p-1} \dots E_2 \big(E_1(A) \big) \dots \Big) &= I_n \\ E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_2 E_1 A &= I_n \,. \end{split}$$

So,

$$A^{-1} = E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_2 E_1.$$

Notice that

$$E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_2 E_1 = E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_2 E_1 I_n$$

so we have obtained A^{-1} by starting with the identity matrix, and doing the same elementary row operations to it that we did to A, in order to reduce A to the identity. I find this explanation of our original algorithm to be somewhat convoluted, but as I said, the matrix product decomposition idea is going to pay dividends elsewhere.

Also, notice that we have ended up "factoring" A into a product of elementary matrices:

$$A = (A^{-1})^{-1} = (E_p E_{p-1} \dots E_2 E_1)^{-1} = E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} \dots E_{p-1}^{-1} E_p^{-1}.$$