Math 2270-004 Week 12 notes

We will not necessarily finish the material from a given day's notes on that day. We may also add or subtract some material as the week progresses, but these notes represent an in-depth outline of what we plan to cover. These notes cover material in 6.1-6.4

Chapter 6 is about orthogonality and related topics. We'll spend maybe two weeks plus a day in this chapter. The ideas we develop start with the dot product, which we've been using algebraically to compute individual entries in matrix products, but which has important geometric meaning. By the end of the Chapter we will see applications to statistics, discuss generalizations of the dot product, "inner products", which can apply to function vector spaces and which lie at the heart of physics applications that use Fourier series, and more recent applications such as image and audio compression, see e.g. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discrete\_cosine\_transform

Mon Apr 2

• 6.1-6.2 dot product, length, orthogonality, projection onto the span of a single vector.

 $\begin{array}{cccc} H \\ \hline \text{Announcements:} & 6.1 & (1), 3, (5, (7)) (9) (1) (13), 15, (17) (19) (23) \\ \hline \text{6.2} & (13) \\ \hline \text{6.2} & (13) \\ \hline \text{is posted.} \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{mostly short} \\ \text{renew from} \\ \hline \text{C.O.3} \end{array}$ 

Warm-up Exercise: I promise one tomorrow.

Recall, for any two vectors  $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the dot product  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w}$  is the scalar computed by the definition

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i$$

We don't care if <u>v</u>, <u>w</u> are row vectors or column vectors, or one of each, for the dot product.

We've been using the dot product algebraically to compute entries of matrix products A B, since

$$entry_{ij} [AB] = [row_i A] [col_j B] = [row_i A] \cdot [col_j B].$$

The algebra for dot products is a mostly a special case of what we already know for matrices, but worth writing down and double-checking, so we're ready to use it in the rest of Chapters 6 and 7.  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i$ 

Exercise 1 Check why

<u>1a</u>) dot product is commutative:

$$\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w} = \underline{w} \cdot \underline{v}.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i v_i$$

1b) dot product distributes over addition:  $(\underline{u} + \underline{v}) \cdot \underline{w} = \underline{u} \cdot \underline{w} + \underline{v} \cdot \underline{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i \cdot t v_i) w_i$   $\underline{u} \cdot (\underline{v} + \underline{w}) = \underline{u} \cdot \underline{v} + \underline{u} \cdot \underline{w}$   $(similar) = \overline{u} \cdot \overline{v} + \overline{v} \cdot \overline{w}$ 

1d) dot product distributes over linear combinations:

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left(c_1 \,\underline{\mathbf{w}}_1 + c_2 \,\underline{\mathbf{w}}_2 + \dots + c_k \,\underline{\mathbf{w}}_k\right) = c_1 \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}}_1\right) + c_2 \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}}_2\right) + \dots + c_k \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}}_k\right).$$

$$= \overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left(c_1 \,\overline{\mathbf{w}}_1\right) + \overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \left(c_2 \,\overline{\mathbf{w}}_2\right) + \dots + \overline{\mathbf{v}} \left(c_k \,\overline{\mathbf{w}}_k\right) \qquad \text{by lb) repeated}$$

$$= c_1 \,\overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}}_1 + c_2 \,\overline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}}_2 + \dots + c_k \,\overline{\mathbf{v}}_k \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k \qquad \text{by lc)}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}} \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i$$



Chapter 6 is about topics related to the geometry of the dot product. It begins now, with definitions and consequences that generalize what you learned for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in your multivariable Calculus class, to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

2) Geometry of the dot product, stage 1. We'll add examples with pictures as we go throught these definitions.

<u>2a</u>) For  $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define the *length* or *norm* or *magnitude* of  $\underline{v}$  by

$$\|\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2} = (\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice that the length of a scalar multiple of a vector is what you'd expect:

$$\| t \underline{v} \| = (\underline{t} \underline{v} \cdot \underline{t} \underline{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (t^2 \underline{v} \cdot \underline{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} = |t| \| \underline{v} \|.$$

Also notice that  $||\underline{v}|| > 0$  unless  $\underline{v} = \underline{0}$ .

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \| \vec{v} \| = \sqrt{10}$$

$$| -2\vec{v} \| = 2 \| \vec{v} \| = 2\sqrt{16}$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
3

<u>2b</u>) The distance between points (with position vectors)  $\underline{P}$ ,  $\underline{Q}$  is defined to be  $\|\underline{Q} - \underline{P}\|$  (or  $\|\underline{P} - \underline{Q}\|$ ).

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1, 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
dist  $(\vec{P}, \vec{Q}) = \sqrt{13}$   
 $\vec{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $\vec{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

<u>1e</u>)

<u>2c</u>) For  $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define  $\underline{v}$  to be *orthogonal* (or *perpendicular*) to  $\underline{w}$  if and only if  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w} = 0$ . And in this case we write  $\underline{v} \perp \underline{w}$ .

<u>Note</u>: In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and in your multivariable calculus class, this definition was a special case of the identity

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{w}} = \|\underline{\mathbf{v}}\| \|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\| \cos(\theta)$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\underline{v}, \underline{w}$ . (Because  $\cos(\theta) = 0$  when  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .) That identity followed from the law of cosines, although you probably don't recall the details. In this class we'll actually use the identity above to *define* angles between vectors, in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (And in about two weeks, we can use it to define angles between functions, in inner product function spaces.)

<u>2d</u>) The  $\mathbb{R}^n$  reason for defining orthogonality as in <u>2c</u> is that the Pythagorean Theorem holds for the triangle with displacement vectors  $\underline{v}, \underline{w}$  and hypotenuse  $\underline{v} + \underline{w}$  if and only if  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w} = 0$ . Check!

$$\left\| \left( \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\nabla} \right) \right\|^{2} = (\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega}) \cdot (\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega})$$

$$= (\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{\nabla} + (\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{\omega}$$

$$= \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\omega} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\omega}$$

$$= \left\| \vec{\nabla} \right\|^{2} + 2\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\omega} + 1 \| \vec{\omega} \|^{2}$$

$$\left\| \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \right\|^{2} = \left\| (\vec{\nabla} \|^{2} + \| \vec{\omega} \|^{2}$$

$$\quad \| \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \|^{2} = \left\| (\vec{\nabla} \|^{2} + \| \vec{\omega} \|^{2}$$

$$\quad \| \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \|$$

$$\left\| \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \right\|$$

$$\quad \| \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\omega} \|$$

<u>2e</u>) A vector  $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *unit vector* if and only if  $||\underline{u}|| \neq 1$ .

<u>2f</u>) If  $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then the unit vector in the direction of  $\underline{v}$  is given by

$$\underline{u} = \frac{1}{\|\underline{v}\|} \underline{v}. \quad (2a)$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v} \right\| = \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \|\vec{v}\| = 1$$

<u>2g</u>) Projection onto a line. Let  $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-zero vector, let  $L = span \{\underline{v}\}$  be a line through the origin. Then for any  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the projection of  $\underline{x}$  onto L is defined by the formula

 $proj_{L} \mathbf{x} := (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}$ for  $\mathbf{u}$  the unit vector in the direction of  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{y}\|} \mathbf{y}$ . Equivalently  $proj_{L} \mathbf{x} := \frac{(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}} \mathbf{y}.$ 

Then  $proj_L \underline{x}$  is the (position vector of) nearest point on L to (the point with position vector)  $\underline{x}$ . To check why this is true use the diagram below. Show that  $\underline{z} := \underline{x} - (\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})\underline{u}$  is perpendicular to  $\underline{u}$ , so to any vector in  $span{\underline{u}}$ . Then use the Pythagorean theorem to prove the claim.



<u>2h</u>) Refer to the same diagram as in <u>2g</u>, which is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$  picture. Using the Pythagorean triangle with edges  $(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})\underline{u}, \underline{z}, \underline{x}$  we have

$$\| (\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})\underline{u} \|^2 + \|\underline{z}\|^2 = \|\underline{x}\|^2.$$

Define the angle  $\theta$  between  $\underline{v}$  and  $\underline{w}$  the same way we would in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , namely

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})}{\|\underline{x}\|}.$$

Notice that because of the Pythagorean identity above,  $-1 \le \cos(\theta) \le 1$ , with  $\cos(\theta) = 1$  if and only if  $(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})\underline{u} = \underline{x}$  and  $\cos(\theta) = -1$  if and only if  $(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{u})\underline{u} = -\underline{x}$ . So there is a unique  $\theta$  with  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$  for whice the  $\cos \theta$  equation can hold. Substituting  $u = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$  gives the familiar formulas that you learned in multivariable Calculus for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which now holds in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



$$\mathbf{r} = \cos \Theta \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} - \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2} - \hat{\mathbf{x}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{1} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{y}_{2} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \end{pmatrix}$$
3) Summary exercise In  $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ , let  $L = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Find  $proj_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Illustrate. Verify the Pythagorean Theorem for  $proj_{L} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ , " $\mathbf{z}$ " and hypotenuse  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$Pro_{j} \stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} = (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\vee}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times}} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} } \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} } \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim} } \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\times} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim} } \stackrel{\scriptstyle}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\scriptstyle}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$$

Tues Apr 3

• 6.1-6.2 Orthogonal complements to subspaces, and the four fundamental subspace theorem revisited.

Orthogonal complements, and the four subspaces associated with a matrix transformation, revisited more carefully than our first time through.

Let  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subspace of dimension  $1 \le p \le n$ . The *orthogonal complement to* W is the collection of all vectors perpendicular to every vector in W. We write the orthogonal complement to W as  $\underline{W}^{\perp}$ , and say "W perp". Let  $B = \{\underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \dots, \underline{w}_p\}$  be a basis for W. Let  $\underline{v} \in W^{\perp}$ . This means

$$\left(c_1 \mathbf{w}_1 + c_2 \,\underline{\mathbf{w}}_2 + \dots + c_p \,\underline{\mathbf{w}}_p\right) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{v}} = 0$$

for all linear combinations of the spanning vectors. Since the dot product distributes over linear combinations, the identity above expands as

$$c_1(\underline{w}_1 \cdot \underline{v}) + c_2(\underline{w}_2 \cdot \underline{v}) + \dots + c_p(\underline{w}_p \cdot \underline{v}) = 0$$

for all possible weights. This is true if and only if

$$\underline{w}_1 \cdot \underline{v} = \underline{w}_2 \cdot \underline{v} = \dots = \underline{w}_p \cdot \underline{v} = 0.$$

In other words,  $\underline{v} \in Nul A$  where A is the  $m \times n$  matrix having the spanning vectors as rows:

$$A \underline{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{w}_1^T & \\ \underline{w}_2^T & \\ \vdots & \\ \underline{w}_p^T & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}.$$

So

$$W^{\perp} = Nul A.$$

Exercise 1 Find 
$$W^{\perp}$$
 for  $W = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .  

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3\\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \left( \overrightarrow{v} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-R_{2}+R_{1}} 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \\ W^{\perp} = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -5\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$= 2 + 3 = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 3\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -5\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 + 3 = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2\\ -5\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 + 3 = 0$$

Theorem (fill in details).

<u>1a</u>) Let  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subspace with  $\dim W = p$ ,  $1 \le p \le n$ . Then  $\dim (W^{\perp}) = n - p$ , so  $dim(W) + dim(W^{\perp}) = n$ Hint: Use reduced row echelon form ideas

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(at } A = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \vec{w}_{1}^{T} \\ \vec{w}_{2}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{w}_{p}^{T} \end{array} \right] \right\} P \\ \\ \end{array}$$

<u>1b</u>)  $W \cap W^{\perp} = \{$ 

where {w, w, ... wp } basis for W.

$$\underline{1c}) \quad (W^{\perp})^{\perp} = W.$$

Hint: Show  $W \subseteq (W^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Then count dimensions.

$$\vec{u} \in (W^{\perp})^{\perp} \text{ means } \vec{u} \cdot \vec{z} = 0 \quad \forall \vec{z} \in W^{\perp}$$

$$\text{if } \vec{w} \in W, \text{ and } \vec{z} \in W^{\perp} \text{ then } \vec{w} \cdot \vec{z} = 0$$

$$\text{so } \vec{w} \in (W^{\perp})^{\perp} \quad \text{dim } W + \text{dim } W^{\perp} = n$$

$$\implies \text{dim } W^{\perp} + (\text{dim } W^{\perp})^{\perp} = n$$

<u>1d</u>) Let  $B = \{\underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \dots, \underline{w}_p\}$  be a basis for W and  $C = \{\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2, \dots, \underline{z}_{n-p}\}$  be a basis for  $W^{\perp}$ . Then  $\implies$  dim W = dim (W<sup>L</sup>)<sup>L</sup> their union,  $B \cup C$ , is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . but W C (WL)L

Hint: Show  $B \cup C$  is linearly independent.

hext time!

hext time!  
since dim's are =  

$$W = (W^{\perp})^{\perp}$$
  
 $c_1 \overline{w}_1 + c_2 \overline{w}_2 + ... + c_p \overline{w}_p + d_1 \overline{z}_1 + d_2 \overline{z}_2 + ... + d_1 \overline{z}_1 ( + h_{e_1} q - d_{1} \dots' l subspace)$   
 $\Rightarrow c_1 \overline{w}_1 + c_2 \overline{w}_2 + ... + c_p \overline{w}_p = -d_1 \overline{z}_1 - d_2 \overline{z}_2 - ... - d_1 \overline{z}_1 - d_2 \overline{z}_2 -$ 

each 
$$\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
 can be written uniquely as  
 $\vec{x} = \vec{w} + \vec{z}$ ,  $\vec{w} \in W$   
(ike proj prob. in  $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ,  $\vec{z} \in W^{\perp}$ :  $\vec{x} = c_{1}\vec{w}_{1} + \cdots + c_{p}\vec{w}_{p}$   
Remark: From the discussion above, and for any  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  of arbitrary rank  $p$ , we can deduce from  
the discussion above that  $(Row A)^{\perp} = Nul A$ ; so  $(Nul A)^{\perp} = Row A$ ; from our previous work we know  
that  $\dim (Row A) = p$ ,  $\dim (Nul A) = n - p$ . This decomposes the domain of the linear transformation unique  
 $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{R}_{or} A = \{\vec{v} \mid \vec{v} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{or}(A) = 0, \ \vec{v} = 1, 2, \cdots, m\}_{T(\mathbf{x})} := A_{\mathbf{x}}$ .

By the same reasoning applied to the transpose transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the codomain of *T* decomposes into  $Col A = Row A^T$  and  $(Col A)^{\perp} = Nul A^T$ , with dim(Col A) = p and  $dim(Nul A^T) = m - p$ . In other words, we have justified the diagram we really only waved our hands at back in Chapter 4, except for transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ .



w, w, <u>Exercise 2</u>) In <u>Exercise 1</u> with  $W = span \begin{cases} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{cases}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ , we showed  $W^{\perp} = span \begin{cases} 2 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Compute  $(W^{\perp})^{\perp}$  as Nul  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and verify that it recovers W (but with a different basis).  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2_1 \\ 2_2 \\ 2_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$  $(W^{\perp})^{\perp} = \text{span} \begin{cases} 2.5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}, \begin{bmatrix} -.5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 2 - 5 | 0 $1 - 2.5 \cdot 5 0$  $\vec{w}_{2} = \Theta \vec{v}_{1} = -2 \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_{1} \\ \vec{v}_{2} \end{bmatrix}$  $\vec{z} = t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cdot s \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t_3 \begin{bmatrix} - \cdot s \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\vec{w}_1 = (1)\vec{v}_1 + (3)\vec{v}_2$  $\begin{array}{c}
 1 \\
 2_1 = 2.5 t_2 - .5 t_3 \\
 z_2 = t_2 \\
 z_3 = t_3
\end{array}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} -.5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Wed Apr 4

• 6.2-6.3 very good bases revisited: orthogonal and orthonormal bases. Projection onto multidimensional subspaces.

Announcements: 
$$H\omega: 6.2$$
 (7) (9) 11, (3) (7)  
6.3 (1) 3, (9) (1) (3) (9)  
• A bit in Two. notes  $n$  fix a type  
• most  $g$  today's  
• quiz : projection problem.  
'4:1 12:56  
Warm-up Exercise: Show that the following set of vectors  
is orthogonal: i.e. the vectors in the set  
are all  $\perp$  to each other  
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2 - 4 + 2 = 0$   $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$   
 $\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
orthogonal  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$  unit  
length.  $\left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -2\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$   
 $\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2 - 2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2 - 2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3$   
 $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = -2 + 4 = 0$   $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 \cdot$ 

<u>Definition</u>: The set  $\{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called <u>orthonormal</u> if and only if

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i} = 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots p \qquad || \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \cdot || =$$
$$\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_{j} = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

So this is a set of unit vectors that are mutually orthogonal. It turns out that they make very good bases for p-dimensional subspaces W. Bases which are only orthogonal are also good.

Examples you know already. 1) The standard basis  $\{\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2, ..., \underline{e}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , or any subset of the standard basis vectors.  $\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$ 2) Rotated bases in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $\{\underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_1, \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}_2\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$ 

<u>Theorem</u> (why orthonormal sets are very good bases): Let  $B = \{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be orthonormal. Let  $W = span\{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_p\}$ . Then

a) 
$$\{\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}, \dots, \underline{u}_{p}\}$$
 is linearly independent, so a basis for  $W$ .  

$$\{f \qquad c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p} = \vec{O} \\ \implies (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ = c_{1}\vec{u}_{1}\cdot\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{1} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}\cdot\vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p}) \cdot \vec{u}_{1} = \vec{O} \\ (c_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + (u_{p}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{w} = (u_{1}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{1} + (u_{2}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + (u_{p}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{w} = (u_{1}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{1} + (u_{2}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + (u_{p}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{w} \cdot\vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{2} \cdot \vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + c_{p}\vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{1} + c_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\cdot\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{1} + \dots \\ \vec{w} \cdot\vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{2} + \dots + (u_{p}\cdot\vec{w})\vec{u}_{p} \\ \vec{w} \cdot\vec{u}_{1}\vec{u}_{2}\vec{u}_{$$

c) Let  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a unique nearest point to  $\underline{x}$  in W, which we call  $proj_W \underline{x}$ , ("the projection of  $\underline{x}$  onto W.") The formula for this projection is given by  $W = \operatorname{span} \{ \vec{a_1}, \vec{a_2}, \dots, \vec{a_p} \}$ 

$$proj_W \underline{x} = (\underline{u}_1 \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_1 + (\underline{u}_2 \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_2 + \dots + (\underline{u}_p \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_p.$$

(As should be the case, projection onto W leaves elements of W fixed.)

<u>Proof</u>: We will use the Pythagorean Theorem to show that the formula above for  $proj_W \underline{x}$  yields the nearest point in W to  $\underline{x}$ :

•  $\underline{z} = \underline{x} - proj_W \underline{x}$ 

Define

Then for 
$$j = 1, 2, ..., p$$
,  

$$z = \underline{x} - (\underline{u}_{1} \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_{1} - (\underline{u}_{2} \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_{2} - ... - (\underline{u}_{p} \cdot \underline{x})\underline{u}_{p}.$$

$$z \cdot \underline{u}_{j} = \underline{x} \cdot \underline{u}_{j} - \underline{x} \cdot \underline{u}_{j} = 0.$$

$$z \cdot (t_{1} \underline{u}_{1} + t_{2} \underline{u}_{2} + ... t_{p} \underline{u}_{p}) = 0$$
for all choices of the weight vector  $\underline{t}$ .  
Let  $w \in W$ . Then  

$$\|x - \underline{w}\|^{2} = \|(\underline{x} - proj_{H}\underline{x}) + (proj_{H}\underline{x} - \underline{w})\|^{2}.$$
Since  $(\underline{x} - proj_{H}\underline{x}) = \underline{z}$  and since  $(proj_{H}\underline{x} - \underline{w}) \in W$ , we have the Pythagorean Theorem

$$\|\underline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}\|^2 = \|\underline{\mathbf{x}} - proj_{W}\underline{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + \|proj_{W}\underline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}\|^2$$
$$\|\underline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}\|^2 = \|\underline{\mathbf{z}}\|^2 + \|proj_{W}\underline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}\|^2.$$

So  $||\underline{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mathbf{w}}||^2$  is always at least  $||\underline{\mathbf{z}}||^2$ , with equality if and only if  $\underline{\mathbf{w}} = proj_W \underline{\mathbf{x}}$ .

QED

Exercise 1 1a) Check that the set

$$B = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**1b)** For 
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 find the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{x}]_{B}$  and check your answer.  
 $\vec{x} = (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}_{1}) \vec{u}_{1} + (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}_{2}) \vec{u}_{2} + (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}_{3}) u_{3}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

Exercise 2 Consider the plane from Tuesday

esday 
$$V_1$$
  $V_2$   
 $W = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\-2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ 

which is also given implicitly as a nullspace,

0

$$W = Nul \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

<u>2a)</u> Verify that

as a nullspace,  

$$W = Nul \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$B = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $W^{\perp} = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\-s\\l \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ 

is an ortho-normal basis for W

2b) Find 
$$proj_{W}\mathbf{x}$$
 for  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\ -3\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then verify that  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - proj_{W}\mathbf{x}$  is perpendicular to  $W$ .  

$$\begin{bmatrix} r \cdot \mathbf{v}_{0}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{T} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T}) \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T}) \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{x}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 7\\ -3\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{$$

<u>Remark</u>: A basis  $\{\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, ..., \underline{v}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *orthogonal* if the the vectors in the set are mutually perpendicular, but not necessarily normalized to unit length. One can construct an orthonormal basis from that set by normalizing, namely

• 
$$\left\{\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2, \dots, \underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_p\right\} = \left\{\frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_1}{\|\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_1\|}, \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_2}{\|\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_2\|}, \dots, \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_p}{\|\underline{\boldsymbol{\nu}}_p\|}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

One can avoid square roots if one uses the original orthogonal matrix rather than the ortho-normal one. This is the approach the text prefers. For example, for orthogonal bases, the very good basis theorem reads

<u>Theorem</u> (why orthogonal bases are very good bases): Let  $B = \{\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be orthogonal. Let  $W = span\{\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p\}$ . Then

- a)  $\{\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p\}$  is linearly independent, so a basis for *W*.
  - b) For  $\underline{w} \in W$ , •  $\underline{w} = (\underline{u}_1 \cdot \underline{w})\underline{u}_1 + (\underline{u}_2 \cdot \underline{w})\underline{u}_2 + ... + (\underline{u}_p \cdot \underline{w})\underline{u}_p$ •  $\underline{w} = \frac{(\underline{v}_1 \cdot \underline{w})}{\|\underline{v}_1\|^2}\underline{v}_1 + \frac{(\underline{v}_2 \cdot \underline{w})}{\|\underline{v}_2\|^2}\underline{v}_2 + ... + \frac{(\underline{v}_p \cdot \underline{w})}{\|\underline{v}_p\|^2}\underline{v}_p$  (  $vo \int 's$ )

<u>c</u>) Let  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a unique nearest point to  $\underline{x}$  in W, which we call  $proj_W \underline{x}$ , ("the projection of  $\underline{x}$  onto W.") The formula for this projection is given by

• 
$$proj_W \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_1 + (\mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + (\mathbf{u}_p \cdot \mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_p$$
.  
•  $proj_W \mathbf{x} = \frac{(\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|^2}\mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{(\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{v}_2\|^2}\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \frac{(\mathbf{v}_p \cdot \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{v}_p\|^2}\mathbf{v}_p$ .

You can see how that would have played out in the previous exercise.

Name Soluction 9  
Math 2270-004 Quiz Week 12 April 4, 2018  
La) Let 
$$L = span \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . Compute  $proj_L \mathbf{x}$ .  
 $proj_L \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{x}$   
 $proj_L \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{x}$   
 $\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{y}}{||\mathbf{y}||} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $proj_L \mathbf{x} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $proj_L \mathbf{x} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $proj_L \mathbf{x} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $= \frac{1}{10} (-5) \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ .5 \end{bmatrix}$   
The Use the dot product to verify that the vector  $\mathbf{z}$  from  $proj_L \mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x}$  is perpendicular to  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (2 \text{ points})$   
 $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} - \Pr o_L \mathbf{x}$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ -1.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5 \end{bmatrix} = 1.5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot (15 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ 

$$\vec{z} = \vec{x} - pw_{j} \cdot \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 4.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$pw_{j} \cdot \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ -1.5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ -1.5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ -1.5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(0, 5)$$

$$(2 \text{ points})$$

Fri Apr 6 • 6.3-6.4 Gram-Schmidt process for constructing ortho-normal (or orthogonal) bases. The A = Q R matrix factorization.

Start with a basis  $B = \{\underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \dots, \underline{w}_p\}$  for a subspace W of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . How can you convert it into an orthonormal basis? Here's how! The inductive process is called Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization.

Let 
$$W_1 = span\{\underline{w}_1\}$$
. Define  $\underline{u}_1 = \frac{\underline{w}_1}{\|\underline{w}_1\|}$ . Then  $\{\underline{u}_1\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $W_1$ .



Let  $W_2 = span \{ \underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2 \}$ . =  $span \{ \overline{u}_1, \overline{w}_2 \}$ Let  $\underline{z}_2 = \underline{w}_2 - proj_{W_1} \underline{w}_2$ , so  $\underline{z}_2 \perp \underline{u}_1$ .  $\overline{z}_2 = \overline{w}_2 - (\overline{w}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1) \overline{u}_1$   $\overline{z}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 = \overline{w}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 - \overline{\omega}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 = \overline{w}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 - \overline{\omega}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 = \overline{w}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 - \overline{\omega}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_1 = \overline{w}_2 \cdot \overline{u}_$ 



Let 
$$W_3 = span \{ \underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \underline{w}_3 \}$$
.  $= span \{ \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_3 \}$   
Let  $\underline{z}_3 = \underline{w}_3 - proj_{W_2} \underline{w}_3$ , so  $\underline{z}_3 \perp W_2$ .  $\overline{z}_3 = \overline{w}_3 - (\overline{w}_3 \cdot \overline{u}_1) \overline{u}_1 - (\overline{w}_3 \cdot \overline{u}_2) \overline{u}_2$   $\overline{z}_3 \cdot \overline{u}_2 = O$   
Define  $\underline{u}_3 = \frac{\underline{z}_3}{\|\underline{z}_3\|}$ . Then  $\{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \underline{u}_3\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $W_3$ .



Inductively,

Let 
$$W_j = span \{ \underline{w}_1, \underline{w}_2, \dots, \underline{w}_j \} = span \{ \underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_{j-1}, \underline{w}_j \}$$
.  
Let  $\underline{z}_j = \underline{w}_j - proj_{W_{j-1}}, \underline{w}_j = \underline{w}_j - (\underline{w}_j \cdot \underline{u}_1)\underline{u}_1 - (\underline{w}_j \cdot \underline{u}_2)\underline{u}_2 - \dots (\underline{w}_j \cdot \underline{u}_{j-1})\underline{u}_{j-1} + \overline{z}_j \cdot \overline{u}_j = 0$   
Define  $\underline{u}_j = \frac{z_j}{\|\overline{z}_j\|}$ . Then  $\{\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_j\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $W_j$ .

Continue up to j = p.

Exercise 1 Perform Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization on the basis

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$
Sketch what you're doing, as you do it.  

$$\vec{w}_{1} = \frac{\vec{w}_{1}}{\|\vec{w}_{1}\|_{1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{z}_{2} = \vec{w}_{2} - pro_{1}^{*} \vec{w}_{2}$$

$$\vec{z}_{2} = \vec{w}_{2} - (\vec{w}_{2} \cdot \vec{w}_{1})\vec{w}_{1}$$

$$\vec{z}_{2} = \vec{w}_{2} - (\vec{w}_{2} \cdot \vec{w}_{1})\vec{w}_{1}$$

$$\vec{(1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{$$

Exercise 2 Perform Gram-Schmidt on the bas

dt on the basis  

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$
until the third step, i.e.  

$$E \times e \times i \times 1$$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

This will proceed as in Exercise 1 until the third step, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{z}_{3}^{2} &= \widetilde{\omega}_{3}^{2} - \operatorname{pro}_{0}^{2} \widetilde{W}_{2}^{\widetilde{\omega}} z \\ \widetilde{z}_{3}^{2} &= \widetilde{\omega}_{3}^{2} - \left(\widetilde{\omega}_{3}^{2} \cdot \widetilde{u}_{1}^{2}\right) \widetilde{u}_{1}^{2} - \left(\widetilde{\omega}_{3}^{2} \cdot \widetilde{u}_{2}^{2}\right) \widetilde{u}_{2}^{2} \\ \widetilde{z}_{3}^{2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} - \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{1}{\psi_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -3 \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \frac{1}{\psi_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{3}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \\ \widetilde{\omega}_{3}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We may have time to get to the matrix factorization A = Q R that follows from the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. But I didn't have time to make typed notes, so here are some old hand-written ones. I was using  $\underline{v}$ 's for the basis vectors instead of  $\underline{w}$ 's. We'll cover this carefully on Monday, probably with fresh notes.