

Math 2270-1
Friday Sept 23

HW for Fri 9/30

3.4 1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 31, 33
43, 53, 56

13

- We will go over the review sheet & practice exam on Monday.
(Solutions to practice exam are posted on our home page!)
- Finish Wednesday notes ~ pages 11 & 12

On page 5 (Tuesday) we showed that any finite set of vectors spanning a subspace W can be successively culled of dependent vectors, and ultimately replaced with a linearly independent set having the same span, i.e. W .

An analogous fact is

Theorem: If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \subset W$ is a linearly independent collection of vectors, and $\dim(W) = n > k$, then there is a basis for W consisting of $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$

proof: Since $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ don't span W ($k < n$),
pick any vector not in $\text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$, call it \vec{w}_{k+1} .

Then $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{w}_{k+1}\}$ is still linearly independent!

Because, if

$$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k + c_{k+1} \vec{w}_{k+1} = \vec{0}$$

$\swarrow c_{k+1} = 0$
 $\Rightarrow c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$

$\Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_k = 0$

by l.i. of original set

$\swarrow c_{k+1} \neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow \vec{w}_{k+1} = -\frac{c_1}{c_{k+1}} \vec{v}_1 - \frac{c_2}{c_{k+1}} \vec{v}_2 - \dots - \frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}} \vec{v}_k$

~~Contradiction!~~

so this case didn't happen.

Continue this process successively, won't get a spanning set before finding $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{w}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$

WHY?

and at this point the collection must span, since otherwise we could append \vec{w}_{k+1} , creating $(k+1)$ independent vectors in an n -dim'l space!

Note on "proof by contradiction"

$$p \Rightarrow q$$

is logically equivalent to

"statement p implies statement q"

or "if p is true then q is true"

$$\text{not } q \Rightarrow \text{not } p.$$

example: If your last name starts with the letter Z, then you ~~will~~ get an A on exam 1

is logically equivalent to

If you didn't get an A on exam 1, your last name didn't start with z!

Why? The assumption is that each of p or q can (only) be either true or false.

Make a truth table of all 4 possibilities about the truth of (p, q):

	q	
(p, q)		
p	(T, T)	(T, F)
	(F, T)	(F, F)

$p \Rightarrow q$ means the possibility (T, F) cannot occur, but the other three can!

$\text{not } q \Rightarrow \text{not } p$ eliminates exactly the same (T, F), although now you're focusing on the 2nd column of the table rather than the 1st row!

Theorem: If $\dim(W) = k$, then

(a) any k independent vectors in W automatically span! So are a basis

Why? use pages 13, 11

(b) any k vectors which span W are automatically independent! So are a basis

Why? use pages 13, 11

examples: Are $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ a basis for the plane $x + 2y + 3z = 0$?

Find a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 including $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$