

HW 100
Fri
9/23

3.1 (3) 5 (6) (13) (11) (1) (3) (7) (15) (17) (19) (21) (23) (25) (27) (29) (31) (33) (35) (37) (39) (41) (43) (45) (47) (49) (51) (53) (55) (57) (59) (61) (63) (65) (67) (69) (71) (73) (75) (77) (79) (81) (83) (85) (87) (89) (91) (93) (95) (97) (99)
3.2 (1) 4 (2) 7 (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) (21) (22) (23) (24) (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30) (31) (32) (33) (34) (35) (36) (37) (38) (39) (40) (41) (42) (43) (44) (45) (46) (47) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (54) (55) (56) (57) (58) (59) (60) (61) (62) (63) (64) (65) (66) (67) (68) (69) (70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77) (78) (79) (80) (81) (82) (83) (84) (85) (86) (87) (88) (89) (90) (91) (92) (93) (94) (95) (96) (97) (98) (99)
3.3 (6) 7 (18, 21, 25, 29, 32, 35, 37)
technology!
1

Math 2270-1
Friday Sept 16
§3.1

$f: X \rightarrow Y$

X is called the domain
Y is called the co-domain

to avoid confusion about the meaning of "range"

$\{f(x) \in Y : x \in X\}$ is called image(f)

example $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $f(x) = e^x$

domain = \mathbb{R}
codomain = \mathbb{R}
image(f) = $\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid y > 0\}$.

We want to study image(f) for $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, f linear

We also want to study the kernel of f (ker(f))

$\ker(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = \vec{0}\}$
"vectors which get squashed"

$f(x) = Ax$
(1) $f(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = f(\vec{u}) + f(\vec{v})$
(2) $f(k\vec{u}) = kf(\vec{u})$
 $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 $k \in \mathbb{R}$

examples in \mathbb{R}^2

	image	kernel
rotations		
reflections		
projections		

example $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(x) = Ax$ $A_{n \times n}$ A^{-1} exists iff

- (1)
- (2)
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)

example $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$

$$f \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

ker(f) is easy

image(f)
a little harder

or
cheater!

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & y_1 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & y_2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & y_3 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & y_1 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & y_2 \\ 0 & 2 & -4 & y_3 - y_1 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 3 & y_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & y_2/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 - y_1 - y_2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Image}(f) = \left\{ x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} : x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \text{all linear combos of } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= t \\ x_2 &= 2t \\ x_1 &= -t \end{aligned}$$

$$\ker f = \left\{ t \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

line thru origin.

this will be solvable iff $-y_1 - y_2 + y_3 = 0$

i.e. iff \vec{y} lies on the plane thru origin

$$\left\{ \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y_1 + y_2 - y_3 = 0 \right\}$$

What can I do to a collection of vectors to clean them up and figure out their span?

- ① interchange 2 vectors
- ② multiply a vector by non-zero const
- ③ replace a vector by its sum with a scalar multiple of another vector

expressing $f(\vec{x})$ in the linear combo of cols way, and looking at $\ker(f)$, we see

$$-1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

the $\ker(f)$ tells me about possible redundancy in my "span" expression for $\text{image}(f)$

So $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. So $\text{span} \{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3 \} = \text{span} \{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \}$ is a plane!

$\vec{v}_3 = \vec{v}_2 - 2\vec{v}_1$

What kind of subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m can $\ker f$ and $\text{image}(f)$ be when f is linear?

③

Theorem $\ker(f)$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication

proof: We need to show that if $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \ker f$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$, then

(1) $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in \ker f$

(2) $k\vec{u} \in \ker f$

Theorem $\text{image}(f)$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

proof: We need to show that if $\vec{w}, \vec{z} \in \text{image}(f)$ then

(1) $\vec{w} + \vec{z} \in \text{image}(f)$ & $k \in \mathbb{R}$

(2) $k\vec{w} \in \text{image}(f)$

So, what subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are closed under addition and scalar multiplication?
e.g. in $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3$?

possible subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 closed under addition and scalar multiplication
Let S be such a subset (called a subspace)

Let $\vec{u} \in S$

then $0\vec{u} = \vec{0}$ is a scalar mult, so

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \in S$$

↑
one possibility
[closed under + & s.m.]

If this is not all of S , then let $\vec{u} \neq \vec{0}, \vec{u} \in S$.

$$\Rightarrow \{t\vec{u}\} \subset S$$

↑
another possibility:
line thru origin.

If $L = \{t\vec{u} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not all of S , let $\vec{v} \in S, \vec{v} \notin L$

$$\Rightarrow \{s\vec{u} + t\vec{v} : s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset S$$

↑
plane thru origin.
[could get normal vector by $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ or
rref $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{u} & \vec{v} & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$]

If the plane above is not all of S ,
let $\vec{w} \in S, \vec{w} \notin \text{plane}$.

$$\text{then } \{s\vec{u} + t\vec{v} + r\vec{w} : s, t, r \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}^3 !$$

proof: this is "clear" geometrically but requires an algebraic proof.
The explanation is quite clever, with ideas we shall use over & over:

• Notice $s\vec{u} + t\vec{v} + r\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u} & \vec{v} & \vec{w} \\ | & | & | \\ \hline r & s & t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix}$

thus $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{u} & \vec{v} & \vec{w} & | & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has only the zero sol

↓ rref

must be $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(else ∞ many sols!)

But this also means $\begin{bmatrix} \vec{u} & \vec{v} & \vec{w} \\ | & | & | \\ \hline x_1 \\ y_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix}$ always has a (unique) sol, so our set is \mathbb{R}^3 !!

can never equal to zero vector, unless $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$:
if $r \neq 0$, $s\vec{u} + t\vec{v} + r\vec{w} = \vec{0}$
 $\Rightarrow \vec{w} = -\frac{s}{r}\vec{u} - \frac{t}{r}\vec{v}$, which it isn't!
So $r=0$. But then $s\vec{u} + t\vec{v} = \vec{0}$
and $t \neq 0 \Rightarrow \vec{v} = -\frac{s}{t}\vec{u}$ which it isn't!
So $t=0$. Then $s\vec{u} = \vec{0}$
So $s=0$ ■