

Tuesday 18 Oct.

- Height-weight data by tomorrow!
 - Discuss the $A = QR$ decomposition of a matrix that we get by Gram-Schmidtting the columns of A . (pages 3-4 Monday).
- §5.3 : The "Q" matrices from a different point of view:

Def: A linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called orthogonal

(we will see that ortho-normal would be a better name, but oh well...)

iff it preserves lengths of line segments, i.e. iff

$$\|T(\vec{x})\| = \|\vec{x}\| \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

↑
sometimes these transformations are called linear isometries, a

Can we characterize orthogonal transformations $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ in terms of the matrix A ? better name.

Lemma If $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is orthogonal, then T also preserves the dot product, i.e. $T\vec{x} \cdot T\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}$, and hence also the angle, i.e. $\angle \vec{x}, \vec{y} = \angle T\vec{x}, T\vec{y} \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}$.

[you actually expect this since if triangles get mapped to congruent triangles ("SSS"), then also angles between sides will be preserved]

proof if $\|T\vec{x}\|^2 = \|\vec{x}\|^2 \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

then $\|T(\vec{u} + \vec{v})\|^2 = \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|^2 \quad \forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(T\vec{u} + T\vec{v}) \cdot (T\vec{u} + T\vec{v}) = (\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \cdot (\vec{u} + \vec{v})$$

~~$$\|T\vec{u}\|^2 + \|T\vec{v}\|^2 + 2T\vec{u} \cdot T\vec{v} = \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vec{v}\|^2 + 2\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$$~~

$$T\vec{u} \cdot T\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} \quad \forall \vec{u}, \vec{v}$$

$$\& \frac{T\vec{u} \cdot T\vec{v}}{\|T\vec{u}\| \|T\vec{v}\|} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \quad \blacksquare$$

So what orthogonal transformations are there?

Let $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ be orthogonal.

$$A = \left[T(\vec{e}_1) \mid T(\vec{e}_2) \mid \dots \mid T(\vec{e}_n) \right]$$

so the columns of A must be unit length (because the \vec{e}_i are & length is preserved) & mutually orthogonal (because $\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j = 0 \iff i \neq j$)

→ the columns of A must be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n !

e.g. $A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$

rotation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & -\cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

reflection (thru line with $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha/2 \\ \sin \alpha/2 \end{bmatrix}$)

only ones from $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 + b^2 &= 1 \\ c^2 + d^2 &= 1 \\ ac + bd &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



We just showed $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ orthog trans \implies cols of A are orthonormal (basis of \mathbb{R}^n)

Converse is true too:

let $A = \left[\vec{w}_1 \mid \vec{w}_2 \mid \dots \mid \vec{w}_n \right]$

$\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$ orthonormal.

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{y} &= (x_1\vec{w}_1 + x_2\vec{w}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{w}_n) \cdot (y_1\vec{w}_1 + y_2\vec{w}_2 + \dots + y_n\vec{w}_n) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \vec{w}_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j \vec{w}_j \right) \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i y_j (\vec{w}_i \cdot \vec{w}_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} &= 0 \quad j \neq i \\ &= 1 \quad j = i \end{aligned} \right. \\ &= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \end{aligned}$$

so $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ preserves dot prod, hence also lengths, so T is orthogonal!

Theorem $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is an orthogonal transformation if and only if the columns of A are orthonormal. We call such an A an orthogonal matrix.

3

Example $A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is an orthogonal matrix.

Now I will make a matrix B in which I transpose the columns of A into the rows of B :

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute

$$BA = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

What do you get?

Why???

Def Let $A_{m \times n}$ be a matrix. The transpose matrix A^T is the $n \times m$ matrix defined by

$$\text{entry}_{ij}(A^T) := \text{entry}_{ji}(A)$$

You may obtain the transpose by

- (a) transposing the columns of A into the rows of A^T
- (b) " " " " rows of A " " " columns of A^T
- (c) "reflecting across the diagonal", to get A^T from A

e.g. $\text{col}_j(A) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mj} \end{bmatrix}$ $\text{row}_j(A^T) = [a_{j1}^T, a_{j2}^T, \dots, a_{jm}^T]$
 $= [a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{mj}]$

example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$

Theorem A is orthogonal iff $A^T = A^{-1}$
proof:

Corollary: The rows of an orthogonal matrix are also orthonormal!
proof: $A^T A = I!$

e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{14} & 0 & 13/\sqrt{182} \\ 2/\sqrt{14} & -3/\sqrt{13} & -2/\sqrt{182} \\ 3/\sqrt{14} & 2/\sqrt{13} & -3/\sqrt{182} \end{bmatrix} !$