Wed Jan 31

2.5-2.6 Improved Euler and Runge Kutta.

Announcements: Bring laptop with access to Matlab tomorrow, to lab,
if you have if. Access to a calculator or Wolfran alpha
will be needed
a quit at end of class
Idandant with example run in Matlab - bring to lab tomorrow
(these files are on CANVAS) (and we'll demo it today)
Warm-up Exercise: Solve for velocity v(t)

$$v' = 6 - 3v$$

 $v(o) = 4$
is your answer consistent with the phase diagram?
 $v' + 3v = 6$
 $v' + 3v = 6$
 $v' + 3v = 6e^{3t}$
 $v' = (-1(-)=4)$
 $\int_{att}^{at} (e^{3t}v) = 6e^{3t}$ At
 $e^{3t}v = 2e^{3t} + C$
 $v(o) = 4 = 2 + C = 3C$
 $v(o) = 4 = 2 + C = 3C$
 $v(v(t) = 2 + 2e^{3t})$
 $lim v(t) = 2$
 $v(t) = 2$

2.5-2.6 Improved Euler and Runge Kutta

In more complicated differential equations it is a very serious issue to find relatively efficient ways of approximating solutions. An entire field of mathematics, "numerical analysis" deals with such issues for a variety of mathematical problems. Our text explores improvements to <u>Euler</u> in sections 2.5 and 2.6, in particular it discusses <u>improved Euler</u>, and <u>Runge Kutta</u>. Runge Kutta-type codes are actually used in commerical numerical packages, e.g. in Wofram, Matlab, Maple etc.

Let's summarize some highlights from 2.5-2.6.

Suppose we already knew the solution y(x) to the initial value problem

$$y'(x) = f(x, y)$$

 $y(x_0) = y_0.$

If we integrate the DE from x to x + h and apply the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we get

$$\int_{x} y'(t) dt = y(x+h) - y(x) = \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t, y(t)) dt, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

One problem with Euler is that we approximate this integral above by $h \cdot f(x, y(x))$, i.e. we use the value at the left-hand endpoint as our approximation of the integrand, on the entire interval from x to x + h. This causes errors that are larger than they need to be, and these errors accumulate as we move from subinterval to subinterval and as our approximate solution diverges from the actual solution. The improvements to Euler depend on better approximations to the integral. These are subtle, because we don't yet have an approximation for y(t) when t is greater than x, so also not for the integrand f(t, y(t)) on the interval [x, x + h].

Improved Euler uses an approximation to the Trapezoid Rule to compute the integral in the formula

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t, y(t)) dt$$

Recall, the trapezoid rule to approximate the integral

$$\int_{x}^{x+h} f(t, y(t)) dt$$

would be

$$\frac{1}{2}h \cdot (f(x, y(x)) + f(x + h, y(x + h))).$$



Since we don't know y(x + h) we approximate its value with unimproved Euler, and substitute into the formula above. This leads to the improved Euler "pseudocode" for how to increment approximate solutions.

Improved Euler pseudocode:

 $k_{1} = f\begin{pmatrix}x, y_{j} \\ j \end{pmatrix}$ #current slope $k_{2} = f\begin{pmatrix}x_{j} + h, y_{j} + h k_{1} \end{pmatrix}$ #use unimproved Euler guess for $y\begin{pmatrix}x_{j} + h \end{pmatrix}$ to estimate slope function when $x = x_{j} + h$ $k = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}k_{1} + k_{2} \end{pmatrix}$ #average of two slopes $x_{j+1} = x_{j} + h$ #increment x $y_{j+1} = y_{j} + h k$ #increment y

Exercise 1 Contrast Euler and Improved Euler for our IVP y'(x) = y actual sol'n $y = e^{x}$ y(0) = 1

to estimate $y(1) \approx$ e with one step of size h = 1. Your computations should mirror the picture below. Note that with improved Euler we actually get a better estimate for e with ONE step of size 1 than we did with 5 steps of size h = 0.2 using unimproved Euler on Tuesday. (It's still not a very good estimate.)

$$x_{0} = 0, y_{0} = 1$$

$$k_{1} = f(0,1) = 1$$

$$k_{2} = f(0+1, 1+1\cdot1) = f(1,2) = 2$$

$$k = \frac{1}{2}(k_{1}+k_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(1+2) = 1.5$$

$$x_{1} = 0+1 = 1$$

$$y_{1} = 1 + 1\cdot(1.5) = 2.5$$

$$e = 2.718...$$
bethen then just Eulen
with 5 steps yesteday.



In the same vein as ``improved Euler" we can use the Simpson approximation for the integral for Δy instead of the Trapezoid rule, and this leads to the Runge-Kutta method. You may or may not have talked about Simpson's Parabolic Rule for approximating definite integrals in Calculus. It is based on a parabolic approximation to the integrand, whereas the Trapezoid rule is based on an approximationg of the graph with trapezoids, on small subintervals.

Simpson's Rule:

Consider two numbers $x_0 < x_1$, with interval width $h = x_1 - x_0$ and interval midpoint $\underline{x} = \frac{1}{2} (x_0 + x_1)$. If you fit a parabola p(x) to the three points

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0, g(x_0) \end{pmatrix}, (\underline{x}, g(\underline{x})), (x_1, g(x_1)) \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} p(t) dt = \frac{h}{6} \left(g(x_0) + 4 \cdot g(\underline{x}) + g(x_1) \right).$$

(You will check this fact in your homework this week!) This formula is the basis for Simpson's rule, which you can also review in your Calculus text or at Wikipedia. (Wikipedia also has a good entry on Runge-Kutta.) Applying the Simpson's rule approximation for our DE, and if we already knew the solution function y(x), we would have

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + \int_{x}^{x+h} f(t, y(t)) dt$$

$$\approx y(x) + \frac{h}{6} \cdot \left(f(x, y(x)) + 4f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}, y\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\right)\right) + f(x+h, y(x+h)) \right).$$

However, we have the same issue as in Trapezoid - that we've only approximated up to y(x) so far. Here's the magic pseudo-code for how Runge-Kutta takes care of this. (See also section 2.6 to the text.)

Runge-Kutta pseudocode:

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1 = f\left(x_j, y_j\right) & \text{ # left endpoint slope} \\ &k_2 = f\left(x_j + \frac{h}{2}, y_j + \frac{h}{2}, k_1\right) \text{ # first midpoint slope estimate, using } k_1 \text{ to increment } y_j \\ &k_3 = f\left(x_j + \frac{h}{2}, y_j + \frac{h}{2}, k_2\right) \text{ # second midpoint slope estimate using } k_2 \text{ to increment } y_j \\ &k_4 = f\left(x_j + h, y_j + h, k_3\right) & \text{ # right hand slope estimate, using } k_3 \text{ to increment } y_j \\ &k_4 = \frac{1}{6}\left(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4\right) \text{ #weighted average of all four slope estimates, consistent with Simpson's rule} \\ &x_{j+1} = x_j + h & \text{ # increment } x \\ &y_{j+1} = y_j + h \text{ } k & \text{ # increment } y \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2 Contrast Euler and Improved Euler to Runge-Kutta for our IVP

$$y'(x) = y = \int (x, y)^{2}$$

 $y(0) = 1$

to estimate $y(1) \approx$ e with one step of size h = 1. Your computations should mirror the picture below. Note that with Runge Kutta you actually get a better estimate for e with ONE step of size h = 1 than you did with 100 steps of size h = 0.01 using unimproved Euler!

Runge-Kutta pseudocode:

 $k_{1} = f(x_{j}, y_{j})$ # left endpoint slope $k_{2} = f\left(x_{j} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{j} + \frac{h}{2}, k_{1}\right)$ # first midpoint slope estimate, using k_{1} to increment y_{j} $k_{3} = f\left(x_{j} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{j} + \frac{h}{2}, k_{2}\right)$ # second midpoint slope estimate using k_{2} to increment y_{j} $k_{4} = f\left(x_{j} + h, y_{j} + h, k_{3}\right)$ # right hand slope estimate, using k_{3} to increment y_{j} $k_{4} = \frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1} + 2k_{2} + 2k_{3} + k_{4}\right)$ #weighted average of all four slope estimates, consistent with Simpson's rule $x_{j+1} = x_{j} + h$ # increment x $y_{j+1} = y_{j} + h k$ # increment y

$$x_{0} = 0, \quad y_{0} = 1$$

$$k_{1} = f(0,1) = 1$$

$$k_{2} = f(0,1) = 1$$

$$k_{2} = f(0,1) = 1$$

$$k_{3} = f(0,1) = 1$$

$$k_{3} = f(0,1) = 1 + (0,1) = f(0,1,1) = 1.75$$

$$k_{4} = f(1,1+1(1,1)) = f(1,2.75) = 2.75$$

$$k = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + 2(1.5) + 2(1.75) + 1(2.75)\right)$$

$$= \frac{10.25}{6} = 1.7083.$$

$$x_{1} = 1$$

$$y_{1} = 1 + 1(1.7083)$$

$$= 2.7083$$

$$e = 2.71828...$$

$$h = 16 + 16 + 168 + 616$$



Numerical experiments

Estimate e by estimating y(1) for the solution to the IVP

$$y'(x) = y$$

 $y(0) = 1.$

Apply Runge-Kutta with n = 10, 20, 40... subintervals, successively doubling the number of subintervals until you obtain the target number below - rounded to 9 decimal digits - twice in succession. > evalf (e);

- evu

2.718281828459045

It worked with n = 40:

<u>Initialize</u>

restart : # clear any memory from earlier work
Digits := 15 : # we need lots of digits for "famous numbers"
unassign('x', 'y') : # in case you used the letters elsewhere, and came back to this piece of code
f := (x, y) → y : # slope field function for our DE i.e. for y'(x)=f(x,y)
x[0] := 0 : y[0] := 1 : #initial point h := 0.025 : n := 40 : #step size and number of steps - first attempt for "famous number e"

Runge Kutta loop. WARNING: ONLY RUN THIS CODE AFTER INITIALIZING

> for *i* **from** 0 **to** *n* **do** #this is an iteration loop, with index "i" running from 0 to n if $\int \operatorname{frac} \left(\frac{\iota}{10} \right)$ $\left(\frac{i}{10}\right) = 0$ then print(i, x[i], y[i]); #print iteration step, current x,y, values, and exact solution value end if[.] $kl \coloneqq f(x[i], y[i]);$ #current slope function value $k2 := f\left(x[i] + \frac{h}{2}, y[i] + \frac{h}{2} \cdot kI\right);$ # first estimate for slope at right endpoint of midpoint of subinterval $k3 := f\left(x[i] + \frac{h}{2}, y[i] + \frac{h}{2} \cdot k2\right);$ #second estimate for midpoint slope $k4 := f(x[i] + h, y[i] + h \cdot k3); \text{ #estimate for right-hand slope}$ $k := \frac{(kl + 2 \cdot k2 + 2 \cdot k3 + k4)}{6}; \text{ # Runge Kutta estimate for rate of change of } y$ $x[i+1] \coloneqq x[i] + h;$ $y[i+1] := y[i] + h \cdot k;$ end do: #how to end a for loop in Maple 0, 0, 1 10, 0.250, 1.28402541566434 20, 0.500, 1.64872126807197 30, 0.750, 2.11700001155073 40, 1.000, 2.71828181979283 (11)

(10)

For other problems you may wish to use or modify the following Euler and improved Euler loops.

Euler loop: WARNING: ONLY RUN THIS CODE AFTER INITIALIZING
for *i* from 0 to *n* do #this is an iteration loop, with index "i" running from 0 to *n* print(*i*, *x*[*i*], *y*[*i*]); #print iteration step, current *x*,*y*, values, and exact solution value *k* := *f*(*x*[*i*], *y*[*i*]); #current slope function value *x*[*i* + 1] := *x*[*i*] + *h*; *y*[*i* + 1] := *y*[*i*] + *h*·*k*; end do: #how to end a for loop in Maple

Improved Euler loop:WARNING: ONLY RUN THIS CODE AFTER INITIALIZING> for i from 0 to n do #this is an iteration loop, with index "i" running from 0 to n
print(i, x[i], y[i]); #print iteration step, current x,y, values, and exact solution value
 $k1 := f(x[i], y[i]); #current slope function value
<math>k2 := f(x[i] + h, y[i] + h \cdot k1); #estimate for slope at right endpoint of subinterval
<math>k := \frac{(k1 + k2)}{2}; # improved Euler estimate
<math>x[i+1] := x[i] + h;$
 $y[i+1] := y[i] + h \cdot k;$
end do: #how to end a do loop in Maple

Before running, please put Eulers.m, Runge_Kutta.m, Improved_Eulers.m, SlopeField.m, example.m in the same folder. To see the result, input **example** in the command windows

example.m

```
1
       % Clear the command window screen, all the variables and ...
            close all windows
^{2}
       clc, clear, close all
                                 .
3
       % Input your function f(x,y) (as a string), where f(x,y) = \dots
4
            dy/dx. In this example, f(x, y) = sin(x*y)
                                      .* to multiply scalars
       f = '1-3.*x+y';
5
6
       % Draw the slope field in the region xmin<x<xmax, ..</pre>
7
                                                                     f (x,y) = 1-
           ymin \le y \le ymax for dy/dx = f(x, y)
       xmin = -1;
8
       xmax = 1;
9
       ymin = -1;
10
       ymax = 1;
11
12
       SlopeField(xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax, f)
                                             Ð
^{13}
                            to make a display with lots of plots
14
15
       hold on
16
                                                                               google is
17
        % Use different numerical methods to solve the IVP on the ...
            interval [x0,b] with n subintervals :
                                                                                Jon
18
       % dy/dx = f(x, y)
       % y(x0) = y0
19
                  right-hand endpoint
       x0 = 0;
20
       b = 1;
21
       y0 = 0;
22
       n = 10;
^{23}
24
       % Use Euler Method to Solve
25
26
       [x y]=Eulers(x0,y0,b,n,f);
       plot(x,y,'--','LineWidth',2,'Color','r');
27
^{28}
29
       % Use Improved Euler Method to solve
       [x y]=Improved_Eulers(x0,y0,b,n,f);
30
       plot(x,y,'-','LineWidth',2,'Color',[0.9100
31
                                                         0.4100
                                                                    . . .
            0.1700]);
^{32}
       % Use Runge Kutta method to solve
33
34
       [x y]=Runge_Kutta(x0,y0,b,n,f);
       plot(x,y,':','LineWidth',2,'Color','b');
35
36
37
       xlabel('x');ylabel('y')
       legend('Slope Field', 'Euler', 'Improved Euler', 'Runge Kutta')
38
       title(['dy/dx = 'f])
39
```

The code gives you



The functions used in example.m

SlopeField.m

```
function []= SlopeField(xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax, f)
1
2
   \% the function f(x,y) must be entered as a string. For example if
   f(x,y) = y \cos(x), then you need to enter y \cos(x) for f
3
4
   f = str2func(['@(x,y) ',f]); % converts the string input into a ...
\mathbf{5}
       function handle
6
   stepsize=abs(xmin-xmax)/40;
7
   [X,Y] = meshgrid(xmin:stepsize:xmax, ymin:stepsize:ymax);
8
   dY=f(X,Y);
9
10
  dX=ones(size(dY));
11 L=sqrt(1+dY.^2);
12 quiver(X,Y,dX./L,dY./L,'Color','k','LineWidth',1,'AutoScaleFactor',0.5);
                                                                                4
13 axis tight
```

14 end

Eulers.m

```
1 function [x,y] = \text{Eulers}(x_0,y_0,b,n,f)
_2\, % Eulers method with n intervals to solve the initial value \ldots
      problem dy/dx=f(x,y) with
3
  % initial conditions y(x_0)=y_0 on the interval [x_0,b]. The ...
      function
4 % f(x,y) must be entered as a string.
5
6 % For example, if you wish to solve dy/dx=y*cos(x) with y(0)=1 ...
       on the
\tau~ % interval [0,15] with 50 intervals you would enter
  % Eulers(0,1,15,50,'y.*cos(x)')
8
9
10 f = str2func(['@(x,y) ',f]); %converts the string input into a ...
       function handle
11
12 h= (b-x_0)/n;
13 x=zeros(n+1,1); y= zeros(n+1,1); %Pre-allocoting vectors for speed
14 x(1)=x_0; y(1)=y_0;
15
16 for i=1:n
       x(i+1) = x_0 + i + h;
17
       y(i+1)=y(i)+h*f(x(i),y(i));
18
19 end
20 end
```

Improved_Eulers.m

```
1 function [x,y] = Improved_Eulers(x_0,y_0,b,n,f)
2 % Improved Eulers method with n intervals to solve the initial ...
       value problem dy/dx=f(x, y) with
     initial conditions y(x_0) = y_0  on the interval [x_0,b]. The ...
3
       function
4 % f(x,y) must be entered as a string.
5
  % For example, if you wish to solve dy/dx=y*cos(x) with y(0)=1 ...
6
       on the
  % interval [0,15] with 50 intervals you would enter
7
   % Improved_Eulers(0,1,15,50,'y.*cos(x)')
8
9
10 f = str2func(['@(x,y) ',f]); % converts the string input into a ...
       function handle
11
12 h= (b-x_0)/n;
13 x=zeros(n+1,1); y=zeros(n+1,1); % Pre-allocoting vectors for speed
14 x(1) = x_0; y(1) = y_0;
15
   for i=1:n
       x(i+1) = x_0 + i + h;
16
17
       k1=f(x(i),y(i));
       u=y(i)+h*k1;
18
       k2=f(x(i+1),u);
19
       y(i+1)=y(i)+h*(k1+k2)/2;
20
21 end
22 end
```

Runge_Kutta.m

```
1 function [x,y] = Runge_Kutta(x_0,y_0,b,n,f)
_{\rm 2} % Runge Kutta method with n intervals to solve the initial value ...
       problem dy/dx=f(x,y) with
3
  % initial conditions y(x_0) = y_0 on the interval [x_0,b]. The ...
       function
4 % f(x,y) must be entered as a string.
5
  % For example, if you wish to solve dy/dx=y*cos(x) with y(0)=1 ...
6
       on the
  % interval [0,15] with 50 intervals you would enter
7
   % Runge_Kutta(0,1,15,50,'y.*cos(x)')
8
9
10 f = str2func(['@(x,y) ',f]); % converts the string input into a ...
       function handle
11
12 h= (b-x_0)/n;
13 x=zeros(n+1,1); y= zeros(n+1,1); % Pre-allocoting vectors for speed
14 x(1) = x_0; y(1) = y_0;
15
   for i=1:n
       x(i+1) = x_0 + i + h;
16
17
       k1=f(x(i),y(i));
       k2=f(x(i)+h/2,y(i)+h/2*k1);
18
       k3=f(x(i)+h/2,y(i)+h/2*k2);
19
       k4=f(x(i+1), y(i)+h*k3);
20
       y(i+1)=y(i)+h*(k1+2*k2+2*k3+k4)/6;
21
22 end
23 end
```