Math 2250 Maple Project 2

Spring 2001 revision of David Eyre's project (2000 version).

There are six (6) problems in this project. You are expected to answer the questions A, B, C, ... associated with each problem. The original worksheet "project2.mws" is a template for the solution; you must fill in the code and all comments. Sample code can be copied with the mouse. Please use pencil

freely to annotate the worksheet and to clarify any code or figure presented herein.

The problem headers:

2.1. OVERDAMPED FREE OSCILLATIONS.

2.2. UNDERDAMPED FREE OSCILLATIONS.

2.3. UNDAMPED FORCED OSCILLATIONS (c=0).

2.4. DAMPED FORCED OSCILLATIONS (c>0). 2.5. LARGE SUSTAINED OSCILLATIONS.

ATIONS. This is the second, nonlinear model.

The first four problems study the simplest linear model for x(t).

2.6. MCKENNA NON-HOOKES LAW CABLE MODEL. This is the third, nonlinear model.

FREE OSCILLATIONS. Consider the general problem of free linear oscillations

m x'' + c x' + k x=0, x(0)=x0, x'(0)=v0,

where m, c and k are non-negative constants. The symbols x0 and v0 are the initial position and initial velocity, respectively.

## 2.1. PROBLEM (OVERDAMPED FREE OSCILLATIONS)

A. Let m=k=1. Suggest a value for parameter c > 0 so that the free oscillations are overdamped.

B. Use x(0)=1 and x'(0)= -2 for the initial conditions and Maple's "dsolve" to find the explicit real solution x(t). Plot the solution x(t) for t=0 to t=5 using Maple's "plot" command.

C. Suggest new values for x(0) and x'(0) such that the solution is non-negative, increases near t=0 but

eventually decreases with limit zero at t=infinity. Find the explicit solution and plot it for t=0 to t=5.

D. Suggest new values for x(0) and x'(0) such that the solution changes sign exactly once on t>0, then

decreases to zero at t=infinity. Find the explicit solution and plot it for t=0 to t=5.

EXAMPLE(Wrong parameters! Change it!) de:=diff(x(t),t,t)-diff(x(t),t)+x(t)=0: ic:=x(0)=1,D(x)(0)= -2: dsolve({de,ic},x(t),method=laplace); X:=unapply(rhs(%),t): plot(X(t),t=0..5);

>









> > #2.3-A de:=diff(x(t),t,t)+4\*x(t)=cos(6\*t):ic:=x(0)=0, D(x)(0)=0:dsolve({de,ic},x(t),method=laplace); X:=unapply(rhs(%),t): plot(X(t), t=0..5); $\mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{1}{32}\cos(2t) - \frac{1}{32}\cos(6t)$ 0.04 0.02 0 3 1 2 4 5 t -0.02 -0.04 > #2.3-B period:=Pi; *period* :=  $\pi$ > #2.3-C de:=diff(x(t),t,t)+4\*x(t)=10\*cos(2\*t):ic:=x(0)=0, D(x)(0)=0:dsolve({de,ic},x(t),method=laplace); X:=unapply(rhs(%),t): plot(X(t), t=0..20); $\mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{5}{2} t \sin(2 t)$ 









 $\begin{array}{l} m:=1: \ F:=10: \ w:=5: \ k:=25: \ c:=7: \\ deNonLinear:= \ m^*diff(x(t),t,t) + c^*diff(x(t),t) + k^*sin(x(t))^*cos(x(t)) = F^*cos(w^*t): \\ deLinear:= \ m^*diff(x(t),t,t) + c^*diff(x(t),t) + k^*x(t) = F^*cos(w^*t): \\ with(DEtools): \ opts:=stepsize=0.1: \\ DEplot(deNonLinear,x(t),t=0..2,[[x(0)=0,D(x)(0)=0]], opts, title='NonLinear'); \\ DEplot(deLinear,x(t),t=0..2,[[x(0)=0,D(x)(0)=0]], opts, title='Linear'); \\ \end{array}$ 

## 2.5. PROBLEM (LARGE SUSTAINED OSCILLATIONS)

- A. Set the parameters in the linear and nonlinear equations equal to the following: m=1, c = 0.05, k = 2.4, w = 1.2, F = 0.06, x0=0, v0=0. Solve and plot the solutions of the linear and nonlinear equations from t=150 to t=250.
- B. Set the parameters in the linear and nonlinear equations equal to the following: m=1, c=0.05, k=2.4, w=1.2, F=0.06, x0=1.2, v0=0. Solve and plot the solutions of the linear and nonlinear equations from t=200 to t=300.
- C. The plots made in A and B represent the steady-state solutions of the two equations under two different sets of initial conditions. Argue in a sentence why the two linear plots have to be identical, based upon the superposition formula x(t)=xh(t)+xss(t), even though the homogeneous solution xh(t) is different for the two plots. Finally, determine the ratio of the apparent amplitudes (a number > 1) for the nonlinear plots and explain why "large sustained oscillations" is an appropriate description of the nonlinear steady-state behavior.

```
>
```

```
> #2.5-A
m:=1: F := 0.06: w := 1.2: k := 2.4: c:= 0.05:
opts:=stepsize=0.1:
deNonLinear:= m*diff(x(t),t,t) + c*diff(x(t),t) +
k*sin(x(t))*cos(x(t)) = F*cos(w*t):
deLinear:= m*diff(x(t),t,t) + c*diff(x(t),t) + k*x(t) =
F*cos(w*t):
with(DEtools):
DEplot(deNonLinear,x(t),t=150..250,[[x(0)=0,D(x)(0)=0]],opts,title
```

```
='NonLinear');
```

```
DEplot(deLinear,x(t),t=150..250,[[x(0)=0,D(x)(0)=0]],opts,title='L
inear');
```





DEplot(deLinear,x(t),t=200..300,[[x(0)=1.2,D(x)(0)=0]],opts,title=

```
# On the interval, xh(t) approximately zero, so the two linear
# plots must look the same.
# From the nonlinear graphs, the amplitude ratio is
0.95/0.06=15.83.
# The second graph shows after 200 seconds torsional oscillations
# close to one radian = 57.3 degrees, hence a small disturbance
x(0)=1.2
# produces large sustained oscillations.
0.95/0.06;evalf(180/Pi);
15.8333333
57.29577950
```

MCKENNA'S NON-HOOKE'S LAW CABLE MODEL FOR THE TACOMA NARROWS BRIDGE

The model of McKenna studies the bridge with a nonlinear, forced, damped oscillator equation for torsional motion that accounts for the non-Hooke's law cables coupled to the equations for vertical motion. The equations in this case couple the torsional motion with the vertical motion. The equations are:

 $\begin{aligned} x'' + c \ x' - k \ G(x,y) &= F \ sin \ wt, \quad x(0) = x0, \ x'(0) = x1, \\ y'' + c \ y' + (k/3) \ H(x,y) &= g \ , \quad y(0) = y0, \ y'(0) = y1, \end{aligned}$ 

>

where x(t) is the torsional motion and y(t) is the vertical motion. The functions G(x,y) and H(x,y) are the models of the force generated by the cable when it is contracted and stretched. Below is sample code for writing the differential equations and for plotting the solutions. It is ready to copy with the mouse.

```
with(DEtools):

w := 1.3: F := 0.05: f(t) := F*sin(w*t):

c := 0.01: k1 := 0.2: k2 := 0.4: g := 9.8: L := 6:

STEP:=x->piecewise(x<0,0,1):

fp(t) := y(t)+(L*sin(x(t))):

fm(t) := y(t)-(L*sin(x(t))):

Sm(t) := STEP(fm(t))*fm(t):

Sp(t) := STEP(fm(t))*fp(t):

sys := {

diff(x(t),t,t) + c*diff(x(t),t) - k1*cos(x(t))*(Sm(t)-Sp(t))=f(t),

diff(y(t),t,t) + c*diff(y(t),t) + k2*(Sm(t)+Sp(t)) = g}:

ic := [[x(0)=0, D(x)(0)=0, y(0)=27.25, D(y)(0)=0]]:

vars:=[x(t),y(t)]:

opts:=stepsize=0.1:

DEplot(sys,vars,t=0..300,ic,opts,scene=[t,x]);
```

The amazing thing that happens in this simulation is that the large vertical oscillations take all the tension out of the springs and they induce large torsional oscillations.

2.6. PROBLEM. (MCKENNA'S NON-HOOKE'S LAW CABLE MODEL)

- A. TORSIONAL OSCILLATION PLOT. Get the sample code above to produce the plot of x(t) [that's what scene=[t,x] means]. Estimate the number of degrees the roadway oscillates based on the plot; recall that x in the plot is reported in radians.
- B. VERTICAL OSCILLATION PLOT. Modify the DEplot code to scene=[t,y] and plot the oscillation y(t) on t=0 to t=300. The plot is supposed to show 30-foot vertical oscillations that dampen to 7-foot vertical oscillations after 300 seconds. Imagine the auto in the Tacoma Narrows film clip undergoing 30-foot vertical excursions!

```
>
```

```
> #2.6-A
 with(DEtools):
 w := 1.3: F := 0.05: f(t) := F*sin(w*t):
  c := 0.01: k1 := 0.2: k2 := 0.4: q := 9.8: L := 6:
  STEP:=x->piecewise(x<0,0,1):</pre>
  fp(t) := y(t) + (L*sin(x(t))):
  fm(t) := y(t) - (L*sin(x(t))):
  Sm(t) := STEP(fm(t))*fm(t):
  Sp(t) := STEP(fp(t))*fp(t):
  sys := {
       diff(x(t),t,t) + c*diff(x(t),t) -
 k1*cos(x(t))*(Sm(t)-Sp(t))=f(t),
      diff(y(t),t,t) + c*diff(y(t),t) + k2*(Sm(t)+Sp(t)) = g
  ic := [[x(0)=0, D(x)(0)=0, y(0)=27.25, D(y)(0)=0]]:
  vars:=[x(t),y(t)]:
  opts:=stepsize=0.1:
 DEplot(sys,vars,t=0..300,ic,opts,scene=[t,x]);
```





[ > [ > [ >